



**Presence, breeding activity and
movement of the yellow-footed
antechinus (*Antechinus flavipes*), in a
fragmented landscape of the southern
Mt Lofty Ranges.**

Doreen Marchesan

B.App.Sc, P.G.Dip.EIA

**Department of Animal Science
University of Adelaide**

**This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of requirements for the degree of
Master of Applied Science.**

**Supervised by: Dr Susan Carthew
 Des Coleman.**

September 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	v
DECLARATION.....	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	viii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Thesis Layout.....	1
1.3 Literature Review.....	2
<i>1.3.1 Habitat Fragmentation.....</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>1.3.2 Effects – Habitat loss, isolation and restriction.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>1.3.3 Effects – Increased risk of extinction</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>1.3.4 Landscape movements and metapopulations</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.3.5 Landscape Connectivity</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>1.3.6 Australian Studies to Date</i>	<i>7</i>
1.4 Aims and Objectives	8
CHAPTER 2: STUDY SITE AND GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
2.1 Study Site Description.....	10
2.2 Sampling Methodology	16
CHAPTER 3: AUTECOLOGY OF <i>Antechinus flavipes</i> IN A FRAGMENTED LANDSCAPE.....	18
3.1 Introduction.....	18
<i>3.1.1 Introducing Antechinus flavipes.....</i>	<i>18</i>
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	20
<i>3.2.1 Sampling.....</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>3.2.2 Data Analysis</i>	<i>20</i>
3.3 Results	21
<i>3.3.1 Captures</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>3.3.2 Breeding</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>3.3.3 Juveniles.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>3.3.4 Weights.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>3.3.5 Movements.....</i>	<i>32</i>
3.4 Discussion.....	32

CHAPTER 4: PRESENCE, BREEDING ACTIVITY AND INTER-PATCH MOVEMENTS	40
4.1 Introduction	40
4.2 Materials and Methods	40
4.3 Results	41
4.4 Discussion	43
CHAPTER 5: HOME RANGE OF <i>Antechinus flavipes</i> IN A FRAGMENTED LANDSCAPE	49
5.1 Introduction	49
5.2 Materials and Methods	50
5.2.1 <i>Site Selection</i>	50
5.2.2 <i>Trapping</i>	51
5.2.3 <i>Triangulation</i>	51
5.2.4 <i>Analysis</i>	52
5.2.5 <i>Number of Observations</i>	53
5.2.6 <i>Autocorrelation</i>	54
5.3 Results	55
5.3.1 <i>Home Range</i>	55
5.3.2 <i>Accuracy Test</i>	61
5.4 Discussion	64
CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CONSERVATION	67
6.1 Introduction	67
6.2 Autecology and a fragmented landscape	68
6.3 Landscape Structure and Movement	70
6.4 Continued Threats	71
6.5 Future Studies	72
APPENDICES	73
Appendix 1 - a) Structural classification of vegetation and b) Descriptions of vegetation codes (attachment).	
Appendix 2 - Plant species recorded at each site	
REFERENCES	77

LIST OF TABLES	PAGE
2.1 Size of study patches (habitat area), approximate number of years since land clearance and the disturbance history of study sites.	14
2.2 Vegetation characteristics for each site.	15
2.3 Sites sampled during each session.	17
2.4 The number of trap stations, total number of traps and approximate % of patch covered by trapping grid for each site.	17
3.1 Number of trap nights and trap success (percentage), for <i>Antechinus flavipes</i> , per site and trapping session.	22
3.2 Number of trap nights and trap success (percentage), for non-target species, for each site.	22
3.3 Number of <i>A. flavipes</i> nests located in <i>X. semiplana</i> and <i>E. obliqua</i> , mean crown height or tree height, mean girth and the number of nests located at the edge of a patch, between edge and middle and the middle of a patch.	27
3.4 Mean weights for adult male and female <i>A. flavipes</i> in patch, strip and forest sites.	31
3.5 Mean adult body weights reported for <i>A. flavipes</i> populations.	37
3.6 Trap success expressed as a percentage for studies on <i>A. flavipes</i> .	37
4.1 Number of trapping sessions, percentage of sessions detecting presence of <i>A. flavipes</i> and number of seasons detecting breeding activity, per site.	44
4.2 Details of inter-patch movements detected via capture-recapture.	44
5.1 The number of observations, area in hectares for the 95% isopleth and the core, with isopleths defining the core given for each female.	59

LIST OF FIGURES	PAGE
2.1 Map of study sites, other native vegetation and exotic pine plantation.	12
3.1 The number of resident females per ha for all sites during the 2000 season and 2001 season.	23
3.2 Estimated parturition dates for females within sites 1-12 and forest sites F1-F3.	25

3.3	Percentage of female (filled) and male (hatched) <i>A. flavipes</i> , of the cohort born in 2000, recaptured after initial capture.	29
3.4	The number of new female and male <i>A. flavipes</i> captured during each trapping session between the emergence of juveniles in December 2000 and the end of the mating season in September 2001.	29
3.5	Mean weights for female and male <i>A. flavipes</i> , from emergence to parturition.	31
3.6	Mean distance moved between trap captures within a site for female and male <i>A. flavipes</i> .	33
4.1	The percentage of adult female, adult male, juvenile female and juvenile male <i>A. flavipes</i> captured during each trapping session.	42
5.1	Home range estimates showing the 95% isopleth and core areas for tracked females within (a) site 1, (b) site 2, (c) site 10, (d) site 5, (e) site F1 and (g) site F3.	56
5.2	Plots showing home range area in ha for the 95% isopleth (Y-axis) against the number of observations (X-axis), for each female (a) in patch sites and (b) strip and forest sites.	62

LIST OF PLATES

	PAGE
1.1	<i>Antechinus flavipes</i> . 9
2.1	Typical vegetation community of study sites. 11
2.2	Example of landscape structure, showing sites 1 and 8, pine plantations and cattle grazing paddock. 14
3.1	Pouch young. 27
3.2	A juvenile <i>A. flavipes</i> captured in December 2000. 28

ABSTRACT

Habitat fragmentation poses a threat to small mammal populations existing in remnant vegetation. Reduced habitat area, habitat isolation and inhibited dispersal are some of the factors that can increase the risk of local and regional extinction. This study was undertaken to examine the persistence of the yellow-footed antechinus (*Antechinus flavipes*) in a fragmented landscape of the southern Mt Lofty Ranges in South Australia. Live trapping was carried out in small, remnant patches and strips of forest and in areas of contiguous forest, to document autecological data for the species and to investigate occurrence, breeding activity and inter-patch movements. Radio-tracking was also conducted to compare home range properties of lactating females, in restricted and unrestricted habitat.

Results of autecological investigations showed mostly comparable population densities and body weights with other *A. flavipes* populations. However, regional differences were detected in juvenile dispersal behaviour and to some extent, breeding times. The study populations showed a male-biased, natal dispersal strategy, which is the first published record of such a strategy for *A. flavipes*. This result differed from Queensland populations, which did not show distinct dispersal phases. Regional differences regarding breeding times have been attributed to varying peaks in insect abundance. The causes of the differences in dispersal behaviour remain unclear. Site differences were also apparent. Forest animals were lighter, were largely of lower population density (particularly in 2000) and were generally later breeders than patch and strip animals. Differences in weight and population density may be due to favourable 'edge effects' in remnants, while variations in breeding times may be due to local climatic or habitat factors. Despite being largely 'isolated' for approximately 50 years, all study sites detected the presence and breeding activity of *A. flavipes* in at least one of the two seasons sampled. Inter-patch movements by males and females were detected during the juvenile dispersal phase and the 2001 breeding season. Most movements were by adult males, while females tended to be recaptured in the same patches. Landscape types potentially traversed included exotic pine plantations, open paddock and narrow sections of native vegetation. Movement between sites indicated the presence of a metapopulation operating amongst local populations. Home range

investigations did not provide conclusive evidence to suggest that *A. flavipes* was able to adapt or change home range behaviour in response to habitat restriction. However, it did show that the species was able to tolerate some degree of home range overlap between resident, lactating females. Investigations also revealed the use of the landscape matrix for resource supplementation. The adaptability, life-history strategies and a tolerance of the landscape matrix shown by *A. flavipes* provide some explanation for the species' success in this fragmented system.

It is considered that the long-term persistence of *A. flavipes* in this landscape will be determined by the ability of females to maintain a presence in the small patches, the ability of unrelated males to move into the patches to breed with resident females and the maintenance or enhancement of the current habitat area and distances between habitat sites. This study illustrates the importance of recognising the occurrence of metapopulations in fragmented landscapes for conservation management purposes.

DECLARATION

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University of Adelaide Library, being available for loan and photocopying. All references to this thesis or any information therein must be fully acknowledged in any report or publication.

Doreen Marchesan

Dated

12/9/02

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Sincere thanks are extended to all those who helped in the successful completion of this project:

Funding bodies

I would like to extend thanks to the Wildlife Conservation Fund, the Native Vegetation Council Fund, the Australian Geographic Society and the University of Adelaide for providing funding for the project.

Landholders

Thanks also to the NPWS (Deep Creek Conservation Park) with particular thanks to Volker Sholz and Caroline Patterson, Department of Environment and Heritage, Forestry SA with particular thanks to Merv Jones and Trevor Ferguson, Yankalilla District Council, Peter and Anne Krichauff, the Jamieson's, Darren Stevens and Andrew Cole, for providing access to their properties, use of equipment and general moral support.

Field Volunteers

Big thanks go to Silvana Marchesan, Steven Marchesan, David Christiani, Stewart Steele, Dave Cunningham, Derek Snowball, Chantelle Derez, Alicia Navidad, Brian and Pam Knill, Meredith Brown, James Juniper, James Rusk, Tim Bodley, Tony Savage, Juliet Mather, Jude (Dude) Owens, Adrian (where's my mouth guard) Headland, Paul Headland, Jeremy Marton, Sarah Childs, Matt and Alicia Bailie, Dawn Hawthorne-Jackson and her tribe of Lesley, Kiam, Kimberley, Emma and Johnathon, Nerissa Haby, Leah Sullivan, Emily Watson, Nicky (lets go 4WD'n) Thomas, Jayne Randell, Steve Walsh, Renee` Kilner, Kelly Miller, Graeme Noll, Katie Paris, Nicole Butterfield, Glen Gilles, Tanya Schneyder, Georgina Tattisall, Torni, Leah Feuerherdt, Louise Aurthur, Emma-Lee Parker, Jayne Kara-Ali, Christine Baker, Julie Hawkins, Karl Hillyard, Bess Schenk, Kirrily Blaylock, Georgina Haynes, John Farr, Fadiel Ahjem, Karen Jones, Louise Jones, Johanna Lips, Sean McKernan, Brian Francis, Rod and Lewis McLeod, Julie Schofield and Leah Kemp for sensational field assistance and for keeping me sane.

General Fantastic People

Lots of thanks to my folks and family for supporting and putting up with me! My great friends who also put up with me, my hard working and patient supervisors, those weird and wonderful people in the Waite Honours room (you know who you are) and the Department of Animal Science who always entertained (and inspired) me. Thanks also to Mark Lethbridge for invaluable assistance with home range analysis, Sharn Lucas for vegetation survey work, the Roseworthy UTU with particular thanks to Keith Cowley, and those invaluable computer technicians John Willoughby and Brian Glaetzer.