

Identification from images: Theory and methods.

A thesis submitted by

Teghan Lucas

Bachelor of Health Sciences (Honours)

Anatomical Sciences

For the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

School of Medicine

Anatomy and Pathology

The University of Adelaide

January 2016

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	1
Declaration.....	5
Acknowledgements.....	6
Manuscripts included in this thesis:.....	8
Conference presentations related to this thesis	9
Statement of Authorship Manuscript 1:	10
1. Effects of garments on photoanthropometry of body parts: Application to stature estimation	12
Context.....	13
Abstract.....	15
Introduction	16
Stature estimation	19
Upper limb model	21
Maximum Likelihood estimation of limb endpoints.....	22
Upper limb length	24
Anthropometric stature prediction.....	25
Experiments	25
Laboratory experiments overview	26
Laboratory set-up.....	26
Assessors.....	27
Error analysis for three uncertain markers.....	28
Comparison to truth.....	28
Inter-observer error	29
Intra-observer error	30
Assessor's bias.....	30
Effects of garments	31
Snedecor's F-test.....	32
Error analyses for a single marker	33
Comparison to truth.....	34
Inter-observer error	35
Assessors' bias.....	36
Effects of garments	36
Snedecor's F-test.....	38
Real-life surveillance images	38
Experimental arrangements.....	42

Test results	44
Re-test results	47
Effects of garments	48
Discussion	51
Conclusion.....	52
References	52
Statement of Authorship Manuscript 2:	58
2. Are human faces unique? A metric approach to finding single individuals without duplicates in large samples.	60
Context.....	61
Abstract.....	63
Introduction	64
Materials and Methods.....	68
Results.....	71
Discussion	79
Conclusion.....	83
References	83
Statement of Authorship Manuscript 3:	89
3. Comparing the face to the body, which is better for identification?.....	91
Context.....	92
Abstract.....	94
Introduction	95
Materials	99
Sample	99
Anthropometric measurements.....	100
Method	103
Results.....	105
Discussion	109
References	111
Statement of Authorship Manuscript 4:	118
4. Use of units of measurement error in anthropometric comparisons.....	120
Context.....	121
Abstract.....	123
Introduction	124
Materials	126
ANSUR:.....	126

National size and shape survey of Australia:	128
Method	130
Units of TEM:.....	130
Results.....	131
Example 1- Forensics:.....	131
Example 2 - Clothing industry:	136
Example 3- Biological variation:	139
Discussion	141
Acknowledgements.....	143
References	143
Statement of Authorship Manuscript 5:	147
5. Metric identification of the same people from images, how reliable is it?	149
Context.....	150
Abstract.....	152
Introduction	153
Materials and methods	156
Principles of the method:.....	156
Application of the method:	158
Results.....	165
Discussion	174
Conclusion.....	176
Acknowledgements.....	177
References	177
Discussion	181
References - specifically in discussion.....	184
Appendix 1 : Reprint of 'Effect of garments on photoanthropometry of body parts: Application of stature estimation'.	186
Appendix 2: Reprint of 'Are human faces unique? A metric approach to finding single individuals without duplicates in large samples'.....	199
Appendix 3: Reprint of 'Comparing the face to the body, which is better for identification?'.....	205

Abstract

The use of images for the identification of criminals is becoming more prevalent with the increased use of video surveillance systems. Any anatomical trait that is visible on an image could be used to identify an individual, as long as its usefulness as a biometric indicator is known and can be accurately measured.

The mug shot, which was introduced in 1879 by Alphonse Bertillon was the first photograph used in forensic identification from images and since then the human face has been the focus for identification and recognition. However, the usefulness of the face or any other part of the body that could be measured from an image has not been thoroughly investigated.

Population frequencies of various traits are known. However, many studies which investigate the frequencies of traits, use categorical scales of measurement. Categorical scales of measurement have been used to describe the human face and body for centuries, it is not a new technique. The advantages of using categorical scales to describe various anatomical features is, that it is inexpensive to study and does not require specialised technology. As long as an individual is well trained with sufficient knowledge of the human body, categorical scales are generally accepted as a means of describing human variation. The use of categories for description of the human body is currently accepted for research purposes and cases of skeletal identification. However, the use of categories is questioned when describing an individual from an image.

A possible reason for this could be that in image analyses the traits are often too small to see, they are covered by clothing (such as those of the face by a balaclava) or they are subject to image distortion. Therefore, statements made by an expert witness in court proceedings regarding descriptions of anatomical features using categorical scales from images can often be questioned as it is primarily opinion based evidence.

Morphological analyses which use categories for image analyses have been labelled as 'unreliable' for the reasons stated above. Much research has concentrated on using interval scales of measurement on anatomical features seen in images. Using metric measurements of images is an attempt to make image identification more reliable by removing the 'opinions' of expert witnesses. The methods which are used currently to take measurements from images are time consuming, tedious, have unacceptable error rates and are often expensive.

Increased use of images for identification that will be used as evidence in court cases lead to the establishment of standards by which scientific evidence can be accepted by courts. These standards require the evidence provided by expert witnesses to be reliable, repeatable, peer reviewed and to have known error rates. The only way to make image-based evidence reliable and repeatable is to use interval scales of measurement and to minimize errors.

This thesis proposes that humans are singular in their overall surface anatomy. Therefore the use of interval scales to measure anatomical features for identification from images is justified as a biometric tool. Various methods have been proposed to take reliable measurements from images and to identify the associated error rates.

In order to accomplish this, several investigations were carried out, where each was concerned with a different issue that was involved with the reliable identification of individuals from images.

The first analysis considered whether or not measurements of the human body can be taken from images with precision, regardless of wearing clothes. Light clothing did not affect accuracy of measurements. Bulky and patterned clothing produced greater inaccuracies, but the overall accuracy rate remained at 96%. It was also found that

anatomists had the ability to locate anthropometric points with greater precision than the specialists in image analysis.

The second analysis considered the development of a method which could be used in forensic identification to establish the similarities or differences between individuals when large numbers of samples are available (n=3982). The method involves searching for duplicate individuals within a large database and once individuals did not match with another on anthropometric measurements, then they are considered 'singular'. The term singularity was introduced, as it cannot be debated in a court of law, being a method that could be tested compared to 'uniqueness' that is universal. Measurements of the human face were examined to evaluate the value of the method in the identification of an individual. Results showed that the probability of finding two individuals with the exact same eight facial measurements is 1 in a trillion. Thus this is comparable with fingerprints.

The third analysis used the method proposed in the second analysis to investigate the value of body measurements as well as measurements of the face in the identification of an individual. Measurements of the body were compared with those of the face to examine, which measurements were better for the identification of an individual. Results showed that measurements of the body are superior to those of the face with a probability of 1 in a quintillion of finding two "duplicate individuals". This exceeds the probabilities associated with measurements of the face and is comparable with fingerprint and DNA analyses.

The fourth analysis investigated the effect that measurement errors have in analyses of large anthropometric datasets. In order to achieve this, a formula was developed which converted standard metric units to 'units of TEM' (technical error of measurement) and incorporated the measurement errors into reported values. Two large

datasets were used, ANSUR (n=3982) and The National Size and Shape Survey of Australia (n =1265). Three examples were used to illustrate the application of the formula: i.e. in forensic investigations, garment construction and study of biological variation. In all examples, using units of TEM was superior to using standard metric units, as it removed inevitable adverse effects that measurement errors have on data.

The final investigation showed that body proportions were not a reliable method for the identification of individuals from images. The error rates associated with the body proportional measurements were equal to the biological variation of individuals.

The information gathered from these five experiments indicates that surface anatomy is sufficient as a biometric tool, which could be applied to identification of individuals from images. Findings in these investigations show that measurements can successfully be taken from images. However, more work needs to be done within the field to reduce error rates.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent for this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Teghan Lucas

Date

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank my supervisors, Professor Maciej Henneberg and Dr. Jaliya Kumaratilake for all their time and effort spent helping me with my research and writing. It is not only the help you provided during my PhD candidature but all the steps leading up to it, I can honestly say that, without you, none of this would have been possible.

I would like to acknowledge Dr Tony Scoleri for assisting with the computer analysis side of things and for your significant input in the first publication, your help is much appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge Emeritus Professor John Fryer, who spent a great deal of time communicating with me, helping me and photocopying huge amounts of resources that I would not have access to otherwise.

I would like to thank both Tavik Morgenstern and Michael Brockhouse for continual help with photographs, image construction for publication and tech support.

I acknowledge Mark Kennedy, Joel Sabine and the security team at The University of Adelaide who gave me access to designated security cameras to conduct my research.

I would like to acknowledge all of my participants who gave up their time to help me with data collection for three of the manuscripts included in this thesis.

I would like to thank all members of the Australasian Society for Human Biology (ASHB) who in 2014 gave myself and others of the Biological Anthropology and Comparative Anatomy Research Unit the opportunity to organise and host the 28th annual ASHB conference. I learnt valuable skills while organising this conference and it is an experience I continue to learn from.

My research has been supported by the Faculty of Health Sciences Divisional Scholarship, School of Medicine postgraduate Travel award and the University of Adelaide.

I would like to thank members of the Biological and Comparative Anatomy Research Unit for their support and scientific conversations throughout my entire PhD. This includes: Malcolm Brinn, Arthur Saniotis, Stella Ioannou, Caitlin Humphrey, Aaron Hermann, Todd England, Pen You Wenpeng, Dante Roccisiano and Arjun Burlakoti.

With special thanks to Amrita Dhugga and Kara Holloway, who provided the perfect balance between distraction and work, making me a more productive person.

Lastly, I would like to thank all the people in my life who put up with me and supported me throughout my academic career thus far: my family, friends, loving partner Uriah and son, Pugsley. You all kept me sane, focused and motivated.

I thank you all.

Manuscripts included in this thesis:

1. Scoleri, T, Lucas, T, Henneberg, M 2014, 'Effect of garments on photoanthropometry of body parts: Application of stature estimation', *Forensic Science International*. Vol. 237, pp. 1-12.
2. Lucas T, Henneberg M 2015a 'Are human faces unique? A metric approach to finding single individuals without duplicates in large samples', *Forensic Science International*. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2015.09.003>
3. Lucas T, Henneberg M 2015b 'Comparing the face to the body, which is better for identification?', *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. DOI: 10.1007/s00414-015-1158-6.
4. Lucas, T, Henneberg M 2015 'Use of units of measurement error in anthropometric comparisons'. Submitted to *Journal of Biological and Clinical Anthropology* (November 2015).
5. Lucas, T, Kumaratilake, J, Henneberg, M 2015 'Metric identification of the same people from images- how reliable is it?'. Submitted to *Journal of Forensic Sciences* (November 2015).

Conference presentations related to this thesis

Lucas, T, Henneberg, M. 2014 'Singularity – the absence of duplication without uniqueness'. 28th Annual conference of the Australasian Society for Human Biology in Glenelg, Adelaide.

Note* the title of the paper that this presentation was based on was later changed to 'Are human faces unique? A metric approach to finding single individuals without duplicates in large samples' as suggested by reviewers.

Lucas, T, Henneberg, M. 2015 'Comparing the face to the body, which is better for identification?'. 84th Annual meeting of American Association of Physical Anthropologists conference in St. Louis, Missouri.