



Search for top squarks in events with a Higgs or Z boson using 139 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector

ATLAS Collaboration*

CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received: 11 June 2020 / Accepted: 14 September 2020 / Published online: 23 November 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract This paper presents a search for direct top squark pair production in events with missing transverse momentum plus either a pair of jets consistent with Standard Model Higgs boson decay into b -quarks or a same-flavour opposite-sign dilepton pair with an invariant mass consistent with a Z boson. The analysis is performed using the proton–proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ collected with the ATLAS detector during the LHC Run-2, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 139 fb^{-1} . No excess is observed in the data above the Standard Model predictions. The results are interpreted in simplified models featuring direct production of pairs of either the lighter top squark (\tilde{t}_1) or the heavier top squark (\tilde{t}_2), excluding at 95% confidence level \tilde{t}_1 and \tilde{t}_2 masses up to about 1220 and 875 GeV, respectively.

1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–6] is one of the most studied frameworks to extend the Standard Model (SM) beyond the electroweak scale. It predicts new bosonic (fermionic) partners for the known fermions (bosons). Assuming R -parity conservation [7], SUSY particles are produced in pairs and the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable, providing a possible dark-matter candidate. The SUSY partners of the Higgs bosons and electroweak gauge bosons mix to form the mass eigenstates known as charginos ($\tilde{\chi}_k^\pm$, $k = 1, 2$) and neutralinos ($\tilde{\chi}_m^0$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$), where the increasing index denotes increasing mass. The scalar partners of right-handed and left-handed quarks, \tilde{q}_R and \tilde{q}_L squarks, mix to form two mass eigenstates, \tilde{q}_1 and \tilde{q}_2 , with \tilde{q}_1 defined to be the lighter of the two. To address the SM hierarchy problem [8–11], TeV-scale masses are favoured [12, 13] for the supersymmetric partners of the gluons, and the top squarks [14, 15].

Top squark production with SM Higgs (h) or Z bosons in the decay chain can appear either in production of the lighter

top squark mass eigenstate (\tilde{t}_1) decaying via $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, or in production of the heavier top squark mass eigenstate (\tilde{t}_2) decaying via $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Unlike other top squark models, these signals can be efficiently distinguished from the SM top quark pair production ($t\bar{t}$) background by requiring either a same-flavour opposite-sign (SF-OS) lepton pair originating from the $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ ($\ell \equiv e, \mu$) decay or a pair of b -tagged jets originating from the $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay, plus the presence of an additional lepton produced in the decay of the top quarks in the event.

Simplified models [16–18] are used for the analysis optimisation and interpretation of the results. In these models, direct top squark pair production is considered and all SUSY particles are decoupled except for the top squarks and the neutralinos involved in their decay. In all cases the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is assumed to be the LSP. Simplified models featuring direct \tilde{t}_1 production with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and decays via either Higgs ($\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) or Z ($\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) bosons with different branching ratio values are considered. In these models, the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is assumed to be very light and the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass difference to be large enough to allow on-shell Higgs or Z boson decays.

Additional simplified models featuring direct \tilde{t}_2 production with $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ decays and $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are also considered. The mass difference between the \tilde{t}_1 and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is set to be smaller than the W boson mass, and the four-body decay $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bff'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is assumed to occur, where f and f' are two fermions from the W^* decay, such as $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\ell\nu\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Direct production of \tilde{t}_1 pairs is not considered in the \tilde{t}_2 production simplified models. The \tilde{t}_1 pair production contribution to the selections presented in this paper has been found to be negligible.

This paper presents the results of a search for top squarks in final states with Higgs or Z bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ using the complete data sample collected with the ATLAS detector [19] in proton–proton (pp) collisions during Run-2 of the LHC

* e-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch




Fig. 1 Diagrams for the top squark pair production processes considered in this analysis: **a** $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays (showing for illustration the case where the two $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decay differently, although

events with the same $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decays are also considered in the analysis), and **b** $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bf\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays

(2015–2018), corresponding to 139 fb^{-1} . Searches for top squark production in events involving Higgs or Z bosons have been performed previously by both ATLAS [20, 21] and CMS [22, 23].

2 ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [19, 24] at the LHC is a multipurpose particle detector with a forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and a near 4π coverage in solid angle.¹ It consists of an inner tracking detector (ID) surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field, electromagnetic (EM) and hadron calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer (MS). The inner tracking detector covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of silicon pixel, silicon microstrip, and transition radiation tracking detectors. Lead/liquid-argon (LAr) sampling calorimeters provide EM energy measurements with high granularity. A steel/scintillator-tile hadron calorimeter covers the central pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.7$. The endcap and forward regions are instrumented with LAr calorimeters for both the EM and hadronic energy measurements up to $|\eta| = 4.9$. The muon spectrometer surrounds the calorimeters and is

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$, and the rapidity is defined as $y = (1/2)[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$.

based on three large air-core toroidal superconducting magnets with eight coils each. The field integral of the toroids ranges between 2 and 6 T m across most of the detector. The muon spectrometer includes a system of precision tracking chambers and fast detectors for triggering. A two-level trigger system is used to select events [25]. The first-level trigger is implemented in hardware and uses a subset of the detector information to reduce the accepted rate to at most 100 kHz. This is followed by a software-based trigger that reduces the accepted event rate to 1 kHz on average depending on the data-taking conditions.

3 Data set and simulated event samples

The data were collected by the ATLAS detector during the LHC Run-2 (2015–2018) with a peak instantaneous luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 2.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, resulting in a mean number of pp interactions per bunch crossing of $\langle \mu \rangle = 34$. Data quality requirements are applied to ensure that all sub-detectors were operating normally, and that the LHC beams were in stable-collision mode. The integrated luminosity of the resulting data sample is 139 fb^{-1} . The uncertainty in the combined 2015–2018 integrated luminosity is 1.7%. It is derived from the calibration of the luminosity scale using x – y beam-separation scans, following a methodology similar to that detailed in Ref. [26], and using the LUCID-2 detector for the baseline luminosity measurements [27].

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples are used to aid the estimation of the background from SM processes and to model the SUSY signal. The choices of MC event generator, parton shower and hadronisation, the cross-section

Table 1 Simulated signal and background event samples: the corresponding event generator used for the hard-scatter process, the generator used to model the parton showering, the source of the cross-section used for normalisation, the PDF set and the underlying-event tune are shown

Physics process	Generator	Parton shower	Cross-section normalisation	PDF set	Tune
SUSY signals	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 [34]	PYTHIA 8.212 [35]	NNLO+NNLL [36–39]	NNPDF2.3LO [40]	A14 [41]
$t\bar{t}Z/\gamma^*, t\bar{t}W$	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3	PYTHIA 8.210	NLO [34]	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
Diboson	SHERPA 2.2.2 [32]	SHERPA 2.2.2	Generator NLO	NNPDF3.0NNLO [42]	SHERPA default
$t\bar{t}$	POWHEG-BOX v2 [43]	PYTHIA 8.230	NNLO+NNLL [44–49]	NNPDF3.0NLO	A14
$t\bar{t}h$	POWHEG-BOX v2	PYTHIA 8.230	NLO [50]	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
Single-top, Wt	POWHEG-BOX v2	PYTHIA 8.230	NNLO+NNLL [51–53]	NNPDF3.0NLO	A14
Wh, Zh	PYTHIA 8.186 [54]	PYTHIA 8.186	NLO [50]	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
$t\bar{t}WW, t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.2.2	PYTHIA 8.186	NLO [34]	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
$t\bar{t}t$	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.2.2	PYTHIA 8.186	Generator LO	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
tZ	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3	PYTHIA 8.186	Generator LO	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
tWZ	MG5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3	PYTHIA 8.212	Generator NLO	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
Triboson	SHERPA 2.2.2	SHERPA 2.2.2	Generator NLO	NNPDF3.0NNLO	SHERPA default

normalisation, the parton distribution function (PDF) set and the set of tuned parameters (tune) for the underlying event of these samples are summarised in Table 1. More details of the event generator configurations can be found in Refs. [28–31]. Cross-sections calculated at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) including resummation of next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) soft-gluon terms were used for top quark production processes. For production of top quark pairs in association with vector or Higgs bosons, cross-sections calculated at next-to-leading order (NLO) were used, and the event generator NLO cross-sections from SHERPA [32] were used when normalising the multi-boson backgrounds. In all MC samples, except those produced by SHERPA, the EvtGen v1.2.0 program [33] was used to model the properties of the bottom and charm hadron decays.

SUSY signal samples were generated with MG5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 [34] interfaced to PYTHIA 8.212 [35] for the parton showering (PS) and hadronisation. The matrix element (ME) calculation was performed at tree level and includes the emission of up to two additional partons for all signal samples. MADSPIN [55] was used to model the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bff'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays. MADSPIN emulates kinematic distributions to a good approximation without calculating the full ME. The PDF set used for the generation of the signal samples was NNPDF2.3LO [40] with the A14 [41] set of tuned underlying-event and shower parameters (UE tune). The ME–PS matching was performed with the CKKW-L prescription [56], with a matching scale set to one quarter of the top squark mass. All signal cross-sections were calculated to approximate NNLO in the strong coupling constant, adding the resummation of soft gluon emission at NNLL accuracy (approximate NNLO+NNLL) [36–39]. The nominal cross-section and its uncertainty were derived using the

PDF4LHC15_mc PDF set, following the recommendations of Ref. [57].

To simulate the effects of additional pp collisions in the same and nearby bunch crossings (pile-up), additional interactions were generated using the soft QCD processes provided by PYTHIA 8.186 with the A3 tune [58] and the MSTW2008LO PDF set [59], and overlaid onto each simulated hard-scatter event. The MC samples were reweighted so that the pile-up distribution matches the one observed in the data. The MC samples were processed through an ATLAS detector simulation [60] based on GEANT 4 [61] or, in the case of $t\bar{t}t$ and the SUSY signal samples, a fast simulation using a parameterisation of the calorimeter response and GEANT 4 for the other parts of the detector. All MC samples were reconstructed in the same manner as the data.

4 Event selection

Candidate events are required to have a reconstructed vertex [62] with at least two associated tracks with transverse momentum (p_T) larger than 500 MeV that are consistent with originating from the beam collision region in the x – y plane. The primary vertex in the event is the vertex with the highest sum of squared transverse momenta of associated tracks.

Two categories of leptons (electrons and muons) are defined: ‘candidate’ and ‘signal’ (the latter being a subset of the ‘candidate’ leptons satisfying tighter selection criteria). Electron candidates are reconstructed from isolated electromagnetic calorimeter energy deposits matched to ID tracks and are required to have $|\eta| < 2.47$, a transverse momentum $p_T > 4.5$ GeV, and to satisfy the ‘LooseAndBLayer’ requirement defined in Ref. [63], which is based on a likeli-

hood using measurements of shower shapes in the calorimeter and track properties in the ID as input variables.

Muon candidates are reconstructed in the region $|\eta| < 2.4$ from MS tracks matching ID tracks. Candidate muons are required to have $p_T > 4$ GeV and satisfy the ‘medium’ identification requirements defined in Ref. [64], based on the number of hits in the different ID and MS subsystems, and on the ratio of the charge and momentum (q/p) measured in the ID and MS divided by the sum in quadrature of their corresponding uncertainties.

The tracks associated with the lepton candidates are required to have a significance of the transverse impact parameter relative to the reconstructed primary vertex, d_0 , of $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 5$ for electrons and $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 3$ for muons, and a longitudinal impact parameter relative to the reconstructed primary vertex, z_0 , satisfying $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.5$ mm.

Jets are reconstructed from three-dimensional energy clusters in the calorimeter [65] using the anti- k_r jet clustering algorithm [66] with a radius parameter $R = 0.4$. Only jet candidates with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.8$ are considered. Jets are calibrated using MC simulation with corrections obtained from in situ techniques [67]. To reduce the effects of pile-up, jets with $p_T < 120$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ are required to have a significant fraction of their associated tracks compatible with originating from the primary vertex, as defined by the jet vertex tagger [68]. This requirement reduces the fraction of jets from pile-up to 1%, with an efficiency for hard-scatter jets of about 90%. Events are discarded if they contain any jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV not satisfying basic quality selection criteria designed to reject detector noise and non-collision backgrounds [69].

Identification of jets containing b -hadrons (b -tagging) is performed with a multivariate discriminant that makes use of track impact parameters and reconstructed secondary vertices [70,71]. Jets are considered as b -tagged if they fulfil a requirement corresponding to a 77% average efficiency obtained for jets containing b -hadrons in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events. The rejection factors for light-quark and gluon jets, jets containing c -hadrons and jets containing hadronically decaying τ -leptons in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events are approximately 113, 16 and 4, respectively.

Jet candidates with $p_T < 200$ GeV within an angular distance $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.2$ of an electron candidate are discarded, unless the jet has a value of the b -tagging discriminant larger than the value corresponding to approximately 85% b -tagging efficiency, in which case the lepton is discarded since it is likely to have originated from a semileptonic b -hadron decay. The same procedure is applied to jets within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of a muon candidate irrespective of the jet p_T . Any remaining electron candidate within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of a non-pile-up jet, and any muon candidate within $\Delta R = \min\{0.4, 0.04 + p_T(\mu)/10 \text{ GeV}\}$ of a non-pile-up jet is discarded. In the latter case, if the jet has fewer

than three associated tracks, the muon is retained and the jet is discarded instead to avoid inefficiencies for high-energy muons undergoing significant energy loss in the calorimeter. Finally, any electron candidate sharing an ID track with a remaining muon candidate is also removed.

Tighter requirements on the lepton candidates are imposed, which are then referred to as ‘signal’ electrons or muons. Signal electrons must satisfy the ‘Medium’ identification requirement as defined in Ref. [63] and signal muons must have $p_T > 5$ GeV. Isolation requirements are applied to both the signal electrons and muons. The scalar sum of the p_T of tracks within a variable-size cone around the lepton, excluding its own track, must be less than 6% of the lepton p_T ; these tracks are required to be associated with the primary vertex to limit sensitivity to pile-up. The size of the track isolation cone for electrons (muons) is given by the smaller of $\Delta R = 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T$ and $\Delta R = 0.2$ (0.3). In addition, in the case of electrons the energy of calorimeter energy clusters in a cone of $\Delta R_\eta = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.2$ around the electron (excluding the deposition from the electron itself) must be less than 6% of the electron p_T .

Simulated events are corrected for differences between data and MC simulation in jet vertex tagger and b -tagging efficiencies as well as b -tagging mis-tag rates [68,71–73]. Corrections are also applied to account for minor differences between data and MC simulation in the signal-lepton trigger, reconstruction, identification and isolation efficiencies.

The missing transverse momentum vector, whose magnitude is denoted by E_T^{miss} , is defined as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all identified electrons, muons and jets, plus an additional soft term. The soft term is constructed from all tracks that originate from the primary vertex but are not associated with any identified lepton or jet. In this way, the E_T^{miss} is adjusted for the best calibration of leptons and jets, while contributions from pile-up interactions are suppressed through the soft term [74,75].

The events are classified into two exclusive categories: at least three leptons (referred to as 3ℓ selection, aimed at top squark decays involving Z bosons), or exactly one lepton (referred to as 1ℓ selection, aimed at top squark decays involving Higgs bosons). The selection requirements for each of these categories are described below.

4.1 3ℓ selection

In this selection, events are accepted if they satisfy a trigger requiring either two electrons, two muons or an electron and a muon. The requirements imposed offline on the p_T , identification and isolation of the leptons involved in the trigger decision are tighter than those applied online, so as to be on the trigger efficiency plateau [25]. The presence of at least three signal leptons (electrons or muons, referred to collectively with the symbol ℓ), with at least one SF-OS lepton pair

Table 2 Definition of the signal regions used in the 3ℓ selection (see text for further description)

Requirement / Region	SR_{1A}^Z	SR_{1B}^Z	SR_{2A}^Z	SR_{2B}^Z
Number of signal leptons		≥ 3		
Number of SF-OS pairs		≥ 1		
Leading lepton p_T [GeV]		> 40		
Subleading lepton p_T [GeV]		> 20		
$ m_{\ell\ell}^{SF-OS} - m_Z $ [GeV]		< 15		
Third leading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 20	> 20	< 20	< 60
n_{jets} ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	≥ 4	≥ 5	≥ 3	≥ 3
$n_{b\text{-tagged jets}}$ ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	≥ 1	≥ 1	–	≥ 1
Leading jet p_T [GeV]	–	–	> 150	–
Leading b -tagged jet p_T [GeV]	–	> 100	–	–
E_T^{miss} [GeV]	> 250	> 150	> 200	> 350
$p_T^{\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	–	> 150	< 50	> 150
$m_{T2}^{3\ell}$ [GeV]	> 100	–	–	–

Table 3 Definition of the signal regions used in the 1ℓ selection (see text for further description)

Requirement / Region	SR_{1A}^h	SR_{1B}^h
Number of signal leptons	1	
$n_{h\text{-cand}}$	≥ 1	
$n_{b\text{-tagged jets}}$ ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	≥ 4	≥ 4
n_{jets} ($p_T > 60$ GeV)	≥ 4	≥ 6
m_T [GeV]	> 150	> 150
S	> 12	> 7

Table 4 Definition of the validation regions used for the FNP lepton estimation (see text for further description)

Requirement / region	VR_{1F}^Z	VR_{2F}^Z
Number of signal leptons	≥ 3	
Number of SF-OS pairs	0	
Number of DF-OS pairs	≥ 1	
Leading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 40	
Subleading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 20	
Third leading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 20	< 60
n_{jets} ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	≥ 3	≥ 3
$n_{b\text{-tagged jets}}$ ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	≥ 1	–
E_T^{miss} [GeV]	> 50	> 150

whose invariant mass is compatible with the Z boson mass ($|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 15$ GeV, with $m_Z = 91.2$ GeV) is required. In addition, the leading (highest p_T) lepton is required to have $p_T > 40$ GeV and the subleading to have $p_T > 20$ GeV. The SF-OS requirements are not applied for the validation of the background induced by fake and non-prompt leptons in Sect. 5.1.

Table 5 Background fit results for the FNP validation regions. The ‘Others’ category is dominated by $t\bar{t}W$ production and also contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h$, $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}t$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, Wh , and Zh production. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are given. Some of the uncertainties are correlated and therefore the overall sum in quadrature does not necessarily add to the total systematic uncertainty. The number of $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson background events is estimated as described in Sect. 5.2

	VR_{1F}^Z	VR_{2F}^Z
Observed events	84	98
Total (post-fit) SM events	104 ± 28	98 ± 33
Post-fit, multi-boson	0.7 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.7
Post-fit, $t\bar{t}Z$	9.1 ± 1.7	2.6 ± 0.6
Fake/non-prompt leptons	54 ± 27	76 ± 33
tZ, tWZ	0.9 ± 0.5	0.40 ± 0.21
Others	39 ± 6	16.2 ± 3.1

Four overlapping signal regions (SRs) are optimised for the best discovery sensitivity, two for each of the simplified models described in Sect. 1. The requirements in each SR are summarised in Table 2.

Signal region SR_{1A}^Z is optimised for large $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splittings in the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ model. It includes requirements on $m_{T2}^{3\ell}$, a variation of the transverse mass m_{T2} which is used to bind the masses of a pair of particles that are presumed to have each decayed semi-invisibly into one visible and one invisible particle [76, 77]. In the case of $m_{T2}^{3\ell}$, the two visible legs of the two semi-invisible decays are set to be the third leading lepton and the system of the SF-OS lepton pair with an invariant mass closest to m_Z . Models with small mass differences between the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are targeted with SR_{1B}^Z , which instead imposes requirements on the transverse momentum of the SF-OS lepton pair ($p_T^{\ell\ell}$).

Table 6 Definition of the control and validation regions used for the $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson background estimation

Requirement / region	CR $_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$	VR $_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$	CR $_{VV}^Z$	VR $_{VV}^{Z,n\text{-jet}}$	VR $_{VV}^{Z,b\text{-tag}}$
Number of signal leptons		≥ 3			
Number of SF–OS pairs		≥ 1			
Leading lepton p_T [GeV]		> 40			
$ m_{\ell\ell}^{\text{SF-OS}} - m_Z $ [GeV]		< 15			
Second leading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 20	> 20	> 20	> 40	> 40
Third leading lepton p_T [GeV]	> 20	> 20	> 20	> 40	> 40
$n_{\text{jets}}(p_T > 30 \text{ GeV})$	≥ 4	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 3	3
$n_{b\text{-tagged jets}}(p_T > 30 \text{ GeV})$	≥ 1	≥ 1	0	0	≥ 0
E_T^{miss} [GeV]	50–100	100–150	50–200	200–300	200–300

Table 7 Background fit results for the control and validation regions for the $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson backgrounds. The pre-fit predictions from MC simulation are given for comparison for those backgrounds ($t\bar{t}Z$, multi-boson) that are normalised to data. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}t$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, Wh , and Zh production.

Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are given. Some of the uncertainties are correlated and therefore the overall sum in quadrature does not necessarily add to the total systematic uncertainty. The number of events with fake/non-prompt leptons is estimated with the data-driven technique described in Sect. 5.1

	CR $_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$	VR $_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$	CR $_{VV}^Z$	VR $_{VV}^{Z,n\text{-jet}}$	VR $_{VV}^{Z,b\text{-tag}}$
Observed events	220	172	820	39	34
Total (post-fit) SM events	220 ± 15	179 ± 16	820 ± 29	30 ± 8	26 ± 6
Post-fit, multi-boson	28 ± 7	25 ± 8	698 ± 34	26 ± 8	17 ± 6
Post-fit, $t\bar{t}Z$	142 ± 22	105 ± 20	57 ± 11	2.8 ± 0.6	5.4 ± 1.2
Fake/non-prompt leptons	15.1 ± 1.7	16.7 ± 1.9	41 ± 15	< 1.5	$0.9^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$
tZ, tWZ	27 ± 14	23 ± 12	19 ± 10	0.9 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 0.9
Others	8.0 ± 1.4	9.7 ± 2.1	5.8 ± 1.3	0.46 ± 0.09	0.62 ± 0.12
Pre-fit, multi-boson	35.4 ± 3.5	31 ± 7	870 ± 200	32 ± 7	22 ± 5
Pre-fit, $t\bar{t}Z$	154 ± 14	114 ± 5	61.7 ± 3.4	3.1 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 0.6

Two SRs are optimised for the $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bff'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ model, SR $_{2A}^Z$ and SR $_{2B}^Z$, targeting small and large mass splittings between the \tilde{t}_2 and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, respectively. Due to the overall soft kinematics of the particles in compressed \tilde{t}_2 signals, SR $_{2A}^Z$ features upper bounds on the p_T of the third leading lepton and on $p_T^{\ell\ell}$, as well as no requirement on the number of b -tagged jets since they are likely to be soft in this scenario. SR $_{2B}^Z$ also includes an upper bound on the third leading lepton p_T but requires the presence of b -tagged jets and large E_T^{miss} and $p_T^{\ell\ell}$.

The requirement on the number of signal leptons makes the SR $_{1A}^Z$ and SR $_{1B}^Z$ selections insensitive to potential contributions from alternative \tilde{t}_1 decays with each $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The acceptance for mixed decay scenarios, with $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^0t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ was found to depend linearly on the branching fraction of \tilde{t}_1 to $t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$. The signal lepton multiplicity requirement depletes the contribution from the direct production of \tilde{t}_1 pairs to SR $_{2A}^Z$ and SR $_{2B}^Z$.

4.2 1ℓ selection

Events in this selection are accepted if they satisfy a combination of single-lepton and E_T^{miss} trigger requirements, the latter being used only for events with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 230$ GeV and lepton $p_T < 30$ GeV. The offline requirements on the E_T^{miss} , p_T , identification and isolation of the lepton are tighter than those applied online, so as to be on the trigger efficiency plateau [25]. The presence of exactly one signal lepton (electron or muon) is required.

The identification of Higgs boson candidates decaying into b -quarks is performed using a neural network that uses as input the four-momentum and b -tagging information of pairs of jets. The scaled jet p_T/m_{jj} observable is used to prevent the dijet invariant mass being used as the primary discriminating variable. The network is trained with the PyTorch package [78] using as signal dijet pairs originating from Higgs boson decays in the simulated $t\bar{t}H$ process, and as background dijet pairs in $t\bar{t}$ and $t\bar{t}H$ not originating from Higgs boson decays. A jet pair is tagged as a Higgs boson




Fig. 2 Jet multiplicity distributions in control and validation regions **a** CR_{tZ}^Z , **b** CR_{VV}^Z , **c** VR_{tZ}^Z and **d** $VR_{VV}^{Z,n-jet}$ after normalising the $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson background processes via the simultaneous fit described in Sect. 5. The contributions from all SM backgrounds are shown as a histogram stack; the bands represent the total uncertainty in the background prediction. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h$,

$t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}t$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, Wh , and Zh production. The ‘FNP’ category represents the background from fake or non-prompt leptons. The last bin in each figure contains the overflow. The lower panels show the ratio of the observed data to the total SM background prediction, with bands representing the total uncertainty in the background prediction

candidate if the corresponding neural network score is above a threshold optimised to target models predicting sizeable branching fractions of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ into Higgs bosons. The efficiency to correctly identify jet pairs originating from Higgs boson decays in signal events is 50–54% depending on the \tilde{t}_1 mass, as evaluated on a simulated event sample selected by requir-

ing one signal lepton and at least two b -tagged jets. Using the same selection, the probability of tagging a jet pair in $t\bar{t}$ events is approximately 0.05%.

Two overlapping SRs are optimised for the best discovery sensitivity in the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ simplified model discussed in Sect. 1, and their requirements are summarised

Table 8 Definition of the control region used for the $t\bar{t}$ background estimation

Requirement/region	$CR_{t\bar{t}}^h$
Number of signal leptons	1
$n_{h\text{-cand}}$	–
$n_{b\text{-tagged jets}} (p_T > 30 \text{ GeV})$	≥ 4
$n_{\text{jets}} (p_T > 60 \text{ GeV})$	4
$m_T [\text{GeV}]$	> 100
S	7–10

Table 9 Background fit results for the $t\bar{t}$ background control region in the 1ℓ selection. The pre-fit predictions from MC simulation are given for comparison for those backgrounds ($t\bar{t}$) that are normalised to data. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ production. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}\bar{t}$ production. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are given. Some of the uncertainties are correlated and therefore the overall sum in quadrature does not necessarily add to the total systematic uncertainty

	$CR_{t\bar{t}}^h$
Observed events	119
Total (post-fit) SM events	119 ± 11
Post-fit, $t\bar{t}$	105 ± 11
V, VV	0.6 ± 0.5
$t\bar{t}W, t\bar{t}Z$	3.0 ± 0.7
Higgs	5.1 ± 1.8
Single top	4.6 ± 1.5
Others	0.73 ± 0.16
Pre-fit, $t\bar{t}$	96 ± 6

in Table 3. Both require the presence of at least four b -tagged jets and at least one Higgs boson candidate ($n_{h\text{-cand}}$). The SRs include requirements on the transverse mass m_T (computed as $m_T = \sqrt{2p_T^\ell E_T^{\text{miss}}(1 - \cos \Delta\phi)}$ where $\Delta\phi$ is the azimuthal angle between the missing transverse momentum vector and the lepton), and on the object-based E_T^{miss} -significance [79] (S), which is used to discriminate events where the E_T^{miss} is due to invisible particles in the final state from events where the E_T^{miss} is due to poorly measured particles and jets. The requirements on $n_{b\text{-tagged jets}}$ and $n_{h\text{-cand}}$ reduce the potential contributions from alternative \tilde{t}_1 decays with each $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ to a negligible level. Analogously to the 3ℓ selection, the acceptance for mixed decay scenarios with $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^0 t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ has been found to depend linearly on the branching fraction of \tilde{t}_1 to $t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$.

Signal region SR_{1A}^h is optimised for small $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splittings, while large $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 - \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass splittings are targeted by SR_{1B}^h .

5 Background estimation

The dominant SM background contribution to the 3ℓ SRs is expected to originate from $t\bar{t}Z$ production, with minor contributions from multi-boson production (mainly WZ) and backgrounds containing hadrons misidentified as leptons (hereafter referred to as ‘fake’ leptons) or non-prompt leptons from decays of hadrons (mainly in $t\bar{t}$ events). The main background affecting the 1ℓ SRs is expected to originate from $t\bar{t}$ production in association with heavy-flavour quarks.

The background from fake/non-prompt (FNP) leptons is estimated in a data-driven way, while the normalisation of the main backgrounds ($t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson in the 3ℓ selection; $t\bar{t}$ in the 1ℓ selection) is obtained by fitting the yield from MC simulation to the observed data in dedicated control regions (CRs) enhanced in a particular background component, and then extrapolating this yield to the SRs. Backgrounds from other sources, which provide a subdominant contribution to the SRs, are determined from MC simulation only.

The expected SM background is determined separately in each SR from a profile likelihood fit [80] implemented in the HistFitter framework [81]. The fit uses as a constraint the observed event yield in the fitted regions to adjust the normalisation of the main backgrounds. The quality of the resulting background model is judged by performing a ‘background-only’ fit to data using exclusively the event yield in the CRs to adjust the normalisation of the backgrounds. The agreement of the resulting background model is compared with the data yields in dedicated validation regions (VRs). Systematic uncertainties related to the MC modelling affect the expected yields in the SRs and CRs, and are taken into account to determine the uncertainty in the background prediction. Each source of uncertainty is described by a single nuisance parameter, and correlations between background processes and selections are taken into account. The VRs, used to assess the quality of the background model, are not used to constrain the nuisance parameters in the fit. The fit does not significantly affect either the uncertainty or the central value of these nuisance parameters. The systematic uncertainties considered in the fit are described in Sect. 6.

5.1 Fake/non-prompt lepton background

A method similar to that described in Refs. [82,83] is used for the estimation of the FNP lepton background. Two types of lepton identification criteria are defined for this evaluation: ‘tight’ and ‘loose’, corresponding to the signal and candidate electrons and muons described in Sect. 4. With the number of observed events with tight or loose leptons, the method estimates the number of events containing prompt or FNP leptons using as input the probability for loose prompt or FNP leptons to satisfy the tight criteria. The probability for prompt loose leptons to satisfy the tight selection is determined from




Fig. 3 **a** Transverse mass and **b** number of Higgs boson candidates distributions in $CR_{t\bar{t}}^h$ after normalising the $t\bar{t}$ background process via the simultaneous fit described in Sect. 5. The contributions from all SM backgrounds are shown as a histogram stack; the bands represent the total uncertainty in the background prediction. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson

fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ production. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production. The last bin in each figure contains the overflow. The lower panels show the ratio of the observed data to the total SM background prediction, with bands representing the total uncertainty in the background prediction




Fig. 4 Comparison of the observed and expected event yields in the different kinematic regions used to validate the $t\bar{t}$ background estimation in the 1ℓ selection. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ pro-

duction. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production. The lower panel shows the ratio of the observed data to the total SM background prediction, with bands representing the total uncertainty in the background prediction




Fig. 5 Comparison of the relative uncertainty for the total background yield in each SR, including the contribution from the different sources of uncertainty. The ‘Detector’ category contains all detector-related systematic uncertainties and is dominated by jet energy scale and resolution, and b -tagging uncertainties

$t\bar{t}Z$ MC simulation, applying correction factors obtained by comparing $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) events in data and MC simulation. The equivalent probability for loose FNP leptons to satisfy the tight selection is measured in a data sample enhanced in $t\bar{t}$ using events with one electron and one muon with the same charge plus at least one b -tagged jet.

The estimates for the FNP background are validated in dedicated regions with selection criteria similar to those defining the 3ℓ SRs but with reduced contributions from processes with three prompt leptons. Two VRs are defined as detailed in Table 4, with VR_{1F}^Z probing the lepton p_T regime in SR_{1A}^Z and SR_{1B}^Z , while VR_{2F}^Z includes soft lepton requirements as in SR_{2A}^Z and SR_{2B}^Z . To enhance fakes and be mutually exclusive with the SR, events in these VRs are required to have no SF–OS dilepton pairs and to have at least one different-flavour opposite-sign (DF–OS) dilepton pair. The observed and expected yields in these VRs are shown in Table 5, with a purity of FNP leptons above 55% and with good agreement between data and the background estimates.

The contribution of the FNP background in the 1ℓ selection is negligible.

5.2 Estimation of the $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson background in the 3ℓ selection

The two dedicated control regions used for the $t\bar{t}Z$ ($\text{CR}_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$) and multi-boson (CR_{VV}^Z) background estimation in the 3ℓ selection are defined in Table 6. To ensure mutual exclusion with the SRs, only events with $50 \text{ GeV} < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ are included in $\text{CR}_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$, while a b -tagged jet veto and a $50 \text{ GeV} < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$ requirement are applied in CR_{VV}^Z .

To validate the background estimates and provide a statistically independent cross-check of the extrapolation to the SRs, three validation regions are defined, as shown in Table 6. The $\text{VR}_{t\bar{t}Z}^Z$ region primarily validates the $t\bar{t}Z$ background estimate. The $\text{VR}_{VV}^{Z,n\text{-jet}}$ and $\text{VR}_{VV}^{Z,b\text{-tag}}$ regions validate the multi-boson background estimate, the former focusing on the extrapolation in jet multiplicity and the latter releasing the b -tagged jet veto. The overlap between these multi-boson VRs is around 50%.

Table 7 shows the observed and expected yields in the CRs and VRs for each background source, and Fig. 2 shows the jet multiplicity distribution after the background fit for these CRs and VRs. The normalisation factors for the $t\bar{t}Z$ and multi-boson backgrounds do not differ from unity by more than 20% and the post-fit MC-simulated jet multiplicity distributions agree well with the data.

5.3 Estimation of the $t\bar{t}$ background in the 1ℓ selection

The $t\bar{t}$ background represents more than 70% of the total background in the 1ℓ SRs and it is estimated with the aid of a dedicated control region ($\text{CR}_{t\bar{t}}^h$), defined in Table 8. Compared to the SRs in Table 3, this region does not apply any selection on the number of Higgs boson candidates and features relaxed requirements on m_T , while only events with exactly four jets and $7 < \mathcal{S} < 10$ are included in this CR to ensure orthogonality with the SRs. Table 9 shows the observed and expected yields in the CR for each background source, and Fig. 3 shows the distribution of the transverse mass and number of Higgs boson candidates after the background fit. The normalisation factor for the $t\bar{t}$ background was found to be 1.09 ± 0.13 .

Table 10 Observed and expected numbers of events in the 3ℓ signal regions. The pre-fit predictions from MC simulation are given for comparison for those backgrounds ($t\bar{t}Z$, multi-boson) that are normalised to data in dedicated control regions. The ‘Others’ category is dominated by $t\bar{t}W$ production and also contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h, t\bar{t}WW, t\bar{t}\tau, t\bar{t}\tau\tau, Wh,$ and Zh production. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are given. The table also includes model-independent 95%

CL upper limits on the visible number of BSM events (S_{obs}^{95}), the number of BSM events given the expected number of background events (S_{exp}^{95}) and the visible BSM cross-section (σ_{vis}), as well as the discovery p -value (p_0) for the background-only hypothesis, all calculated from pseudo-experiments. The value of p_0 is capped at 0.5 if the observed number of events is below the expected number of events

	SR_{1A}^Z	SR_{1B}^Z	SR_{2A}^Z	SR_{2B}^Z
Observed events	3	14	3	6
Total (post-fit) SM events	5.7 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 2.0	5.6 ± 1.6	5.5 ± 0.9
Post-fit, multi-boson	0.49 ± 0.22	1.5 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 1.0	1.4 ± 0.6
Post-fit, $t\bar{t}Z$	$2.8 \pm .9$	7.9 ± 1.9	0.70 ± 0.23	2.2 ± 0.7
Fake or non-prompt leptons	0.74 ± 0.24	0.04 ± 0.02	1.8 ± 1.1	0.65 ± 0.12
tZ, tWZ	0.8 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 1.2	0.19 ± 0.10	1.0 ± 0.5
Others	0.84 ± 0.18	0.51 ± 0.11	0.25 ± 0.07	0.19 ± 0.04
Pre-fit, multi-boson	0.61 ± 0.23	1.9 ± 0.5	3.3 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 0.7
Pre-fit, $t\bar{t}Z$	3.0 ± 0.7	8.5 ± 1.6	0.76 ± 0.21	2.4 ± 0.5
S_{obs}^{95}	4.5	11.7	4.9	7.0
S_{exp}^{95}	$6.2^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$	$9.2^{+4.1}_{-1.3}$	$6.1^{+2.6}_{-1.7}$	$6.6^{+2.5}_{-1.8}$
σ_{vis} [fb]	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.05
p_0	0.50	0.31	0.50	0.4

The extrapolation across S and m_T between $CR_{t\bar{t}}^h$ and the SRs is tested in several validation regions. Figure 4 shows the definition, the observed number of events and expected yields in these regions, which feature either the same b -tagged jets multiplicity as the SRs with relaxed m_T requirements, or the same m_T selections as the SRs requiring exactly three b -tagged jets. Good agreement between the background estimation and the data is observed in all these validation regions.

6 Systematic uncertainties

The main sources of systematic uncertainty affecting the analysis SRs are related to the theoretical and modelling uncertainties in the background, the limited number of events in the CRs and MC simulated samples, the uncertainties in the FNP probabilities, as well as the jet energy scale and resolution. The effects of the systematic uncertainties are evaluated for all signal samples and background processes. Since the normalisation of the dominant background processes is extracted in dedicated CRs, the systematic uncertainties only affect the extrapolation to the SRs in these cases. Figure 5 summarises the contributions from the different sources of systematic uncertainty to the total SM background predictions in the signal regions.

The jet energy scale and resolution uncertainties are derived as a function of the p_T and η of the jet, as well as of the pile-up conditions and the jet flavour composition (more

like a quark or a gluon) of the selected jet sample. They are determined using a combination of data and simulated event samples, through measurements of the jet response asymmetry in dijet, Z +jet and γ +jet events [67,84].

Systematic uncertainties in the b -tagging efficiency are estimated by varying the η -, p_T - and flavour-dependent scale factors applied to each jet in the simulation within a range that reflects the systematic uncertainty in the measured tagging efficiency and mis-tag rates in data [71–73].

Other detector-related systematic uncertainties, such as those related to the E_T^{miss} modelling, as well as lepton reconstruction efficiency, energy scale and energy resolution are found to have a small impact on the results.

Systematic uncertainties are assigned to the FNP background estimation to account for different compositions (heavy flavour, light flavour or conversions) between the signal and control regions, as well as the contamination from prompt leptons in the regions used to measure the FNP probabilities.

The diboson background MC modelling uncertainties are estimated by varying the renormalisation, factorisation and resummation scales used to generate the samples [30]. For the $t\bar{t}Z$ background, uncertainties due to parton shower and hadronisation modelling are evaluated by comparing the predictions from MG5_AMC@NLO interfaced to PYTHIA and HERWIG 7.0.4 [85], while the uncertainties related to the choice of renormalisation and factorisation scales are assessed by varying the corresponding event generator




Fig. 6 Distributions of **a** E_T^{miss} in SR_{1A}^Z , **b** $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ in SR_{1B}^Z , **c** E_T^{miss} in SR_{2A}^Z , and **d** $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ in SR_{2B}^Z for events passing all the SR requirements except those on the variable being plotted (the requirements are indicated by the arrows). The contributions from all SM backgrounds are shown after the background fit described in Sect. 5; the hashed bands

represent the total uncertainty. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}t$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, Wh , and Zh production. The ‘FNP’ category represents the background from fake or non-prompt leptons. The expected distributions for selected signal models are also shown as dashed lines. The last bin in each figure contains the overflow

parameters up and down by a factor of two around their nominal values [31]. For the $t\bar{t}$ background, uncertainties due to parton shower and hadronisation modelling are evaluated by comparing the predictions from POWHEG-BOX interfaced to PYTHIA and HERWIG 7.0.4 [85], while the uncertainties due to the choice of generator are evaluated by comparing the predictions from POWHEG-BOX and MG5_AMC@NLO both interfaced to PYTHIA. Variations of the $t\bar{t}$ initial- and final-state radiation, renormalisation and factorisation scales are also considered [86].

The cross-sections used to normalise the MC samples are varied according to the uncertainty in the cross-section calculation, i.e. 6% for diboson, 12% for $t\bar{t}Z$, 13% for $t\bar{t}W$ [34] and 5% for single top production. For $t\bar{t}WW$, tZ , tWZ , $t\bar{t}h$,

Wh , Zh , $t\bar{t}t$, $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, and triboson production processes, which constitute a small background, a 50% uncertainty in the event yields is assumed.

7 Results

The observed number of events and expected yields are shown in Tables 10 and 11 for each of the inclusive 3ℓ and 1ℓ SRs, respectively. Figures 6 and 7 show kinematic distributions after applying all the SR selection requirements except those on E_T^{miss} , $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ or S and jet multiplicity. The data agree with the SM background predictions and these results




Fig. 7 Distributions of **a** E_T^{miss} significance in SR_{1A}^h and **b** jet multiplicity in SR_{1B}^h , for events passing all the SR requirements except those on the variable being plotted (the requirements are indicated by the arrows). The contributions from all SM backgrounds are shown after the background fit described in Sect. 5; the hashed bands represent the total uncertainty. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from

gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ production. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production. The expected distributions for selected signal models are also shown as dashed lines. The last bin in each figure contains the overflow




Fig. 8 Comparison of the observed and expected event yields in all the 3ℓ SRs and bins used for the model-dependent exclusion limits. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}h$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}t$,

$t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$, Wh , and Zh production. The ‘FNP’ category represents the background from fake or non-prompt leptons. The lower panel shows the significance in each SR bin, computed as described in Ref. [88]

are interpreted as exclusion limits for several beyond-the-SM (BSM) scenarios.

The HistFitter framework, which utilises a profile-likelihood-ratio test statistic [80], is used to estimate 95% confidence intervals using the CL_s prescription [87]. The likelihood is built from the product of probability density functions describing the observed numbers of events in the SR and the associated CRs. The statistical uncertainties in the CRs

and SRs are modelled using Poisson distributions. Systematic uncertainties enter the likelihood as nuisance parameters that are constrained by Gaussian distributions whose widths correspond to the sizes of these uncertainties. Table 10 also shows upper limits (at the 95% CL) on the number of BSM events S^{95} , and on the visible BSM cross-section $\sigma_{\text{vis}} = S_{\text{obs}}^{95} / \int \mathcal{L} dt$, defined as the product of the production cross-section, acceptance and efficiency.




Fig. 9 Comparison of the observed and expected event yields in all the $1l$ SRs and bins used for the model-dependent exclusion limits. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ production. The ‘Others’ category

contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production. The lower panel shows the significance in each SR bin, computed as described in Ref. [88]

Model-dependent limits are also set in specific classes of SUSY models. For each signal hypothesis, the background fit is repeated taking into account the signal contamination in the CRs, which is found to be below 12% for signal models close to the existing exclusion limits [20]. Correlations of the uncertainties between the SM backgrounds and the signals are taken into account.

To enhance the exclusion power in the SUSY models considered, a ‘shape-fit’ approach is used where several mutually exclusive bins in different kinematic variables are defined in order to take advantage of the different signal-to-background ratios in the different bins. Table 12 shows the definition of these bins, which loosen a few of the requirements of the discovery SRs to increase the acceptance for different classes of models across the phase space (Tables 2 and 3). SR_{2A}^Z is used in the exclusion fits with no changes. The observed number of events and expected yields in all these bins are shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

Figure 10 shows the exclusion limits in the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ simplified model with 50% branching ratios to each $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decay mode. These results are obtained from the statistical combination of the shape-fit bins of SR_{1A}^Z , SR_{1B}^Z , SR_{1A}^h , SR_{1B}^h and SR_{1AB}^h shown in Table 12. The bins of SR_{1A}^h , SR_{1B}^h and SR_{1AB}^h are separately combined with the bins of SR_{1A}^Z and SR_{1B}^Z . For each combination of sparticle masses, only the option among those two with best expected sensitivity is considered for the final limit setting. The change in best expected combination is responsible for the kink at \tilde{t}_1 masses of about 900–1000 GeV and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses below




Fig. 10 Exclusion limits at 95% CL on the masses of the \tilde{t}_1 and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, for a fixed $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0$ GeV, assuming $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0.5$ and $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0.5$. The dashed line and the shaded band are the expected limit and its $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty, respectively. The thick solid line is the observed limit for the central value of the signal cross-section. The expected and observed limits do not include the effect of the theoretical uncertainties in the signal cross-section. The dotted lines show the effect on the observed limit of varying the signal cross-section by $\pm 1\sigma$ of the theoretical uncertainty. Results are compared with the observed limits obtained by the previous ATLAS search in Ref. [20]

200 GeV. For $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses above 225 GeV, \tilde{t}_1 masses up to about 1100 GeV are excluded at 95% CL, while \tilde{t}_1 masses below 1220 GeV are excluded for a $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ mass of 925 GeV. These results improve upon the existing limits on the \tilde{t}_1 mass in this model by approximately 300 GeV [20].

Table 11 Observed and expected numbers of events in the 1ℓ signal regions. The pre-fit predictions from MC simulation are given for comparison for the $t\bar{t}$ background that is normalised to data in a dedicated control region. The ‘Higgs’ category contains the contributions from gluon–gluon fusion, vector-boson fusion, Wh , Zh and $t\bar{t}h$ production. The ‘Others’ category contains the contributions from $t\bar{t}WW$, $t\bar{t}WZ$, $t\bar{t}t$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are given. The table also includes model-independent 95% CL upper limits on the visible number of BSM events (S_{obs}^{95}), the number of BSM events given the expected number of background events (S_{exp}^{95}) and the visible BSM cross-section (σ_{vis}), as well as the discovery p -value (p_0) for the background-only hypothesis, all calculated from pseudo-experiments. The value of p_0 is capped at 0.5 if the observed number of events is below the expected number of events

	SR _{1A} ^h	SR _{1B} ^h
Observed events	11	24
Total (post-fit) SM events	17 ± 3	19 ± 5
Post-fit, $t\bar{t}$	12 ± 3	15 ± 5
V, VV	0.05 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.08
$t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$	1.16 ± 0.26	0.95 ± 0.25
Higgs	1.19 ± 0.21	0.9 ± 0.4
Single top	1.38 ± 0.23	0.74 ± 0.22
Others	0.68 ± 0.13	1.53 ± 0.32
Pre-fit, $t\bar{t}$	11.0 ± 2.4	14 ± 4
S_{obs}^{95}	7.0	18.1
S_{exp}^{95}	10.3 ^{+4.4} _{-3.2}	14.2 ^{+6.0} _{-3.8}
σ_{vis} [fb]	0.05	0.13
p_0	0.50	0.25

The same statistical combination strategy is also used to obtain exclusion limits in the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ simplified model for different $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay branching ratios, shown in Fig. 11. For $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses above 300 GeV, the exclusion limits on \tilde{t}_1 masses vary by at most 40 GeV depending on the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h/Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ branching ratios. However, for $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ masses below 200 GeV the exclusion limits on \tilde{t}_1 masses are up to 300 GeV better for models featuring only $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays compared with models only considering the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays.

Figure 12 shows the exclusion limits in the $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bff'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ simplified model for a mass difference between the \tilde{t}_1 and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 40 GeV. Several options for the \tilde{t}_1 and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass difference are also considered and the sensitivity is found to appreciably decrease only for values below 20 GeV. These results are obtained from the statistical combination of SR_{2A}^Z and the shape-fit bins of SR_{2B}^Z shown in Table 12. The shape of the contour is driven by SR_{2A}^Z and SR_{2B^Z being most sensitive to small and large mass splittings between the \tilde{t}_2 and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ respectively. Masses of the \tilde{t}_2 up to 875 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass of about}

Table 12 Selection criteria used in the shape-fit to derive the model-dependent exclusion limits. The additional SR labelled as SR_{IAB}^h overlaps with both SR_{1A}^h and SR_{1B}^h defined in Table 3

SR _{1A} ^Z	E_T^{miss} [GeV]	200–250, 250–300, 300–350, >350
SR _{1B} ^Z	$p_T^{\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	150–300, 300–450, 450–600, >600
SR _{2B} ^Z	E_T^{miss} [GeV]	300–350, >350
	$p_T^{\ell\ell}$ [GeV]	50–150, >150
SR _{1A} ^h	$n_{h\text{-cand}}$	1, ≥2
	$n_{\text{jets}} (p_T > 60 \text{ GeV})$	4
	S	10–12, 12–14
SR _{1B} ^h	$n_{h\text{-cand}}$	1, ≥2
	$n_{\text{jets}} (p_T > 60 \text{ GeV})$	5, ≥6
	S	7–14
SR _{IAB} ^h	$n_{h\text{-cand}}$	≥1
	$n_{\text{jets}} (p_T > 60 \text{ GeV})$	≥4
	S	≥14




Fig. 11 Exclusion limits at 95% CL on the masses of the \tilde{t}_1 and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, for a fixed $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0$ GeV and different values of $B(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ with $B(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1 - B(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow h\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. The dashed and solid lines are the expected and observed limits for the central value of the signal cross-section, respectively. The expected and observed limits do not include the effect of the theoretical uncertainties in the signal cross-section

350 GeV and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses of approximately 520 (450) GeV are excluded for \tilde{t}_2 masses of 650 (800) GeV, extending beyond the previous limits on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass from Ref. [89] by up to 160 GeV.

8 Conclusion

A search for direct top squark pair production in events with a leptonically decaying Z boson or a SM Higgs boson decaying into a b-quark pair is presented. The analysis uses 139 fb⁻¹




Fig. 12 Exclusion limits at 95% CL on the masses of the \tilde{t}_2 and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, for a fixed $m(\tilde{t}_1) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 40$ GeV and assuming $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1) = 1$. The dashed line and the shaded band are the expected limit and its $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty, respectively. The thick solid line is the observed limit for the central value of the signal cross-section. The expected and observed limits do not include the effect of the theoretical uncertainties in the signal cross-section. The dotted lines show the effect on the observed limit of varying the signal cross-section by $\pm 1\sigma$ of the theoretical uncertainty

of proton–proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS detector at the LHC. No excess over the SM background predictions is observed, and exclusion limits are presented for a selection of simplified models. The limits exclude, at 95% confidence level, \tilde{t}_1 masses up to 1220 GeV in models featuring \tilde{t}_1 production and $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z/h\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays, and \tilde{t}_2 masses up to 875 GeV in models featuring \tilde{t}_2 production and $\tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow Z\tilde{t}_1$ with $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bff'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays. Compared with previous limits, these results extend the mass parameter space exclusion by up to 300 GeV in \tilde{t}_1 mass and by up to 160 GeV in $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass in the considered \tilde{t}_2 model.

Acknowledgements We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently. We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS and CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC and Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benozzi Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russia Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF,

CANARIE, Compute Canada and CRC, Canada; ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and COST, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex, Investissements d’Avenir IDEX and ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and GIF, Israel; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya and PROMETEO Programme Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; Göran Gustafssons Stiftelse, Sweden; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom. The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [90].

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: All ATLAS scientific output is published in journals, and preliminary results are made available in Conference Notes. All are openly available, without restriction on use by external parties beyond copyright law and the standard conditions agreed by CERN. Data associated with journal publications are also made available: tables and data from plots (e.g. cross section values, likelihood profiles, selection efficiencies, cross section limits, ...) are stored in appropriate repositories such as HEPDATA (<http://hepdata.cedar.ac.uk/>). ATLAS also strives to make additional material related to the paper available that allows a reinterpretation of the data in the context of new theoretical models. For example, an extended encapsulation of the analysis is often provided for measurements in the framework of RIVET (<http://rivet.hepforge.org/>).] This information is taken from the ATLAS Data Access Policy, which is a public document that can be downloaded from <http://opendata.cern.ch/record/413> [opendata.cern.ch].]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. Y. Golfand, E. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of P invariance. JETP Lett. **13**, 323 (1971). [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **13** (1971) 452]
2. D. Volkov, V. Akulov, Is the neutrino a goldstone particle? Phys. Lett. B **46**, 109 (1973). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(73\)90490-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(73)90490-5)
3. J. Wess, B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four dimensions. Nucl. Phys. B **70**, 39 (1974). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90355-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90355-1)
4. J. Wess, B. Zumino, Supergauge invariant extension of quantum electrodynamics. Nucl. Phys. B **78**, 1 (1974). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90112-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90112-6)

5. S. Ferrara, B. Zumino, Supergauge invariant Yang–Mills theories. Nucl. Phys. B **79**, 413 (1974). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90559-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90559-8)
6. A. Salam, J. Strathdee, Super-symmetry and non-Abelian gauges. Phys. Lett. B **51**, 353 (1974). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(74\)90226-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(74)90226-3)
7. G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet, Phenomenology of the production, decay, and detection of new hadronic states associated with supersymmetry. Phys. Lett. B **76**, 575 (1978). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90858-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90858-4)
8. N. Sakai, Naturalness in supersymmetric GUTS. Z. Phys. C **11**, 153 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01573998>
9. S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, F. Wilczek, Supersymmetry and the scale of unification. Phys. Rev. D **24**, 1681 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.1681>
10. L.E. Ibáñez, G.G. Ross, Low-energy predictions in supersymmetric grand unified theories. Phys. Lett. B **105**, 439 (1981). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(81\)91200-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)91200-4)
11. S. Dimopoulos, H. Georgi, Softly broken supersymmetry and SU(5). Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 150 (1981). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(81\)90522-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90522-8)
12. R. Barbieri, G. Giudice, Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses. Nucl. Phys. B **306**, 63 (1988). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(88\)90171-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(88)90171-X)
13. B. de Carlos, J. Casas, One-loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine-tuning problem. Phys. Lett. B **309**, 320 (1993). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)90940-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90940-J). arXiv:hep-ph/9303291
14. K. Inoue, A. Kakuto, H. Komatsu, S. Takeshita, Aspects of Grand Unified Models with Softly Broken Supersymmetry. Prog. Theor. Phys. **68**, 927 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.68.927>. Erratum: Prog. Theor. Phys. **70**, 330 (1983)
15. J.R. Ellis, S. Rudaz, Search for supersymmetry in toponium decays. Phys. Lett. B **128**, 248 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(83\)90402-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90402-1)
16. J. Alwall, M.-P. Le, M. Lisanti, J.G. Wacker, Searching for directly decaying gluinos at the Tevatron. Phys. Lett. B **666**, 34 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.065>. arXiv:0803.0019 [hep-ph]
17. J. Alwall, P. Schuster, N. Toro, Simplified models for a first characterization of new physics at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D **79**, 075020 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.075020>. arXiv:0810.3921 [hep-ph]
18. D. Alves et al., Simplified models for LHC new physics searches. J. Phys. G **39**, 105005 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/39/10/105005>. arXiv:1105.2838 [hep-ph]
19. ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, JINST 3 (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003>
20. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for direct top squark pair production in events with a Higgs or Z boson, and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, JHEP 08 (2017) 006, arXiv:1706.03986 [hep-ex]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2017\)006](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2017)006)
21. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for direct top squark pair production in events with a Z boson, b-jets and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 2883, arXiv:1403.5222 [hep-ex]. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2883-6>
22. C.M.S. Collaboration, Search for top-squark pairs decaying into Higgs or Z bosons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Phys. Lett. B **736**, 371 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.053>. arXiv:1405.3886 [hep-ex]
23. C.M.S. Collaboration, Search for top-squark pairs decaying into Higgs or Z bosons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Phys. Lett. B **736**, 371 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.053>. arXiv:1405.3886 [hep-ex]
24. B. Abbott et al., Production and integration of the ATLAS Insertable B-Layer. JINST **13**, T05008 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/T05008>. arXiv:1803.00844 [physics.ins-det]
25. ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 317 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4852-3>. arXiv:1611.09661 [hep-ex]
26. ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 653 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4466-1>. arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex]
27. G. Avoni et al., The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS. JINST **13**, P07017 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/07/P07017>
28. ATLAS Collaboration, Simulation of top-quark production for the ATLAS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-004, (2016). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2120417>
29. ATLAS Collaboration, Monte Carlo Generators for the Production of a W or Z/ γ^* Boson in Association with Jets at ATLAS in Run 2, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-003, (2016). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2120133>
30. ATLAS Collaboration, Multi-boson Simulation for 13 TeV ATLAS analyses, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-002, (2016). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2119986>
31. ATLAS Collaboration, Modelling of the $t\bar{t}H$ and $t\bar{t}V$ ($V = W, Z$) processes for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV ATLAS analyses, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-005, (2016). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2120826>
32. T. Gleisberg et al., Event generation with SHERPA JHEP **1.1** **02**, 007 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/007>. arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph]
33. D.J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package. Nucl. Instrum. Methods. A **462**, 152 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(01\)00089-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4)
34. J. Alwall et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations. JHEP **07**, 079 (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079). arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph]
35. T. Sjöstrand et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2. Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>. arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph]
36. W. Beenakker, C. Borschensky, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, NNLL-fast: predictions for coloured supersymmetric particle production at the LHC with threshold and Coulomb resummation. JHEP **12**, 133 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2016\)133](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)133). arXiv:1607.07741 [hep-ph]
37. W. Beenakker, M. Kramer, T. Plehn, M. Spira, P. Zerwas, Stop production at hadron colliders. Nucl. Phys. B **515**, 3 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)00014-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00014-5). arXiv:hep-ph/9710451 [hep-ph]
38. W. Beenakker, S. Brensing, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen et al., Supersymmetric top and bottom squark production at hadron colliders. JHEP **08**, 098 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2010\)098](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)098). arXiv:1006.4771 [hep-ph]
39. W. Beenakker et al., NNLL resummation for stop pair-production at the LHC. JHEP **05**, 153 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2016\)153](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)153). arXiv:1601.02954 [hep-ph]
40. R.D. Ball et al., Parton distributions with LHC data. Nucl. Phys. B **867**, 244 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.003>. arXiv:1207.1303 [hep-ph]
41. ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021, 2014, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1966419>
42. R.D. Ball et al., Parton distributions for the LHC Run II. JHEP **04**, 040 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040). arXiv:1410.8849 [hep-ph]

43. S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method. *JHEP* **11**, 070 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>. arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph]
44. M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, Total top-quark pair-production cross section at Hadron Colliders through $O(\alpha_s^4)$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252004 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004>. arXiv:1303.6254 [hep-ph]
45. M. Czakon, A. Mitov, NNLO corrections to top pair production at hadron colliders: the quark-gluon reaction. *JHEP* **01**, 080 (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2013\)080](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)080). arXiv:1210.6832 [hep-ph]
46. M. Czakon, A. Mitov, NNLO corrections to top-pair production at hadron colliders: the all-fermionic scattering channels. *JHEP* **12**, 054 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)054](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)054). arXiv:1207.0236 [hep-ph]
47. P. Bärnreuther, M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Percent level precision physics at the tevatron: first genuine NNLO QCD corrections to $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 132001 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.132001>. arXiv:1204.5201 [hep-ph]
48. M. Cacciari, M. Czakon, M. Mangano, A. Mitov, P. Nason, Top-pair production at hadron colliders with next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic soft-gluon resummation. *Phys. Lett. B* **710**, 612 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.013>. arXiv:1111.5869 [hep-ph]
49. M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 2930 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021>. arXiv:1112.5675 [hep-ph]
50. LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, *Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 2. Differential Distributions*, (2012), arXiv:1201.3084 [hep-ph]
51. N. Kidonakis, Next-to-next-to-leading-order collinear and soft gluon corrections for t-channel single top quark production. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 091503 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503>. arXiv:1103.2792 [hep-ph]
52. N. Kidonakis, Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions for single top quark associated production with a W- or H-. *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 054018 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018>. arXiv:1005.4451 [hep-ph]
53. N. Kidonakis, NNLL resummation for s-channel single top quark production. *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 054028 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054028>. arXiv:1001.5034 [hep-ph]
54. T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA Comput 8.1. *Phys. Commun.* **178**, 852 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036>. arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph]
55. P. Artoisenet, R. Frederix, O. Mattelaer, R. Rietkerk, Automatic spin-entangled decays of heavy resonances in Monte Carlo simulations. *JHEP* **03**, 015 (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2013\)015](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)015). arXiv:1212.3460 [hep-ph]
56. L. Lönnblad, S. Prestel, Matching tree-level matrix elements with interleaved showers. *JHEP* **03**, 019 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2012\)019](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2012)019). arXiv:1109.4829 [hep-ph]
57. J. Butterworth et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II. *J. Phys. G* **43**, 023001 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/2/023001>. arXiv:1510.03865 [hep-ph]
58. ATLAS Collaboration, *The Pythia 8 A3 tune description of ATLAS minimum bias and inelastic measurements incorporating the Donnachie-Landshoff diffractive model*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017, (2016). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206965>
59. A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63**, 189 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5>. arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]
60. ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** 823, (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1429-9>. arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det]
61. S. Agostinelli et al., GEANT4—a simulation toolkit. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **506**, 250 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
62. ATLAS Collaboration, *Vertex Reconstruction Performance of the ATLAS Detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-026, (2015). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037717>
63. ATLAS Collaboration, *Electron reconstruction and identification in the ATLAS experiment using the 2015 and 2016 LHC proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 639, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7140-6>. arXiv:1902.04655 [hep-ex]
64. ATLAS Collaboration, *Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 292, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4120-y>. arXiv:1603.05598 [hep-ex]
65. ATLAS Collaboration, *Properties of Jets and Inputs to Jet Reconstruction and Calibration with the ATLAS Detector using Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-036, (2015). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2044564>
66. M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_r jet clustering algorithm. *JHEP* **04**, 063 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>. arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph]
67. ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** 072002, (2017). arXiv:1703.09665 [hep-ex]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.072002>
68. ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** 581, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4395-z>. arXiv:1510.03823 [hep-ex]
69. ATLAS Collaboration, *Selection of jets produced in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector*, ATLAS-CONF-2015-029, (2015). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037702>
70. ATLAS Collaboration, *Optimisation and performance studies of the ATLAS b-tagging algorithms for the 2017-18 LHC run*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-013, (2017). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2273281>
71. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS b-jet identification performance and efficiency measurement with $t\bar{t}$ events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** 970, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7450-8>. arXiv:1907.05120 [hep-ex]
72. ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of b-tagging efficiency of c-jets in $t\bar{t}$ events using a likelihood approach with the ATLAS detector*, ATLAS-CONF-2018-001, (2018). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2306649>
73. ATLAS Collaboration, *Calibration of light-flavour b-jet mistagging rates using ATLAS proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, ATLAS-CONF-2018-006, (2018). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2314418>
74. ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector in the first proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-027, (2015). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037904>
75. ATLAS Collaboration, *E_T^{miss} performance in the ATLAS detector using 2015-2016 LHC pp collisions*, ATLAS-CONF-2018-023, (2018). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2625233>
76. C.G. Lester, D.J. Summers, Measuring masses of semi-invisibly decaying particles pair produced at hadron colliders. *Phys. Lett. B* **463**, 99 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(99\)00945-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00945-4). arXiv:hep-ph/9906349 [hep-ph]
77. A. Barr, C.G. Lester, P. Stephens, A variable for measuring masses at hadron colliders when missing energy is expected; m T2: the truth behind the glamour. *J. Phys. G* **29**, 2343 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/29/10/304>

78. A. Paszke et al., PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 32, ed. by H. Wallach et al., Curran Associates, Inc., 2019 8024, <http://papers.nips.cc/paper/9015-pytorch-an-imperative-style-high-performance-deep-learning-library.pdf> (2019)
79. ATLAS Collaboration, *Object-based missing transverse momentum significance in the ATLAS Detector*, ATLAS-CONF-2018-038, (2018). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2630948>
80. G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics*, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** 554, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0> arXiv:1007.1727 [physics.data-an], (Erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C **73** 2501 (2013) 10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z)
81. M. Baak et al., HistFitter software framework for statistical data analysis. Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 153 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3327-7>. arXiv:1410.1280 [hep-ex]
82. ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the top quark-pair production cross section with ATLAS in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** 1577, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1577-6> arXiv:1012.1792 [hep-ex]
83. ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the top quark pair production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in dilepton final states with ATLAS*, Phys. Lett. B **707** 459, (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.055>. arXiv:1108.3699 [hep-ex]
84. ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet Calibration and Systematic Uncertainties for Jets Reconstructed in the ATLAS Detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-015, (2015). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037613>
85. J. Bellm et al., Herwig 7.0/Herwig++3.0 release note. Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 196 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4018-8>. arXiv:1512.01178 [hep-ph]
86. ATLAS Collaboration, *Improvements in $t\bar{t}$ Modelling using NLO+PS Monte Carlo Generators for Run 2*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-009, (2018). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2630327>
87. A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique. J. Phys. G **28**, 2693 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313>
88. R.D. Cousins, J.T. Linnemann, J. Tucker, Evaluation of three methods for calculating statistical significance when incorporating a systematic uncertainty into a test of the background-only hypothesis for a Poisson process. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **595**, 480 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2008.07.086>. arXiv:physics/0702156 [physics]
89. ATLAS Collaboration, *Search for direct top squark pair production in final states with two leptons in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77** 898, (2017). arXiv:1708.03247 [hep-ex]. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5445-x>
90. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2020-001, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2717821>

ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad¹⁰², B. Abbott¹²⁸, D. C. Abbott¹⁰³, A. Abed Abud³⁶, K. Abeling⁵³, D. K. Abhayasinghe⁹⁴, S. H. Abidi¹⁶⁶, O. S. AbouZeid⁴⁰, N. L. Abraham¹⁵⁵, H. Abramowicz¹⁶⁰, H. Abreu¹⁵⁹, Y. Abulaiti⁶, B. S. Acharya^{67a,67b,n}, B. Achkar⁵³, L. Adam¹⁰⁰, C. Adam Bourdarios⁵, L. Adamczyk^{84a}, L. Adamek¹⁶⁶, J. Adelman¹²¹, M. Adersberger¹¹⁴, A. Adiguzel^{12c}, S. Adorni⁵⁴, T. Adye¹⁴³, A. A. Affolder¹⁴⁵, Y. Afik¹⁵⁹, C. Agapopoulou⁶⁵, M. N. Agaras³⁸, A. Aggarwal¹¹⁹, C. Agheorghiesei^{27c}, J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{139a,139f,ad}, A. Ahmad³⁶, F. Ahmadov⁸⁰, W. S. Ahmed¹⁰⁴, X. Ai¹⁸, G. Aielli^{74a,74b}, S. Akatsuka⁸⁶, M. Akbiyik¹⁰⁰, T. P. A. Åkesson⁹⁷, E. Akilli⁵⁴, A. V. Akimov¹¹¹, K. Al Khoury⁶⁵, G. L. Alberghi^{23a,23b}, J. Albert¹⁷⁵, M. J. Alconada Verzini¹⁶⁰, S. Alderweireldt³⁶, M. Aleksa³⁶, I. N. Aleksandrov⁸⁰, C. Alexa^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, A. Alfonsi¹²⁰, F. Alfonsi^{23a,23b}, M. Alhroob¹²⁸, B. Ali¹⁴¹, S. Ali¹⁵⁷, M. Aliev¹⁶⁵, G. Alimonti^{69a}, C. Allaire³⁶, B. M. M. Allbrooke¹⁵⁵, B. W. Allen¹³¹, P. P. Allport²¹, A. Aloisio^{70a,70b}, F. Alonso⁸⁹, C. Alpigiani¹⁴⁷, E. Alunno Camelia^{74a,74b}, M. Alvarez Estevez⁹⁹, M. G. Alvigi^{70a,70b}, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{81b}, A. Ambler¹⁰⁴, L. Ambroz¹³⁴, C. Amelung²⁶, D. Amidei¹⁰⁶, S. P. Amor Dos Santos^{139a}, S. Amoroso⁴⁶, C. S. Amrouche⁵⁴, F. An⁷⁹, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴⁸, N. Andari¹⁴⁴, T. Andeen¹¹, J. K. Anders²⁰, S. Y. Andreev^{45a,45b}, A. Andreazza^{69a,69b}, V. Andrei^{61a}, C. R. Anelli¹⁷⁵, S. Angelidakis⁹, A. Angerami³⁹, A. V. Anisenkov^{122a,122b}, A. Annovi^{72a}, C. Antel⁵⁴, M. T. Anthony¹⁴⁸, E. Antipov¹²⁹, M. Antonelli⁵¹, D. J. A. Antrim¹⁷⁰, F. Anulli^{73a}, M. Aoki⁸², J. A. Aparisi Pozo¹⁷³, M. A. Aparo¹⁵⁵, L. Aperio Bella⁴⁶, N. Aranzabal Barrio³⁶, V. Araujo Ferraz^{81a}, R. Araujo Pereira^{81b}, C. Arcangeletti⁵¹, A. T. H. Arce⁴⁹, F. A. Arduh⁸⁹, J.-F. Arguin¹¹⁰, S. Argyropoulos⁵², J.-H. Arling⁴⁶, A. J. Armbruster³⁶, A. Armstrong¹⁷⁰, O. Arnaez¹⁶⁶, H. Arnold¹²⁰, Z. P. Arrubarrena Tame¹¹⁴, G. Artoni¹³⁴, K. Asai¹²⁶, S. Asai¹⁶², T. Asawatavonvanich¹⁶⁴, N. Asbah⁵⁹, E. M. Asimakopoulou¹⁷¹, L. Asquith¹⁵⁵, J. Assah^{35d}, K. Assamagan²⁹, R. Astalos^{28a}, R. J. Atkin^{33a}, M. Atkinson¹⁷², N. B. Atlay¹⁹, H. Atmani⁶⁵, K. Augsten¹⁴¹, V. A. Austrup¹⁸¹, G. Avolio³⁶, M. K. Ayoub^{15a}, G. Azuelos^{110,al}, H. Bachacou¹⁴⁴, K. Bachas¹⁶¹, M. Backes¹³⁴, F. Backman^{45a,45b}, P. Bagnaia^{73a,73b}, M. Bahmani⁸⁵, H. Bahrasemani¹⁵¹, A. J. Bailey¹⁷³, V. R. Bailey¹⁷², J. T. Baines¹⁴³, C. Bakalis¹⁰, O. K. Baker¹⁸², P. J. Bakker¹²⁰, E. Bakos¹⁶, D. Bakshi Gupta⁸, S. Balaji¹⁵⁶, E. M. Baldin^{122a,122b}, P. Balek¹⁷⁹, F. Balli¹⁴⁴, W. K. Balunas¹³⁴, J. Balz¹⁰⁰, E. Banas⁸⁵, M. Bandieramonte¹³⁸, A. Bandyopadhyay²⁴, Sw. Banerjee^{180,i}, L. Barak¹⁶⁰, W. M. Barbe³⁸, E. L. Barberio¹⁰⁵, D. Barberis^{55a,55b}, M. Barbero¹⁰², G. Barbour⁹⁵, T. Barillari¹¹⁵, M.-S. Barisits³⁶, J. Barkeloo¹³¹, T. Barklow¹⁵², R. Barnea¹⁵⁹, B. M. Barnett¹⁴³, R. M. Barnett¹⁸, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy^{60a}, A. Baroncelli^{60a}

G. Barone²⁹ , A. J. Barr¹³⁴ , L. Barranco Navarro^{45a,45b} , F. Barreiro⁹⁹ , J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{15a} , U. Barron¹⁶⁰ , S. Barsov¹³⁷ , F. Bartels^{61a} , R. Bartoldus¹⁵² , G. Bartolini¹⁰² , A. E. Barton⁹⁰ , P. Bartos^{28a} , A. Basalae⁴⁶ , A. Basan¹⁰⁰ , A. Bassalat^{65.ai} , M. J. Basso¹⁶⁶ , R. L. Bates⁵⁷ , S. Batlamous^{35c} , J. R. Batley³² , B. Batool¹⁵⁰ , M. Battaglia¹⁴⁵ , M. Bauce^{73a,73b} , F. Bauer¹⁴⁴ , K. T. Bauer¹⁷⁰ , P. Bauer²⁴ , H. S. Bawa³¹ , A. Bayirli^{12c} , J. B. Beacham⁴⁹ , T. Beau¹³⁵ , P. H. Beauchemin¹⁶⁹ , F. Becherer⁵² , P. Bechtle²⁴ , H. C. Beck⁵³ , H. P. Beck^{20.p} , K. Becker¹⁷⁷ , C. Becot⁴⁶ , A. Beddall^{12d} , A. J. Beddall^{12a} , V. A. Bednyakov⁸⁰ , M. Bedognetti¹²⁰ , C. P. Bee¹⁵⁴ , T. A. Beermann¹⁸¹ , M. Begalli^{81b} , M. Begel²⁹ , A. Behera¹⁵⁴ , J. K. Behr⁴⁶ , F. Beisiegel²⁴ , M. Belfkir⁵ , A. S. Bell⁹⁵ , G. Bella¹⁶⁰ , L. Bellagamba^{23b} , A. Bellerive³⁴

, P. Bellos⁹ , K. Beloborodov^{122a,122b} , K. Belotskiy¹¹² , N. L. Belyaev¹¹² , D. Benčekroun^{35a} , N. Benekos¹⁰ , Y. Benhammou¹⁶⁰ , D. P. Benjamin⁶ , M. Benoit⁵⁴ , J. R. Bensinger²⁶ , S. Bentvelsen¹²⁰ , L. Beresford¹³⁴ , M. Beretta⁵¹ , D. Berge¹⁹ , E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁷¹ , N. Berger⁵ , B. Bergmann¹⁴¹ , L. J. Bergsten²⁶ , J. Beringer¹⁸ , S. Berlendis⁷ , G. Bernardi¹³⁵ , C. Bernius¹⁵² , F. U. Bernlochner²⁴ , T. Berry⁹⁴ , P. Berta¹⁰⁰ , C. Bertella^{15a} , A. Berthold⁴⁸ , I. A. Bertram⁹⁰ , O. Bessidskaia Bylund¹⁸¹ , N. Besson¹⁴⁴ , A. Bethani¹⁰¹ , S. Bethke¹¹⁵ , A. Betti⁴² , A. J. Bevan⁹³ , J. Beyer¹¹⁵ , D. S. Bhattacharya¹⁷⁶ , P. Bhattacharai²⁶ , V. S. Bhopatkar⁶ , R. Bi¹³⁸ , R. M. Bianchi¹³⁸ , O. Biebel¹¹⁴ , D. Biedermann¹⁹ , R. Bielski³⁶ , K. Bierwagen¹⁰⁰ , N. V. Biesuz^{72a,72b} , M. Biglietti^{75a} , T. R. V. Billoud¹⁴¹ , M. Bindi⁵³ , A. Bingul^{12d} , C. Bini^{73a,73b} , S. Biondi^{23a,23b} , C. J. Birch-sykes¹⁰¹

, M. Birman¹⁷⁹ , T. Bisanz⁵³ , J. P. Biswal³ , D. Biswas^{180.i} , A. Bitadze¹⁰¹ , C. Bittrich⁴⁸ , K. Bjørke¹³³ , T. Blazek^{28a} , I. Bloch⁴⁶ , C. Blocker²⁶ , A. Blue⁵⁷ , U. Blumenschein⁹³ , G. J. Bobbink¹²⁰ , V. S. Bobrovnikov^{122a,122b} , S. S. Bocchetta⁹⁷ , D. Boerner⁴⁶ , D. Bogavac¹⁴ , A. G. Bogdanchikov^{122a,122b} , C. Bohm^{45a} , V. Boisvert⁹⁴ , P. Bokan^{53,171} , T. Bold^{84a} , A. E. Bolz^{61b} , M. Bomben¹³⁵ , M. Bona⁹³ , J. S. Bonilla¹³¹ , M. Boonekamp¹⁴⁴ , C. D. Booth⁹⁴ , A. G. Borbély⁵⁷ , H. M. Borecka-Bielska⁹¹ , L. S. Borgna⁹⁵ , A. Borisov¹²³ , G. Borissov⁹⁰ , D. Bortoletto¹³⁴ , D. Boscherini^{23b} , M. Bosman¹⁴ , J. D. Bossio Sola¹⁰⁴ , K. Bouaouda^{35a} , J. Boudreau¹³⁸ , E. V. Bouhova-Thacker⁹⁰ , D. Boumediene³⁸ , S. K. Boutle⁵⁷ , A. Boveia¹²⁷ , J. Boyd³⁶ , D. Boye^{33c} , I. R. Boyko⁸⁰ , A. J. Bozson⁹⁴ , J. Bracinik²¹ , N. Brahimi^{60d} , G. Brandt¹⁸¹ , O. Brandt³² , F. Braren⁴⁶

, B. Brau¹⁰³ , J. E. Brau¹³¹ , W. D. Breaden Madden⁵⁷ , K. Brendlinger⁴⁶ , R. Brenner¹⁵⁹ , L. Brenner³⁶ , R. Brenner¹⁷¹ , S. Bressler¹⁷⁹ , B. Brickwedde¹⁰⁰ , D. L. Briglin²¹ , D. Britton⁵⁷ , D. Britzger¹¹⁵ , I. Brock²⁴ , R. Brock¹⁰⁷ , G. Brooijmans³⁹ , W. K. Brooks^{146d} , E. Brost²⁹ , P. A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁸⁵ , B. Brüers⁴⁶ , D. Bruncko^{28b} , A. Bruni^{23b} , G. Bruni^{23b} , L. S. Bruni¹²⁰ , S. Bruno^{74a,74b} , M. Bruschi^{23b} , N. Bruscino^{73a,73b} , L. Bryngemark¹⁵² , T. Buanes¹⁷ , Q. Bual¹⁵⁴ , P. Buchholz¹⁵⁰ , A. G. Buckley⁵⁷ , I. A. Budagov⁸⁰ , M. K. Bugge¹³³ , F. Bühner⁵² , O. Bulekov¹¹² , B. A. Bullard⁵⁹ , T. J. Burch¹²¹ , S. Burdin⁹¹ , C. D. Burgard¹²⁰ , A. M. Burger¹²⁹ , B. Burghgrave⁸ , J. T. P. Burr⁴⁶ , C. D. Burton¹¹ , J. C. Burzynski¹⁰³ , V. Büscher¹⁰⁰ , E. Buschmann⁵³ , P. J. Bussey⁵⁷ , J. M. Butler²⁵ , C. M. Buttar⁵⁷ , J. M. Butterworth⁹⁵ , P. Butti³⁶ , W. Buttinger³⁶

, C. J. Buxo Vazquez¹⁰⁷ , A. Buzatu¹⁵⁷ , A. R. Buzykaev^{122a,122b} , G. Cabras^{23a,23b} , S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁷³ , D. Caforio⁵⁶ , H. Cai¹³⁸ , V. M. M. Cairo¹⁵² , O. Cakir^{4a} , N. Calace³⁶ , P. Calafiura¹⁸ , G. Calderini¹³⁵ , P. Calfayan⁶⁶ , G. Callea⁵⁷ , L. P. Caloba^{81b} , A. Caltabiano^{74a,74b} , S. Calvente Lopez⁹⁹ , D. Calvet³⁸ , S. Calvet³⁸ , T. P. Calvet¹⁰² , M. Calvetti^{72a,72b} , R. Camacho Toro¹³⁵ , S. Camarda³⁶ , D. Camarero Munoz⁹⁹ , P. Camarri^{74a,74b} , M. T. Camerlingo^{75a,75b} , D. Cameron¹³³ , C. Camincher³⁶ , S. Campana³⁶ , M. Campanelli⁹⁵ , A. Camplani⁴⁰ , V. Canale^{70a,70b} , A. Canesse¹⁰⁴ , M. Cano Bret⁷⁸ , J. Cantero¹²⁹ , T. Cao¹⁶⁰ , Y. Cao¹⁷² , M. D. M. Capeans Garrido³⁶ , M. Capua^{41a,41b} , R. Cardarelli^{74a} , F. Cardillo¹⁴⁸ , G. Carducci^{41a,41b} , I. Carli¹⁴² , T. Carli³⁶ , G. Carlino^{70a} , B. T. Carlson¹³⁸ , E. M. Carlson^{167a,175} , L. Carminati^{69a,69b} , R. M. D. Carney¹⁵² , S. Caron¹¹⁹ , E. Carquin^{146d} , S. Carrá⁴⁶

, G. Carratta^{23a,23b} , J. W. S. Carter¹⁶⁶ , T. M. Carter⁵⁰ , M. P. Casado^{14.f} , A. F. Casha¹⁶⁶ , F. L. Castillo¹⁷³ , L. Castillo Garcia¹⁴ , V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁷³ , N. F. Castro^{139a,139e} , A. Catinaccio³⁶ , J. R. Catmore¹³³ , A. Cattai³⁶ , V. Cavaliere²⁹ , V. Cavasinni^{72a,72b} , E. Celebi^{12b} , F. Celli¹³⁴ , K. Cerny¹³⁰ , A. S. Cerqueira^{81a} , A. Cerri¹⁵⁵ , L. Cerrito^{74a,74b} , F. Cerutti¹⁸ , A. Cervelli^{23a,23b} , S. A. Cetin^{12b} , Z. Chadi^{35a} , D. Chakraborty¹²¹ , J. Chan¹⁸⁰

I. A. Cioară^{27b}, A. Ciochio¹⁸, F. Citroto^{70a,70b}, Z. H. Citron^{179j}, M. Citterio^{69a}, D. A. Ciubotaru^{27b}, B. M. Ciungu¹⁶⁶, A. Clark⁵⁴, M. R. Clark³⁹, P. J. Clark⁵⁰, S. E. Clawson¹⁰¹, C. Clement^{45a,45b}, Y. Coadou¹⁰², M. Cobal^{67a,67c}, A. Coccaro^{55b}, J. Cochran⁷⁹, R. Coelho Lopes De Sa¹⁰³, H. Cohen¹⁶⁰, A. E. C. Coimbra³⁶, B. Cole³⁹, A. P. Colijn¹²⁰, J. Collot⁵⁸, P. Conde Muiño^{139a,139h}, S. H. Connell^{33c}, I. A. Connelly⁵⁷, S. Constantinescu^{27b}, F. Conventi^{70a.am}, A. M. Cooper-Sarkar¹³⁴, F. Cormier¹⁷⁴, K. J. R. Cormier¹⁶⁶, L. D. Corpe⁹⁵, M. Corradi^{73a,73b}, E. E. Corrigan⁹⁷, F. Corriveau^{104.ab}, M. J. Costa¹⁷³, F. Costanza⁵, D. Costanzo¹⁴⁸, G. Cowan⁹⁴, J. W. Cowley³², J. Crane¹⁰¹, K. Cranmer¹²⁵, R. A. Creager¹³⁶, S. Crépe-Renaudin⁵⁸, F. Crescioli¹³⁵, M. Cristinziani²⁴, V. Croft¹⁶⁹, G. Crosetti^{41a,41b}, A. Cueto⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁷⁰, H. Cui^{15a,15d}, A. R. Cukierman¹⁵², W. R. Cunningham⁵⁷, S. Czekierda⁸⁵, P. Czodrowski³⁶, M. M. Czurylo^{61b}, M. J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{60b}, J. V. Da Fonseca Pinto^{81b}, C. Da Via¹⁰¹, W. Dabrowski^{84a}, F. Dachs³⁶, T. Dado⁴⁷, S. Dahbi^{33e}, T. Dai¹⁰⁶, C. Dallapiccola¹⁰³, M. Dam⁴⁰, G. D'amen²⁹, V. D'Amico^{75a,75b}, J. Damp¹⁰⁰, J. R. Dandoy¹³⁶, M. F. Daneri³⁰, M. Danninger¹⁵¹, V. Dao³⁶, G. Darbo^{55b}, O. Dartsis⁵, A. Dattagupta¹³¹, T. Daubney⁴⁶, S. D'Auria^{69a,69b}, C. David^{167b}, T. Davidek¹⁴², D. R. Davis⁴⁹, I. Dawson¹⁴⁸, K. De⁸, R. De Asmundis^{70a}, M. De Beurs¹²⁰, S. De Castro^{23a,23b}, N. De Groot¹¹⁹, P. de Jong¹²⁰, H. De la Torre¹⁰⁷, A. De Maria^{15c}, D. De Pedis^{73a}, A. De Salvo^{73a}, U. De Sanctis^{74a,74b}, M. De Santis^{74a,74b}, A. De Santo¹⁵⁵, J. B. De Vivie De Regie⁶⁵, C. Debenedetti¹⁴⁵, D. V. Dedovich⁸⁰, A. M. Deiana⁴², J. Del Peso⁹⁹, Y. Delabat Diaz⁴⁶, D. Delgove⁶⁵, F. Deliot¹⁴⁴, C. M. Delitzsch⁷, M. Della Pietra^{70a,70b}, D. Della Volpe⁵⁴, A. Dell'Acqua³⁶, L. Dell'Asta^{74a,74b}, M. Delmastro⁵, C. Delporte⁶⁵, P. A. Delsart⁵⁸, D. A. DeMarco¹⁶⁶, S. Demers¹⁸², M. Demichev⁸⁰, G. Demontigny¹¹⁰, S. P. Denisov¹²³, L. D'Eramo¹²¹, D. Derendarz⁸⁵, J. E. Derkaoui^{35d}, F. Derue¹³⁵, P. Dervan⁹¹, K. Desch²⁴, K. Dette¹⁶⁶, C. Deutsch²⁴, M. R. Devesa³⁰, P. O. Deviveiros³⁶, F. A. Di Bello^{73a,73b}, A. Di Ciaccio^{74a,74b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W. K. Di Clemente¹³⁶, C. Di Donato^{70a,70b}, A. Di Girolamo³⁶, G. Di Gregorio^{72a,72b}, B. Di Micco^{75a,75b}, R. Di Nardo^{75a,75b}, K. F. Di Petrillo⁵⁹, R. Di Sipio¹⁶⁶, C. Diaconu¹⁰², F. A. Dias¹²⁰, T. Dias Do Vale^{139a}, M. A. Diaz^{146a}, F. G. Diaz Capriles²⁴, J. Dickinson¹⁸, M. Didenko¹⁶⁵, E. B. Diehl¹⁰⁶, J. Dietrich¹⁹, S. Díez Cornell⁴⁶, C. Diez Pardos¹⁵⁰, A. Dimitrievska¹⁸, W. Ding^{15b}, J. Dingfelder²⁴, S. J. Dittmeier^{61b}, F. Dittus³⁶, F. Djama¹⁰², T. Djobava^{158b}, J. I. Djuvsland¹⁷, M. A. B. Do Vale^{81c}, M. Dobre^{27b}, D. Dodsworth²⁶, C. Doglioni⁹⁷, J. Dolejsi¹⁴², Z. Dolezal¹⁴², M. Donadelli^{81d}, B. Dong^{60c}, J. Donini³⁸, A. D'onofrio^{15c}, M. D'Onofrio⁹¹, J. Dopke¹⁴³, A. Doria^{70a}, M. T. Dova⁸⁹, A. T. Doyle⁵⁷, E. Drechsler¹⁵¹, E. Dreyer¹⁵¹, T. Dreyer⁵³, A. S. Drobac¹⁶⁹, D. Du^{60b}, T. A. du Pree¹²⁰, Y. Duan^{60d}, F. Dubinin¹¹¹, M. Dubovsky^{28a}, A. Dubreuil⁵⁴, E. Duchovni¹⁷⁹, G. Duckeck¹¹⁴, O. A. Ducu³⁶, D. Duda¹¹⁵, A. Dudarev³⁶, A. C. Dudder¹⁰⁰, E. M. Duffield¹⁸, M. D'uffizi¹⁰¹, L. Dufflot⁶⁵, M. Dührssen³⁶, C. Dülsen¹⁸¹, M. Dumancic¹⁷⁹, A. E. Dumitriu^{27b}, M. Dunford^{61a}, A. Duperrin¹⁰², H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁶, A. Durglishvili^{158b}, D. Duschinger⁴⁸, B. Dutta⁴⁶, D. Duvnjak¹, G. I. Dyckes¹³⁶, M. Dyndal³⁶, S. Dysch¹⁰¹, B. S. Dziedzic⁸⁵, M. G. Eggleston⁴⁹, T. Eifert⁸, G. Eigen¹⁷, K. Einsweiler¹⁸, T. Ekelof¹⁷¹, H. El Jarrari^{35e}, V. Ellajosyula¹⁷¹, M. Ellert¹⁷¹, F. Ellinghaus¹⁸¹, A. A. Elliot⁹³, N. Ellis³⁶, J. Elmsheuser²⁹, M. Elsing³⁶, D. Emelianov¹⁴³, A. Emerman³⁹, Y. Enari¹⁶², M. B. Epland⁴⁹, J. Erdmann⁴⁷, A. Ereditato²⁰, P. A. Erland⁸⁵, M. Errenst¹⁸¹, M. Escalier⁶⁵, C. Escobar¹⁷³, O. Estrada Pastor¹⁷³, E. Etzion¹⁶⁰, H. Evans⁶⁶, M. O. Evans¹⁵⁵, A. Ezhilov¹³⁷, F. Fabbri⁵⁷, L. Fabbri^{23a,23b}, V. Fabiani¹¹⁹, G. Facini¹⁷⁷, R. M. Fakhruddinov¹²³, S. Falciano^{73a}, P. J. Falke²⁴, S. Falke³⁶, J. Faltova¹⁴², Y. Fang^{15a}, Y. Fang^{15a}, G. Fanourakis⁴⁴, M. Fanti^{69a,69b}, M. Faraj^{67a,67c,q}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{75a}, E. M. Farina^{71a,71b}, T. Farooque¹⁰⁷, S. M. Farrington⁵⁰, P. Farthouat³⁶, F. Fassi^{35e}, P. Fassnacht³⁶, D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Fauci Giannelli⁵⁰, W. J. Fawcett³², L. Fayard⁶⁵, O. L. Fedin^{137.o}, W. Fedorko¹⁷⁴, A. Fehr²⁰, M. Feickert¹⁷², L. Feligioni¹⁰², A. Fell¹⁴⁸, C. Feng^{60b}, M. Feng⁴⁹, M. J. Fenton¹⁷⁰, A. B. Fenyuk¹²³, S. W. Ferguson⁴³, J. Ferrando⁴⁶, A. Ferrante¹⁷², A. Ferrari¹⁷¹, P. Ferrari¹²⁰, R. Ferrari^{71a}, D. E. Ferreira de Lima^{61b}, A. Ferrer¹⁷³, D. Ferrere⁵⁴, C. Ferretti¹⁰⁶, F. Fiedler¹⁰⁰, A. Filipčić⁹², F. Filthaut¹¹⁹, K. D. Finelli²⁵, M. C. N. Fiolhais^{139a,139c,a}, L. Fiorini¹⁷³, F. Fischer¹¹⁴, J. Fischer¹⁰⁰, W. C. Fisher¹⁰⁷, T. Fitschen²¹, I. Fleck¹⁵⁰, P. Fleischmann¹⁰⁶, T. Flick¹⁸¹, B. M. Flierl¹¹⁴, L. Flores¹³⁶, L. R. Flores Castillo^{63a}, F. M. Follega^{76a,76b}, N. Fomin¹⁷, J. H. Foo¹⁶⁶, G. T. Forcolin^{76a,76b}, B. C. Forland⁶⁶, A. Formica¹⁴⁴, F. A. Förster¹⁴, A. C. Forti¹⁰¹, E. Fortin¹⁰², M. G. Foti¹³⁴, D. Fournier⁶⁵, H. Fox⁹⁰, P. Francavilla^{72a,72b}, S. Francescato^{73a,73b}, M. Franchini^{23a,23b}, S. Franchino^{61a}, D. Francis³⁶, L. Franco⁵, L. Franconi²⁰, M. Franklin⁵⁹, G. Frattari^{73a,73b}, A. N. Fray⁹³, P. M. Freeman²¹, B. Freund¹¹⁰, W. S. Freund^{81b}, E. M. Freundlich⁴⁷, D. C. Frizzell¹²⁸, D. Froidevaux³⁶, J. A. Frost¹³⁴, M. Fujimoto¹²⁶, C. Fukunaga¹⁶³, E. Fullana Torregrosa¹⁷³, T. Fusayasu¹¹⁶, J. Fuster¹⁷³, A. Gabrielli^{23a,23b}, A. Gabrielli³⁶, S. Gadatsch⁵⁴, P. Gadow¹¹⁵, G. Gagliardi^{55a,55b}, L. G. Gagnon¹¹⁰, G. E. Gallardo¹³⁴, E. J. Gallas¹³⁴

B. J. Gallop¹⁴³, R. Gamboa Goni⁹³, K. K. Gan¹²⁷, S. Ganguly¹⁷⁹, J. Gao^{60a}, Y. Gao⁵⁰, Y. S. Gao^{31,1}, F. M. Garay Walls^{146a}, C. García¹⁷³, J. E. García Navarro¹⁷³, J. A. García Pascual^{15a}, C. Garcia-Argos⁵², M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁸, R. W. Gardner³⁷, N. Garelli¹⁵², S. Gargiulo⁵², C. A. Garner¹⁶⁶, V. Garonne¹³³, S. J. Gasiorowski¹⁴⁷, P. Gaspar^{81b}, A. Gaudiello^{55b,55a}, G. Gaudio^{71a}, I. L. Gavrilenko¹¹¹, A. Gavriluk¹²⁴, C. Gay¹⁷⁴, G. Gaycken⁴⁶, E. N. Gazis¹⁰, A. A. Geanta^{27b}, C. M. Gee¹⁴⁵, C. N. P. Gee¹⁴³, J. Geisen⁹⁷, M. Geisen¹⁰⁰, C. Gemme^{55b}, M. H. Genest⁵⁸, C. Geng¹⁰⁶, S. Gentile^{73a,73b}, S. George⁹⁴, T. Gerialis⁴⁴, L. O. Gerlach⁵³, P. Gessinger-Befurt¹⁰⁰, G. Gessner⁴⁷, S. Ghasemi¹⁵⁰, M. Ghasemi Bostanabad¹⁷⁵, M. Ghneimat¹⁵⁰, A. Ghosh⁶⁵, A. Ghosh⁷⁸, B. Giacobbe^{23b}, S. Giagu^{73a,73b}, N. Giangiacomi^{23a,23b}, P. Giannetti^{72a}, A. Giannini^{70a,70b}, G. Giannini¹⁴, S. M. Gibson⁹⁴, M. Gignac¹⁴⁵, D. T. Gil^{84b}, B. J. Gilbert³⁹, D. Gillberg³⁴, G. Gilles¹⁸¹, D. M. Gingrich^{3,al}, M. P. Giordani^{67a,67c}, P. F. Giraud¹⁴⁴, G. Giugliarelli^{67a,67c}, D. Giugni^{69a}, F. Giuli^{74a,74b}, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁶¹, I. Gkialas^{9,g}, E. L. Gkoukousis¹⁴, P. Gkoutoumis¹⁰, L. K. Gladilin¹¹³, C. Glasman⁹⁹, J. Glatzer¹⁴, P. C. F. Glaysher⁴⁶, A. Glazov⁴⁶, G. R. Gledhill¹³¹, I. Gnesi^{41b,b}, M. Goblirsch-Kolb²⁶, D. Godin¹¹⁰, S. Goldfarb¹⁰⁵, T. Golling⁵⁴, D. Golubkov¹²³, A. Gomes^{139a,139b}, R. Goncalves Gama⁵³, R. Gonçalo^{139a,139c}, G. Gonella¹³¹, L. Gonella²¹, A. Gongadze⁸⁰, F. Gonnella²¹, J. L. Gonski³⁹, S. González de la Hoz¹⁷³, S. Gonzalez Fernandez¹⁴, R. Gonzalez Lopez⁹¹, C. Gonzalez Renteria¹⁸, R. Gonzalez Suarez¹⁷¹, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵⁴, G. R. Gonzalvo Rodriguez¹⁷³, L. Goossens³⁶, N. A. Gorasia²¹, P. A. Gorbounov¹²⁴, H. A. Gordon²⁹, B. Gorini³⁶, E. Gorini^{68a,68b}, A. Gorišek⁹², A. T. Goshaw⁴⁹, M. I. Gostkin⁸⁰, C. A. Gottardo¹¹⁹, M. Gouighri^{35b}, A. G. Goussiou¹⁴⁷, N. Govender^{33c}, C. Goy⁵, I. Grabowska-Bold^{84a}, E. C. Graham⁹¹, J. Gramling¹⁷⁰, E. Gramstad¹³³, S. Grancagnolo¹⁹, M. Grandi¹⁵⁵, V. Gratchev¹³⁷, P. M. Gravila^{27f}, F. G. Gravili^{68a,68b}, C. Gray⁵⁷, H. M. Gray¹⁸, C. Greife²⁴, K. Gregersen⁹⁷, I. M. Gregor⁴⁶, P. Grenier¹⁵², K. Grevtsov⁴⁶, C. Grieco¹⁴, N. A. Grieser¹²⁸, A. A. Grillo¹⁴⁵, K. Grimm^{31,k}, S. Grinstein^{14,w}, J.-F. Grivaz⁶⁵, S. Groh¹⁰⁰, E. Gross¹⁷⁹, J. Grosse-Knetter⁵³, Z. J. Grout⁹⁵, C. Grud¹⁰⁶, A. Grummer¹¹⁸, J. C. Grundy¹³⁴, L. Guan¹⁰⁶, W. Guan¹⁸⁰, C. Gubbels¹⁷⁴, J. Guenther³⁶, A. Guerguichon⁶⁵, J. G. R. Guerrero Rojas¹⁷³, F. Guescini¹¹⁵, D. Guest¹⁷⁰, R. Gugel¹⁰⁰, A. Guida⁴⁶, T. Guillemain⁵, S. Guindon³⁶, U. Gul⁵⁷, J. Guo^{60c}, W. Guo¹⁰⁶, Y. Guo^{60a}, Z. Guo¹⁰², R. Gupta⁴⁶, S. Gurbuz^{12c}, G. Gustavino¹²⁸, M. Guth⁵², P. Gutierrez¹²⁸, C. Gutsche⁹⁵, C. Guyot¹⁴⁴, C. Gwenlan¹³⁴, C. B. Gwilliam⁹¹, E. S. Haaland¹³³, A. Haas¹²⁵, C. Haber¹⁸, H. K. Hadavand⁸, A. Hadeef^{60a}, M. Haleem¹⁷⁶, J. Haley¹²⁹, J. J. Hall¹⁴⁸, G. Halladjian¹⁰⁷, G. D. Hallewell¹⁰², K. Hamano¹⁷⁵, H. Hamdaoui^{35e}, M. Hamer²⁴, G. N. Hamity⁵⁰, K. Han^{60a,v}, L. Han^{60a}, S. Han¹⁸, Y. F. Han¹⁶⁶, K. Hanagaki^{82,t}, M. Hance¹⁴⁵, D. M. Handl¹¹⁴, M. D. Hank³⁷, R. Hankache¹³⁵, E. Hansen⁹⁷, J. B. Hansen⁴⁰, J. D. Hansen⁴⁰, M. C. Hansen²⁴, P. H. Hansen⁴⁰, E. C. Hanson¹⁰¹, K. Hara¹⁶⁸, T. Harenberg¹⁸¹, S. Harkusha¹⁰⁸, P. F. Harrison¹⁷⁷, N. M. Hartman¹⁵², N. M. Hartmann¹¹⁴, Y. Hasegawa¹⁴⁹, A. Hasib⁵⁰, S. Hassani¹⁴⁴, S. Haug²⁰, R. Hauser¹⁰⁷, L. B. Havener³⁹, M. Havranek¹⁴¹, C. M. Hawkes²¹, R. J. Hawkins³⁶, S. Hayashida¹¹⁷, D. Hayden¹⁰⁷, C. Hayes¹⁰⁶, R. L. Hayes¹⁷⁴, C. P. Hays¹³⁴, J. M. Hays⁹³, H. S. Hayward⁹¹, S. J. Haywood¹⁴³, F. He^{60a}, Y. He¹⁶⁴, M. P. Heath⁵⁰, V. Hedberg⁹⁷, S. Heer²⁴, A. L. Heggelund¹³³, C. Heidegger⁵², K. K. Heidegger⁵², W. D. Heidorn⁷⁹, J. Heilman³⁴, S. Heim⁴⁶, T. Heim¹⁸, B. Heinemann^{46,aj}, J. G. Heinlein¹³⁶, J. J. Heinrich¹³¹, L. Heinrich³⁶, J. Hejbal¹⁴⁰, L. Helary⁴⁶, A. Held¹²⁵, S. Hellesund¹³³, C. M. Helling¹⁴⁵, S. Hellman^{45a,45b}, C. Helsens³⁶, R. C. W. Henderson⁹⁰, Y. Heng¹⁸⁰, L. Henkelmann³², A. M. Henriques Correia³⁶, H. Herde²⁶, Y. Hernández Jiménez^{33e}, H. Herr¹⁰⁰, M. G. Herrmann¹¹⁴, T. Herrmann⁴⁸, G. Herten⁵², R. Hertenberger¹¹⁴, L. Hervas³⁶, T. C. Herwig¹³⁶, G. G. Hesketh⁹⁵, N. P. Hessey^{167a}, H. Hibi⁸³, A. Higashida¹⁶², S. Higashino⁸², E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁷³, K. Hildebrand³⁷, J. C. Hill³², K. K. Hill²⁹, K. H. Hiller⁴⁶, S. J. Hillier²¹, M. Hils⁴⁸, I. Hinchliffe¹⁸, F. Hinterkeuser²⁴, M. Hirose¹³², S. Hirose⁵², D. Hirschbuehl¹⁸¹, B. Hiti⁹², O. Hladik¹⁴⁰, D. R. Hlaluku^{33c}, J. Hobbs¹⁵⁴, N. Hod¹⁷⁹, M. C. Hodgkinson¹⁴⁸, A. Hoecker³⁶, D. Hohn⁵², D. Hohov⁶⁵, T. Holm²⁴, T. R. Holmes³⁷, M. Holzbock¹¹⁴, L. B. A. H. Hommels³², T. M. Hong¹³⁸, J. C. Honig⁵², A. Hönle¹¹⁵, B. H. Hooberman¹⁷², W. H. Hopkins⁶, Y. Horii¹¹⁷, P. Horn⁴⁸, L. A. Horyn³⁷, S. Hou¹⁵⁷, A. Hoummada^{35a}, J. Howarth⁵⁷, J. Hoya⁸⁹, M. Hrabovsky¹³⁰, J. Hrdinka⁷⁷, J. Hrivnac⁶⁵, A. Hrynevich¹⁰⁹, T. Hryn'ova⁵, P. J. Hsu⁶⁴, S.-C. Hsu¹⁴⁷, Q. Hu²⁹, S. Hu^{60c}, Y. F. Hu^{15a,15d,an}, D. P. Huang⁹⁵, Y. Huang^{60a}, Y. Huang^{15a}, Z. Hubacek¹⁴¹, F. Hubaut¹⁰², M. Huebner²⁴, F. Huegging²⁴, T. B. Huffman¹³⁴, M. Huhtinen³⁶, R. Hulsken⁵⁸, R. F. H. Hunter³⁴, P. Huo¹⁵⁴, N. Huseynov^{80,ac}, J. Huston¹⁰⁷, J. Huth⁵⁹, R. Hyneman¹⁵², S. Hyrych^{28a}, G. Iacobucci⁵⁴, G. Iakovidis²⁹, I. Ibragimov¹⁵⁰, L. Iconomidou-Fayard⁶⁵, P. Iengo³⁶, R. Ignazzi⁴⁰, O. Igonkina^{120,y,*}, R. Iguchi¹⁶², T. Iizawa⁵⁴, Y. Ikegami⁸², M. Ikeno⁸², N. Ilic^{119,166,ab}, F. Iltzsche⁴⁸, H. Imam^{35a}, G. Introzzi^{71a,71b}, M. Iodice^{75a}, K. Iordanidou^{167a}, V. Ippolito^{73a,73b}, M. F. Isacson¹⁷¹

M. Ishino¹⁶², W. Islam¹²⁹, C. Issever^{19,46}, S. Istin¹⁵⁹, F. Ito¹⁶⁸, J. M. Iturbe Ponce^{63a}, R. Iuppa^{76a,76b}, A. Ivina¹⁷⁹, H. Iwasaki⁸², J. M. Izen⁴³, V. Izzo^{70a}, P. Jacka¹⁴⁰, P. Jackson¹, R. M. Jacobs⁴⁶, B. P. Jaeger¹⁵¹, V. Jain², G. Jäkel¹⁸¹, K. B. Jakobi¹⁰⁰, K. Jakobs⁵², T. Jakoubek¹⁷⁹, J. Jamieson⁵⁷, K. W. Janas^{84a}, R. Jansky⁵⁴, M. Janus⁵³, P. A. Janus^{84a}, G. Jarlskog⁹⁷, A. E. Jaspan⁹¹, N. Javadov^{80.ac}, T. Javůrek³⁶, M. Javurkova¹⁰³, F. Jeanneau¹⁴⁴, L. Jeanty¹³¹, J. Jejelava^{158a}, P. Jenni^{52.c}, N. Jeong⁴⁶, S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁸⁰, J. Jia¹⁵⁴, H. Jiang⁷⁹, Y. Jiang^{60a}, Z. Jiang¹⁵², S. Jiggins⁵², F. A. Jimenez Morales³⁸, J. Jimenez Pena¹¹⁵, S. Jin^{15c}, A. Jinaru^{27b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁶⁴, H. Jivan^{33e}, P. Johansson¹⁴⁸, K. A. Johns⁷, C. A. Johnson⁶⁶, R. W. L. Jones⁹⁰, S. D. Jones¹⁵⁵, T. J. Jones⁹¹, J. Jongmanns^{61a}, J. Jovicevic³⁶, X. Ju¹⁸, J. J. Junggeburth¹¹⁵, A. Juste Rozas^{14.w}, A. Kaczmarzka⁸⁵, M. Kado^{73a,73b}, H. Kagan¹²⁷, M. Kagan¹⁵², A. Kahn³⁹, C. Kahra¹⁰⁰, T. Kaji¹⁷⁸, E. Kajomovitz¹⁵⁹, C. W. Kalderon²⁹, A. Kaluza¹⁰⁰, A. Kamenshchikov¹²³, M. Kaneda¹⁶², N. J. Kang¹⁴⁵, S. Kang⁷⁹, Y. Kano¹¹⁷, J. Kanzaki⁸², L. S. Kaplan¹⁸⁰, D. Kar^{33e}, K. Karava¹³⁴, M. J. Kareem^{167b}, I. Karkanias¹⁶¹, S. N. Karpov⁸⁰, Z. M. Karpova⁸⁰, V. Kartvelishvili⁹⁰, A. N. Karyukhin¹²³, E. Kasimi¹⁶¹, A. Kastanas^{45a,45b}, C. Kato^{60c,60d}, J. Katzy⁴⁶, K. Kawade¹⁴⁹, K. Kawagoe⁸⁸, T. Kawaguchi¹¹⁷, T. Kawamoto¹⁴⁴, G. Kawamura⁵³, E. F. Kay¹⁷⁵, S. Kazakos¹⁴, V. F. Kazanin^{122b,122a}, R. Keeler¹⁷⁵, R. Kehoe⁴², J. S. Keller³⁴, E. Kellermann⁹⁷, D. Kelsey¹⁵⁵, J. J. Kempster²¹, J. Kendrick²¹, K. E. Kennedy³⁹, O. Kepka¹⁴⁰, S. Kersten¹⁸¹, B. P. Kerševan⁹², S. Ketabchi Haghighat¹⁶⁶, M. Khader¹⁷², F. Khalil-Zada¹³, M. Khandoga¹⁴⁴, A. Khanov¹²⁹, A. G. Kharlamov^{122a,122b}, T. Kharlamova^{122a,122b}, E. E. Khoda¹⁷⁴, A. Khodinov¹⁶⁵, T. J. Khoo⁵⁴, G. Khoraiuli¹⁷⁶, E. Khramov⁸⁰, J. Khubua^{158b}, S. Kido⁸³, M. Kiehn³⁶, C. R. Kilby⁹⁴, E. Kim¹⁶⁴, Y. K. Kim³⁷, N. Kimura⁹⁵, A. Kirchhoff⁵³, D. Kirchmeier⁴⁸, J. Kirk¹⁴³, A. E. Kiryunin¹¹⁵, T. Kishimoto¹⁶², D. P. Kisliuk¹⁶⁶, V. Kitali⁴⁶, C. Kitsaki¹⁰, O. Kivernyk²⁴, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus⁵², M. Klassen^{61a}, C. Klein³⁴, M. H. Klein¹⁰⁶, M. Klein⁹¹, U. Klein⁹¹, K. Kleinknecht¹⁰⁰, P. Klimek¹²¹, A. Klimentov²⁹, T. Klingl²⁴, T. Klioutchnikova³⁶, F. F. Klitzner¹¹⁴, P. Kluit¹²⁰, S. Kluth¹¹⁵, E. Kneringer⁷⁷, E. B. F. G. Knoops¹⁰², A. Knue⁵², D. Kobayashi⁸⁸, M. Kobel⁴⁸, M. Kocian¹⁵², T. Kodama¹⁶², P. Kodys¹⁴², D. M. Koec¹⁵⁵, P. T. Koenig²⁴, T. Koffas³⁴, N. M. Köhler³⁶, M. Kolb¹⁴⁴, I. Koletsou⁵, T. Komarek¹³⁰, T. Kondo⁸², K. Köneke⁵², A. X. Y. Kong¹, A. C. König¹¹⁹, T. Kono¹²⁶, V. Konstantinides⁹⁵, N. Konstantinidis⁹⁵, B. Konya⁹⁷, R. Kopeliansky⁶⁶, S. Koperny^{84a}, K. Korcyl⁸⁵, K. Kordas¹⁶¹, G. Koren¹⁶⁰, A. Korn⁹⁵, I. Korolkov¹⁴, E. V. Korolkova¹⁴⁸, N. Korotkova¹¹³, O. Kortner¹¹⁵, S. Kortner¹¹⁵, V. V. Kostyukhin^{148,165}, A. Kotsokechagia⁶⁵, A. Kotwal⁴⁹, A. Koulouris¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi^{71a,71b}, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, E. Kourlitis⁶, V. Kouskoura²⁹, R. Kowalewski¹⁷⁵, W. Kozanecki¹⁰¹, A. S. Kozhin¹²³, V. A. Kramarenko¹¹³, G. Kramberger⁹², D. Krasnopevtsev^{60a}, M. W. Krasny¹³⁵, A. Krasznahorkay³⁶, D. Krauss¹¹⁵, J. A. Kremer¹⁰⁰, J. Kretschmar⁹¹, P. Krieger¹⁶⁶, F. Krieter¹¹⁴, A. Krishnan^{61b}, M. Krivos¹⁴², K. Krizka¹⁸, K. Kroeninger⁴⁷, H. Kroha¹¹⁵, J. Kroll¹⁴⁰, J. Kroll¹³⁶, K. S. Krowpman¹⁰⁷, U. Kruchonak⁸⁰, H. Krüger²⁴, N. Krumnack⁷⁹, M. C. Kruse⁴⁹, J. A. Krzysiak⁸⁵, A. Kubota¹⁶⁴, O. Kuchinskaia¹⁶⁵, S. Kuday^{4b}, J. T. Kuechler⁴⁶, S. Kuehn³⁶, T. Kuhl⁴⁶, V. Kukhtin⁸⁰, Y. Kulchitsky^{108.ac}, S. Kuleshov^{146b}, Y. P. Kulinich¹⁷², M. Kuna⁵⁸, T. Kunigo⁸⁶, A. Kupco¹⁴⁰, T. Kupfer⁴⁷, O. Kuprash⁵², H. Kurashige⁸³, L. L. Kurchaninov^{167a}, Y. A. Kurochkin¹⁰⁸, A. Kurova¹¹², M. G. Kurth^{15a,15d}, E. S. Kuwertz³⁶, M. Kuze¹⁶⁴, A. K. Kvam¹⁴⁷, J. Kvita¹³⁰, T. Kwan¹⁰⁴, F. La Ruffa^{41a,41b}, C. Lacasta¹⁷³, F. Lacava^{73a,73b}, D. P. J. Lack¹⁰¹, H. Lacker¹⁹, D. Lacour¹³⁵, E. Ladygin⁸⁰, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge¹³⁵, T. Lagouri^{146c}, S. Lai⁵³, I. K. Lakomic^{84a}, J. E. Lambert¹²⁸, S. Lammers⁶⁶, W. Lampl⁷, C. Lampoudis¹⁶¹, E. Lançon²⁹, U. Landgraf⁵², M. P. J. Landon⁹³, M. C. Lanfermann⁵⁴, V. S. Lang⁵², J. C. Lange⁵³, R. J. Langenberg¹⁰³, A. J. Lankford¹⁷⁰, F. Lanni²⁹, K. Lantzsch²⁴, A. Lanza^{71a}, A. Lapertosa^{55a,55b}, J. F. Laporte¹⁴⁴, T. Lari^{69a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{23a,23b}, M. Lassnig³⁶, T. S. Lau^{63a}, A. Laudrain⁶⁵, A. Laurier³⁴, M. Lavorgna^{70a,70b}, S. D. Lawlor⁹⁴, M. Lazzaroni^{69a,69b}, B. Le¹⁰¹, E. Le Guirriec¹⁰², A. Lebedev⁷⁹, M. LeBlanc⁷, T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁸, A. C. A. Lee⁹⁵, C. A. Lee²⁹, G. R. Lee¹⁷, L. Lee⁵⁹, S. C. Lee¹⁵⁷, S. Lee⁷⁹, B. Lefebvre^{167a}, H. P. Lefebvre⁹⁴, M. Lefebvre¹⁷⁵, C. Leggett¹⁸, K. Lehmann¹⁵¹, N. Lehmann²⁰, G. Lehmann Miotto³⁶, W. A. Leight⁴⁶, A. Leisos^{161,u}, M. A. L. Leite^{81d}, C. E. Leitgeb¹¹⁴, R. Leitner¹⁴², D. Lellouch^{179,*}, K. J. C. Leney⁴², T. Lenz²⁴, S. Leone^{72a}, C. Leonidopoulos⁵⁰, A. Leopold¹³⁵, C. Leroy¹¹⁰, R. Les¹⁰⁷, C. G. Lester³², M. Levchenko¹³⁷, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin¹⁰⁶, L. J. Levinson¹⁷⁹, D. J. Lewis²¹, B. Li^{15b}, B. Li¹⁰⁶, C-Q. Li^{60a}, F. Li^{60c}, H. Li^{60a}, H. Li^{60b}, J. Li^{60c}, K. Li¹⁴⁷, L. Li^{60c}, M. Li^{15a,15d}, Q. Li^{15a,15d}, Q. Y. Li^{60a}, S. Li^{60d,60c}, X. Li⁴⁶, Y. Li⁴⁶, Z. Li^{60b}, Z. Li¹³⁴, Z. Li¹⁰⁴, Z. Liang^{15a}, M. Liberatore⁴⁶, B. Liberti^{74a}, A. Liblong¹⁶⁶, K. Lie^{63c}, S. Lim²⁹, C. Y. Lin³², K. Lin¹⁰⁷, R. A. Linck⁶⁶, R. E. Lindley⁷, J. H. Lindon²¹, A. Linss⁴⁶, A. L. Lioni⁵⁴, E. Lipeles¹³⁶, A. Lipniacka¹⁷, T. M. Liss^{172.ak}, A. Lister¹⁷⁴, J. D. Little⁸, B. Liu⁷⁹, B. L. Liu⁶, H. B. Liu²⁹, J. B. Liu^{60a}, J. K. K. Liu³⁷, K. Liu^{60d}, M. Liu^{60a}

P. Liu^{15a}, X. Liu^{60a}, Y. Liu⁴⁶, Y. Liu^{15a,15d}, Y. L. Liu¹⁰⁶, Y. W. Liu^{60a}, M. Livan^{71a,71b}, A. Lleres⁵⁸, J. Llorente Merino¹⁵¹, S. L. Lloyd⁹³, C. Y. Lo^{63b}, E. M. Lobodzinska⁴⁶, P. Loch⁷, S. Loffredo^{74a,74b}, T. Lohse¹⁹, K. Lohwasser¹⁴⁸, M. Lokajicek¹⁴⁰, J. D. Long¹⁷², R. E. Long⁹⁰, I. Longarini^{73a,73b}, L. Longo³⁶, K. A. Looper¹²⁷, I. Lopez Paz¹⁰¹, A. Lopez Solis¹⁴⁸, J. Lorenz¹¹⁴, N. Lorenzo Martinez⁵, A. M. Lory¹¹⁴, P. J. Lösel¹¹⁴, A. Lösle⁵², X. Lou⁴⁶, X. Lou^{15a}, A. Lounis⁶⁵, J. Love⁶, P. A. Love⁹⁰, J. J. Lozano Bahilo¹⁷³, M. Lu^{60a}, Y. J. Lu⁶⁴, H. J. Lubatti¹⁴⁷, C. Luci^{73a,73b}, F. L. Lucio Alves^{15c}, A. Lucotte⁵⁸, F. Luehring⁶⁶, I. Luise¹³⁵, L. Luminari^{73a}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁵³, M. S. Lutz¹⁶⁰, D. Lynn²⁹, H. Lyons⁹¹, R. Lysak¹⁴⁰, E. Lytken⁹⁷, F. Lyu^{15a}, V. Lyubushkin⁸⁰, T. Lyubushkina⁸⁰, H. Ma²⁹, L. L. Ma^{60b}, Y. Ma⁹⁵, D. M. Mac Donnell¹⁷⁵, G. Maccarrone⁵¹, A. Macchiolo¹¹⁵, C. M. Macdonald¹⁴⁸, J. C. MacDonald¹⁴⁸, J. Machado Miguens¹³⁶, D. Madaffari¹⁷³, R. Madar³⁸, W. F. Mader⁴⁸, M. Madugoda Ralalage Don¹²⁹, N. Madysa⁴⁸, J. Maeda⁸³, T. Maeno²⁹, M. Maerker⁴⁸, V. Magerl⁵², N. Magini⁷⁹, J. Magro^{67a,67c,q}, D. J. Mahon³⁹, C. Maidantchik^{81b}, T. Maier¹¹⁴, A. Maio^{139a,139b,139d}, K. Maj^{84a}, O. Majersky^{28a}, S. Majewski¹³¹, Y. Makida⁸², N. Makovec⁶⁵, B. Malaescu¹³⁵, Pa. Malecki⁸⁵, V. P. Maleev¹³⁷, F. Malek⁵⁸, D. Malito^{41a,41b}, U. Mallik⁷⁸, D. Malon⁶, C. Malone³², S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov⁸⁰, J. Mamuzic¹⁷³, G. Mancini^{70a,70b}, I. Mandić⁹², L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{81a}, I. M. Maniatis¹⁶¹, J. Manjarres Ramos⁴⁸, K. H. Mankinen⁹⁷, A. Mann¹¹⁴, A. Manousos⁷⁷, B. Mansoulie¹⁴⁴, I. Manthos¹⁶¹, S. Manzoni¹²⁰, A. Marantis¹⁶¹, G. Marceca³⁰, L. Marchese¹³⁴, G. Marchiori¹³⁵, M. Marcisovsky¹⁴⁰, L. Marcoccia^{74a,74b}, C. Marcon⁹⁷, C. A. Marin Tobon³⁶, M. Marjanovic¹²⁸, Z. Marshall¹⁸, M. U. F. Martensson¹⁷¹, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁷³, C. B. Martin¹²⁷, T. A. Martin¹⁷⁷, V. J. Martin⁵⁰, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁷, L. Martinelli^{75a,75b}, M. Martinez^{14,w}, P. Martinez Agullo¹⁷³, V. I. Martinez Outschoorn¹⁰³, S. Martin-Haugh¹⁴³, V. S. Martoiu^{27b}, A. C. Martyniuk⁹⁵, A. Marzin³⁶, S. R. Maschek¹¹⁵, L. Masetti¹⁰⁰, T. Mashimo¹⁶², R. Mashinistov¹¹¹, J. Masik¹⁰¹, A. L. Maslennikov^{122a,122b}, L. Massa^{23a,23b}, P. Massarotti^{70a,70b}, P. Mastrandrea^{72a,72b}, A. Mastroberardino^{41a,41b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁶², D. Matakias²⁹, A. Matic¹¹⁴, N. Matsuzawa¹⁶², P. Mättig²⁴, J. Maurer^{27b}, B. Maček⁹², D. A. Maximov^{122a,122b}, R. Mazini¹⁵⁷, I. Maznas¹⁶¹, S. M. Mazza¹⁴⁵, J. P. Mc Gowan¹⁰⁴, S. P. Mc Kee¹⁰⁶, T. G. McCarthy¹¹⁵, W. P. McCormack¹⁸, E. F. McDonald¹⁰⁵, J. A. Mcfayden³⁶, G. Mchedlidze^{158b}, M. A. McKay⁴², K.D. McLean¹⁷⁵, S. J. McMahon¹⁴³, P. C. McNamara¹⁰⁵, C. J. McNicol¹⁷⁷, R. A. McPherson^{175.ab}, J. E. Mdhluli^{33e}, Z. A. Meadows¹⁰³, S. Meehan³⁶, T. Megy³⁸, S. Mehlhase¹¹⁴, A. Mehta⁹¹, B. Meirose⁴³, D. Melini¹⁵⁹, B. R. Mellado Garcia^{33e}, J. D. Mellenthin⁵³, M. Melo^{28a}, F. Meloni⁴⁶, A. Melzer²⁴, E. D. Mendes Gouveia^{139a,139e}, L. Meng³⁶, X. T. Meng¹⁰⁶, S. Menke¹¹⁵, E. Meoni^{41a,41b}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁹, S. A. M. Merkt¹³⁸, C. Merlassino¹³⁴, P. Mermod⁵⁴, L. Merola^{70a,70b}, C. Meroni^{69a}, G. Merz¹⁰⁶, O. Meshkov^{113,111}, J. K. R. Meshreki¹⁵⁰, J. Metcalfe⁶, A. S. Mete⁶, C. Meyer⁶⁶, J.-P. Meyer¹⁴⁴, M. Michetti¹⁹, R. P. Middleton¹⁴³, L. Mijovic⁵⁰, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁹, M. Mikestikova¹⁴⁰, M. Mikuž⁹², H. Mildner¹⁴⁸, A. Milic¹⁶⁶, C. D. Milke⁴², D. W. Miller³⁷, A. Milov¹⁷⁹, D. A. Milstead^{45a,45b}, R. A. Mina¹⁵², A. A. Minaenko¹²³, I. A. Minashvili^{158b}, A. I. Mincer¹²⁵, B. Mindur^{84a}, M. Mineev⁸⁰, Y. Minegishi¹⁶², L. M. Mir¹⁴, M. Mironova¹³⁴, A. Mirto^{68a,68b}, K. P. Mistry¹³⁶, T. Mitani¹⁷⁸, J. Mitrevski¹¹⁴, V. A. Mitsou¹⁷³, M. Mittal^{60c}, O. Miu¹⁶⁶, A. Miucci²⁰, P. S. Miyagawa⁹³, A. Mizukami⁸², J. U. Mjörnmark⁹⁷, T. Mkrtchyan^{61a}, M. Mlynarikova¹⁴², T. Moa^{45a,45b}, S. Mobius⁵³, K. Mochizuki¹¹⁰, P. Mogg¹¹⁴, S. Mohapatra³⁹, R. Moles-Valls²⁴, K. Mönig⁴⁶, E. Monnier¹⁰², A. Montalbano¹⁵¹, J. Montejo Berlingen³⁶, M. Montella⁹⁵, F. Monticelli⁸⁹, S. Monzani^{69a}, N. Morange⁶⁵, A. L. Moreira De Carvalho^{139a}, D. Moreno^{22a}, M. Moreno Llácer¹⁷³, C. Moreno Martinez¹⁴, P. Morettini^{55b}, M. Morgenstern¹⁵⁹, S. Morgenstern⁴⁸, D. Mori¹⁵¹, M. Morii⁵⁹, M. Morinaga¹⁷⁸, V. Morisbak¹³³, A. K. Morley³⁶, G. Mornacchi³⁶, A. P. Morris⁹⁵, L. Morvaj¹⁵⁴, P. Moschovakos³⁶, B. Moser¹²⁰, M. Mosidze^{158b}, T. Moskalets¹⁴⁴, J. Moss^{31,m}, E. J. W. Moyses¹⁰³, S. Muanza¹⁰², J. Mueller¹³⁸, R. S. P. Mueller¹¹⁴, D. Muenstermann⁹⁰, G. A. Mullier⁹⁷, D. P. Mungo^{69a,69b}, J. L. Munoz Martinez¹⁴, F. J. Munoz Sanchez¹⁰¹, P. Murin^{28b}, W. J. Murray^{143,177}, A. Murrone^{69a,69b}, J. M. Muse¹²⁸, M. Muškinja¹⁸, C. Mwewa^{33a}, A. G. Myagkov^{123.ag}, A. A. Myers¹³⁸, G. Myers⁶⁶, J. Myers¹³¹, M. Myska¹⁴¹, B. P. Nachman¹⁸, O. Nackenhorst⁴⁷, A. Nag Nag⁴⁸, K. Nagai¹³⁴, K. Nagano⁸², Y. Nagasaka⁶², J. L. Nagle²⁹, E. Nagy¹⁰², A. M. Nairz³⁶, Y. Nakahama¹¹⁷, K. Nakamura⁸², T. Nakamura¹⁶², H. Nanjo¹³², F. Napolitano^{61a}, R. F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁶, R. Narayan⁴², I. Naryshkin¹³⁷, T. Naumann⁴⁶, G. Navarro^{22a}, P. Y. Nechaeva¹¹¹, F. Nechansky⁴⁶, T. J. Neep²¹, A. Negri^{71a,71b}, M. Negri^{23b}, C. Nellist¹¹⁹, C. Nelson¹⁰⁴, M. E. Nelson^{45a,45b}, S. Nemecek¹⁴⁰, M. Nessi^{36.e}, M. S. Neubauer¹⁷², F. Neuhaus¹⁰⁰, M. Neumann¹⁸¹, R. Newhouse¹⁷⁴, P. R. Newman²¹, C. W. Ng¹³⁸, Y. S. Ng¹⁹, Y. W. Y. Ng¹⁷⁰, B. Ngair^{35e}, H. D. N. Nguyen¹⁰², T. Nguyen Manh¹¹⁰, E. Nibigira³⁸, R. B. Nickerson¹³⁴, R. Nicolaidou¹⁴⁴

D. S. Nielsen⁴⁰ , J. Nielsen¹⁴⁵ , M. Niemeyer⁵³ , N. Nikiforou¹¹ , V. Nikolaenko^{123,ag} , I. Nikolic-Audit¹³⁵ , K. Nikolopoulos²¹ , P. Nilsson²⁹ , H. R. Nindhito⁵⁴ , Y. Ninomiya⁸² , A. Nisati^{73a} , N. Nishu^{60c} , R. Nisius¹¹⁵ , I. Nitsche⁴⁷ , T. Nitta¹⁷⁸ , T. Nobe¹⁶² , D. L. Noel³² , Y. Noguchi⁸⁶ , I. Nomidis¹³⁵ , M. A. Nomura²⁹ , M. Nordberg³⁶ , J. Novak⁹² , T. Novak⁹² , O. Novgorodova⁴⁸ , R. Novotny¹⁴¹ , L. Nozka¹³⁰ , K. Ntekas¹⁷⁰ , E. Nurse⁹⁵ , F. G. Oakham^{34,al} , H. Oberlack¹¹⁵ , J. Ocariz¹³⁵ , A. Ochi⁸³ , I. Ochoa³⁹ , J. P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{146a} , K. O'Connor²⁶ , S. Oda⁸⁸ , S. Odaka⁸² , S. Oerdek⁵³ , A. Ogrodnik^{84a} , A. Oh¹⁰¹ , C. C. Ohm¹⁵³ , H. Oide¹⁶⁴ , M. L. Ojeda¹⁶⁶ , H. Okawa¹⁶⁸ , Y. Okazaki⁸⁶ , M. W. O'Keefe⁹¹ , Y. Okumura¹⁶² , T. Okuyama⁸² , A. Olariu^{27b} , L. F. Oleiro Seabra^{139a} , S. A. Olivares Pino^{146a} , D. Oliveira Damazio²⁹ , J. L. Oliver¹ , M. J. R. Olsson¹⁷⁰ , A. Olszewski⁸⁵ , J. Olszowska⁸⁵ , Ö. O. Öncel²⁴ , D. C. O'Neil¹⁵¹ , A. P. O'Neill¹³⁴ , A. Onofre^{139a,139e} , P. U. E. Onyisi¹¹ , H. Oppen¹³³ , R. G. Oreamuno Madriz¹²¹ , M. J. Oreglia³⁷ , G. E. Orellana⁸⁹ , D. Orestano^{75a,75b} , N. Orlando¹⁴ , R. S. Orr¹⁶⁶ , V. O'Shea⁵⁷ , R. Ospanov^{60a} , G. Otero y Garzon³⁰ , H. Otono⁸⁸ , P. S. Ott^{61a} , G. J. Ottino¹⁸ , M. Ouchrif^{35d} , J. Ouellette²⁹ , F. Ould-Saada¹³³ , A. Ouraou¹⁴⁴ , Q. Ouyang^{15a} , M. Owen⁵⁷ , R. E. Owen¹⁴³ , V. E. Ozcan^{12c} , N. Ozturk⁸ , J. Pacalt¹³⁰ , H. A. Pacey³² , K. Pachal⁴⁹ , A. Pacheco Pages¹⁴ , C. Padilla Aranda¹⁴ , S. Pagan Griso¹⁸ , G. Palacino⁶⁶ , S. Palazzo⁵⁰ , S. Palestini³⁶ , M. Palka^{84b} , P. Palmi^{84a} , C. E. Pandini⁵⁴ , J. G. Panduro Vazquez⁹⁴ , P. Pani⁴⁶ , G. Panizzo^{67a,67c} , L. Paolozzi⁵⁴ , C. Papadatos¹¹⁰ , K. Papageorgiou^{9,g} , S. Parajuli⁴² , A. Paramonov⁶ , C. Paraskevopoulos¹⁰ , D. Paredes Hernandez^{63b} , S. R. Paredes Saenz¹³⁴ , B. Parida¹⁷⁹ , T. H. Park¹⁶⁶ , A. J. Parker³¹ , M. A. Parker³² , F. Parodi^{55a,55b} , E. W. Parrish¹²¹ , J. A. Parsons³⁹ , U. Parzefall⁵² , L. Pascual Dominguez¹³⁵ , V. R. Pascuzzi¹⁸ , J. M. P. Pasner¹⁴⁵ , F. Pasquali¹²⁰ , E. Pasqualucci^{73a} , S. Passaggio^{55b} , F. Pastore⁹⁴ , P. Pasuwan^{45a,45b} , S. Pataraiia¹⁰⁰ , J. R. Pater¹⁰¹ , A. Pathak^{180,i} , J. Patton⁹¹ , T. Pauly³⁶ , J. Parkes¹⁵² , B. Pearson¹¹⁵ , M. Pedersen¹³³ , L. Pedraza Diaz¹¹⁹ , R. Pedro^{139a} , T. Peiffer⁵³ , S. V. Peleganchuk^{122b,122a} , O. Penc¹⁴⁰ , H. Peng^{60a} , B. S. Peralva^{81a} , M. M. Perego⁶⁵ , A. P. Pereira Peixoto^{139a} , L. Pereira Sanchez^{45a,45b} , D. V. Perepelitsa²⁹ , E. Perez Codina^{167a} , F. Peri¹⁹ , L. Perini^{69a,69b} , H. Pernegger³⁶ , S. Perrella³⁶ , A. Perrevoort¹²⁰ , K. Peters⁴⁶ , R. F. Y. Peters¹⁰¹ , B. A. Petersen³⁶ , T. C. Petersen⁴⁰ , E. Petit¹⁰² , V. Petousis¹⁴¹ , A. Petridis¹ , C. Petridou¹⁶¹ , P. Petroff⁶⁵ , F. Petrucci^{75a,75b} , M. Pettee¹⁸² , N. E. Pettersson¹⁰³ , K. Petukhova¹⁴² , A. Peyaud¹⁴⁴ , R. Pezoa^{146d} , L. Pezzotti^{71a,71b} , T. Pham¹⁰⁵ , F. H. Phillips¹⁰⁷ , P. W. Phillips¹⁴³ , M. W. Phipps¹⁷² , G. Piacquadio¹⁵⁴ , E. Pianori¹⁸ , A. Picazio¹⁰³ , R. H. Pickles¹⁰¹ , R. Piegaia³⁰ , D. Pietreanu^{27b} , J. E. Pilcher³⁷ , A. D. Pilkington¹⁰¹ , M. Pinamonti^{67a,67c} , J. L. Pinfold³ , C. Pitman Donaldson⁹⁵ , M. Pitt¹⁶⁰ , L. Pizzimento^{74a,74b} , A. Pizzini¹²⁰ , M.-A. Pleier²⁹ , V. Plesanovs⁵² , V. Pleskot¹⁴² , E. Plotnikova⁸⁰ , P. Podberezko^{122a,122b} , R. Poettgen⁹⁷ , R. Poggi⁵⁴ , L. Poggioli¹³⁵ , I. Pogrebnyak¹⁰⁷ , D. Pohl²⁴ , I. Pokharel⁵³ , G. Polesello^{71a} , A. Poley^{151,167a} , A. Policicchio^{73a,73b} , R. Polifka¹⁴² , A. Polini^{23b} , C. S. Pollard⁴⁶ , V. Polychronakos²⁹ , D. Ponomarenko¹¹² , L. Pontecorvo³⁶ , S. Popa^{27a} , G. A. Popeneciu^{27d} , L. Portales⁵ , D. M. Portillo Quintero⁵⁸ , S. Pospisil¹⁴¹ , K. Potamianos⁴⁶ , I. N. Potrap⁸⁰ , C. J. Potter³² , H. Potti¹¹ , T. Poulsen⁹⁷ , J. Poveda¹⁷³ , T. D. Powell¹⁴⁸ , G. Pownall⁴⁶ , M. E. Pozo Astigarraga³⁶ , P. Pralavorio¹⁰² , S. Prell⁷⁹ , D. Price¹⁰¹ , M. Primavera^{68a} , M. L. Proffitt¹⁴⁷ , N. Proklova¹¹² , K. Prokofiev^{63c} , F. Prokoshin⁸⁰ , S. Protopopescu²⁹ , J. Proudfoot⁶ , M. Przybycien^{84a} , D. Pudzha¹³⁷ , A. Puri¹⁷² , P. Puzo⁶⁵ , D. Pyatiizbyantseva¹¹² , J. Qian¹⁰⁶ , Y. Qin¹⁰¹ , A. Quadt⁵³ , M. Queitsch-Maitland³⁶ , M. Racko^{28a} , F. Ragusa^{69a,69b} , G. Rahal⁹⁸ , J. A. Raine⁵⁴ , S. Rajagopalan²⁹ , A. Ramirez Morales⁹³ , K. Ran^{15a,15d} , D. M. Rauch⁴⁶ , F. Rauscher¹¹⁴ , S. Rave¹⁰⁰ , B. Ravina¹⁴⁸ , I. Ravinovich¹⁷⁹ , J. H. Rawling¹⁰¹ , M. Raymond³⁶ , A. L. Read¹³³ , N. P. Readioff¹⁴⁸ , M. Reale^{68a,68b} , D. M. Rebuffi^{71a,71b} , G. Redlinger²⁹ , K. Reeves⁴³ , J. Reichert¹³⁶ , D. Reikher¹⁶⁰ , A. Reiss¹⁰⁰ , A. Rej¹⁵⁰ , C. Rembser³⁶ , A. Renardi⁴⁶ , M. Renda^{27b} , M. B. Rendel¹¹⁵ , A. G. Rennie⁵⁷ , S. Resconi^{69a} , E. D. Resseguie¹⁸ , S. Rettie⁹⁵ , B. Reynolds¹²⁷ , E. Reynolds²¹ , O. L. Rezanova^{122a,122b} , P. Reznicek¹⁴² , E. Ricci^{76a,76b} , R. Richter¹¹⁵ , S. Richter⁴⁶ , E. Richter-Was^{84b} , M. Ridel¹³⁵ , P. Rieck¹¹⁵ , O. Rifki⁴⁶

L. Rustige^{38,47} , J. P. Rutherford⁷ , E. M. Rüttinger¹⁴⁸ , M. Rybar¹⁴² , G. Rybkin⁶⁵ , E. B. Rye¹³³ , A. Ryzhov¹²³ , J. A. Sabater Iglesias⁴⁶ , P. Sabatini⁵³ , L. Sabetta^{73a,73b} , S. Sacerdoti⁶⁵ , H. F-W. Sadrozinski¹⁴⁵ , R. Sadykov⁸⁰ , F. Safai Tehrani^{73a} , B. Safarzadeh Samani¹⁵⁵ , M. Safdari¹⁵² , P. Saha¹²¹ , S. Saha¹⁰⁴ , M. Sahinsky¹¹⁵ , A. Sahu¹⁸¹ , M. Saimpert³⁶ , M. Saito¹⁶² , T. Saito¹⁶² , H. Sakamoto¹⁶² , D. Salamani⁵⁴ , G. Salamanna^{75a,75b} , A. Salnikov¹⁵² , J. Salt¹⁷³ , A. Salvador Salas¹⁴ , D. Salvatore^{41a,41b} , F. Salvatore¹⁵⁵ , A. Salvucci^{63a,63b,63c} , A. Salzburger³⁶ , J. Samarati³⁶ , D. Sammel⁵² , D. Sampsonidis¹⁶¹ , D. Sampsonidou¹⁶¹ , J. Sánchez¹⁷³ , A. Sanchez Pineda^{36,67a,67c} , H. Sandaker¹³³ , C. O. Sander⁴⁶ , I. G. Sanderswood⁹⁰ , M. Sandhoff¹⁸¹ , C. Sandoval^{22b} , D. P. C. Sankey¹⁴³ , M. Sannino^{55a,55b} , Y. Sano¹¹⁷ , A. Sansoni⁵¹ , C. Santoni³⁸ , H. Santos^{139a,139b} , S. N. Santpur¹⁸ , A. Santra¹⁷³

, K. A. Saoucha¹⁴⁸ , A. Sapronov⁸⁰ , J. G. Saraiva^{139a,139d} , O. Sasaki⁸² , K. Sato¹⁶⁸ , F. Sauerburger⁵² , E. Sauvan⁵ , P. Savard^{166,al} , R. Sawada¹⁶² , C. Sawyer¹⁴³ , L. Sawyer^{96,af} , I. Sayago Galvan¹⁷³ , C. Sbarra^{23b} , A. Sbrizzi^{67a,67c} , T. Scanlon⁹⁵ , J. Schaarschmidt¹⁴⁷ , P. Schacht¹¹⁵ , D. Schaefer³⁷ , L. Schaefer¹³⁶ , S. Schaepe³⁶ , U. Schäfer¹⁰⁰ , A. C. Schaffer⁶⁵ , D. Schaile¹¹⁴ , R. D. Schamberger¹⁵⁴ , E. Schanet¹¹⁴ , C. Scharf¹⁹ , N. Scharmberg¹⁰¹ , V. A. Schegelsky¹³⁷ , D. Scheirich¹⁴² , F. Schenck¹⁹ , M. Schernau¹⁷⁰ , C. Schiavi^{55a,55b} , L. K. Schildgen²⁴ , Z. M. Schillaci²⁶ , E. J. Schioppa^{68a,68b} , M. Schioppa^{41a,41b} , K. E. Schleicher⁵² , S. Schlenker³⁶ , K. R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld¹¹⁵ , K. Schmieden³⁶ , C. Schmitt¹⁰⁰ , S. Schmitt⁴⁶ , J. C. Schmoedel⁴⁶ , L. Schoeffel¹⁴⁴ , A. Schoening^{61b} , P. G. Scholer⁵² , E. Schopf¹³⁴ , M. Schott¹⁰⁰ , J. F. P. Schouwenberg¹¹⁹ , J. Schovancova³⁶ , S. Schramm⁵⁴ , F. Schroeder¹⁸¹



, A. Schulte¹⁰⁰ , H-C. Schultz-Coulon^{61a} , M. Schumacher⁵² , B. A. Schumm¹⁴⁵ , Ph. Schune¹⁴⁴ , A. Schwartzman¹⁵² , T. A. Schwarz¹⁰⁶ , Ph. Schwemling¹⁴⁴ , R. Schwienhorst¹⁰⁷ , A. Sciandra¹⁴⁵ , G. Sciolla²⁶ , M. Scornajenghi^{41a,41b} , F. Scuri^{72a} , F. Scutti¹⁰⁵ , L. M. Scyboz¹¹⁵ , C. D. Sebastiani⁹¹ , P. Seema¹⁹ , S. C. Seidel¹¹⁸ , A. Seiden¹⁴⁵ , B. D. Seidlitz²⁹ , T. Seiss³⁷ , C. Seitz⁴⁶ , J. M. Seixas^{81b} , G. Sekhniaidze^{70a} , S. J. Sekula⁴² , N. Semprini-Cesari^{23a,23b} , S. Sen⁴⁹ , C. Serfon²⁹ , L. Serin⁶⁵ , L. Serkin^{67a,67b} , M. Sessa^{60a} , H. Severini¹²⁸ , S. Sevova¹⁵² , F. Sforza^{55a,55b} , A. Sfyrta⁵⁴ , E. Shabalina⁵³ , J. D. Shahinian¹⁴⁵ , N. W. Shaikh^{45a,45b} , D. Shaked Renous¹⁷⁹ , L. Y. Shan^{15a} , M. Shapiro¹⁸ , A. Sharma¹³⁴ , A. S. Sharma¹ , P. B. Shatalov¹²⁴ , K. Shaw¹⁵⁵ , S. M. Shaw¹⁰¹ , M. Shehade¹⁷⁹ , Y. Shen¹²⁸ , A. D. Sherman²⁵ , P. Sherwood⁹⁵ , L. Shi⁹⁵ , S. Shimizu⁸²

, C. O. Shimmin¹⁸² , Y. Shimogama¹⁷⁸ , M. Shimojima¹¹⁶ , I. P. J. Shipsey¹³⁴ , S. Shirabe¹⁶⁴ , M. Shiyakova^{80,z} , J. Shlomi¹⁷⁹ , A. Shmeleva¹¹¹ , M. J. Shochet³⁷ , J. Shojaii¹⁰⁵ , D. R. Shope¹⁵³ , S. Shrestha¹²⁷ , E. M. Shrif^{33c} , E. Shulga¹⁷⁹ , P. Sicho¹⁴⁰ , A. M. Sickles¹⁷² , E. Sideras Haddad^{33e} , O. Sidiropoulou³⁶ , A. Sidoti^{23a,23b} , F. Siegert⁴⁸ , Dj. Sijacki¹⁶ , M. Silva Jr.¹⁸⁰ , M. V. Silva Oliveira³⁶ , S. B. Silverstein^{45a} , S. Simion⁶⁵ , R. Simoniello¹⁰⁰ , C. J. Simpson-allso²¹ , S. Simsek^{12b} , P. Sinervo¹⁶⁶ , V. Sinetckii¹¹³ , S. Singh¹⁵¹ , M. Sioli^{23b,23a} , I. Siral¹³¹ , S. Yu. Sivoklov¹¹³ , J. Sjölin^{45a,45b} , A. Skaf⁵³ , E. Skorda⁹⁷ , P. Skubic¹²⁸ , M. Slawinska⁸⁵ , K. Sliwa¹⁶⁹ , R. Slovak¹⁴² , V. Smakhtin¹⁷⁹ , B. H. Smart¹⁴³ , J. Smiesko^{28b} , N. Smirnov¹¹² , S. Yu. Smirnov¹¹² , Y. Smirnov¹¹² , L. N. Smirnova^{113,r} , O. Smirnova⁹⁷ , E. A. Smith³⁷ , H. A. Smith¹³⁴ , M. Smizanska⁹⁰

, K. Smolek¹⁴¹ , A. Smykiewicz⁸⁵ , A. A. Snesarev¹¹¹ , H. L. Snoek¹²⁰ , I. M. Snyder¹³¹ , S. Snyder²⁹ , R. Sobie^{175,ab} , A. Soffer¹⁶⁰ , A. Søggaard⁵⁰ , F. Sohns⁵³ , C. A. Solans Sanchez³⁶ , E. Yu. Soldatov¹¹² , U. Soldevila¹⁷³ , A. A. Solodkov¹²³ , A. Soloshenko⁸⁰ , O. V. Solovyanov¹²³ , V. Solovyev¹³⁷ , P. Sommer¹⁴⁸ , H. Son¹⁶⁹ , W. Song¹⁴³ , W. Y. Song^{167b} , A. Sopczak¹⁴¹ , A. L. Sopio⁹⁵ , F. Sopkova^{28b} , S. Sottocornola^{71a,71b} , R. Soualah^{67a,67c} , A. M. Soukharev^{122a,122b} , D. South⁴⁶ , S. Spagnolo^{68a,68b} , M. Spalla¹¹⁵ , M. Spangenberg¹⁷⁷ , F. Spanò⁹⁴ , D. Sperlich⁵² , T. M. Spieker^{61a} , G. Spigo³⁶ , M. Spina¹⁵⁵ , D. P. Spiteri⁵⁷ , M. Spousta¹⁴² , A. Stabile^{69a,69b} , B. L. Stamas¹²¹ , R. Stamen^{61a} , M. Stamenkovic¹²⁰ , E. Stanecka⁸⁵ , B. Stanislaus¹³⁴ , M. M. Stanitzki⁴⁶ , M. Stankaityte¹³⁴ , B. Stapf¹²⁰ , E. A. Starchenko¹²³ , G. H. Stark¹⁴⁵ , J. Stark⁵⁸ , P. Staroba¹⁴⁰ , P. Starovoitov^{61a}

, S. Stärz¹⁰⁴ , R. Staszewski⁸⁵ , G. Stavropoulos⁴⁴ , M. Stegler⁴⁶ , P. Steinberg²⁹ , A. L. Steinhebel¹³¹ , B. Stelzer^{151,167a} , H. J. Stelzer¹³⁸ , O. Stelzer-Chilton^{167a} , H. Stenzel⁵⁶ , T. J. Stevenson¹⁵⁵ , G. A. Stewart³⁶ , M. C. Stockton³⁶ , G. Stoicea^{27b} , M. Stolarski^{139a} , S. Stonjek¹¹⁵ , A. Straessner⁴⁸ , J. Strandberg¹⁵³ , S. Strandberg^{45a,45b} , M. Strauss¹²⁸ , T. Strebler¹⁰² , P. Strizenc^{28b} , R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁶ , D. M. Strom¹³¹ , R. Stroynowski⁴²

K. Tariq^{60b}, G. Tarna^{27b,d}, G. F. Tartarelli^{69a}, P. Tas¹⁴², M. Tasevsky¹⁴⁰, T. Tashiro⁸⁶, E. Tassi^{41a,41b}, A. Tavares Delgado^{139a}, Y. Tayalati^{35e}, A. J. Taylor⁵⁰, G. N. Taylor¹⁰⁵, W. Taylor^{167b}, H. Teagle⁹¹, A. S. Tee⁹⁰, R. Teixeira De Lima¹⁵², P. Teixeira-Dias⁹⁴, H. Ten Kate³⁶, J. J. Teoh¹²⁰, S. Terada⁸², K. Terashi¹⁶², J. Terron⁹⁹, S. Terzo¹⁴, M. Testa⁵¹, R. J. Teuscher^{166,ab}, S. J. Thais¹⁸², N. Themistokleous⁵⁰, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁴⁶, F. Thiele⁴⁰, D. W. Thomas⁹⁴, J. O. Thomas⁴², J. P. Thomas²¹, E. A. Thompson⁴⁶, P. D. Thompson²¹, E. Thomson¹³⁶, E. J. Thorpe⁹³, R. E. Ticse Torres⁵³, V. O. Tikhomirov^{111,ah}, Yu. A. Tikhonov^{122a,122b}, S. Timoshenko¹¹², P. Tipton¹⁸², S. Tisserant¹⁰², K. Todome^{23a,23b}, S. Todorova-Nova¹⁴², S. Todt⁴⁸, J. Tojo⁸⁸, S. Tokár^{28a}, K. Tokushuku⁸², E. Tolley¹²⁷, R. Tombs³², K. G. Tomiwa^{33e}, M. Tomoto¹¹⁷, L. Tompkins¹⁵², P. Tornambe¹⁰³, E. Torrence¹³¹, H. Torres⁴⁸, E. Torró Pastor¹⁴⁷, C. Tosciri¹³⁴, J. Toth^{102,aa}, D. R. Tovey¹⁴⁸, A. Traet¹⁷, C. J. Treado¹²⁵, T. Trefzger¹⁷⁶, F. Tresoldi¹⁵⁵, A. Tricoli²⁹, I. M. Trigger^{167a}, S. Trincz-Duvoid¹³⁵, D. A. Trischuk¹⁷⁴, W. Trischuk¹⁶⁶, B. Trocme⁵⁸, A. Trofymov⁶⁵, C. Troncon^{69a}, F. Trovato¹⁵⁵, L. Truong^{33c}, M. Trzebinski⁸⁵, A. Trzupek⁸⁵, F. Tsai⁴⁶, J. C-L. Tseng¹³⁴, P. V. Tsiareshka^{108,ae}, A. Tsirigotis^{161,u}, V. Tsiskaridze¹⁵⁴, E. G. Tskhadadze^{158a}, M. Tsopoulou¹⁶¹, I. I. Tsukerman¹²⁴, V. Tsulaia¹⁸, S. Tsuno⁸², D. Tsybychev¹⁵⁴, Y. Tu^{63b}, A. Tudorache^{27b}, V. Tudorache^{27b}, T. T. Tulbure^{27a}, A. N. Tuna⁵⁹, S. Turchikhin⁸⁰, D. Turgeman¹⁷⁹, I. Turk Cakir^{4b,s}, R. J. Turner²¹, R. Turra^{69a}, P. M. Tuts³⁹, S. Tzamarias¹⁶¹, E. Tzovara¹⁰⁰, K. Uchida¹⁶², F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁸, G. Unal³⁶, M. Unal¹¹, A. Undrus²⁹, G. Unei¹⁷⁰, F. C. Ungaro¹⁰⁵, Y. Unno⁸², K. Uno¹⁶², J. Urban^{28b}, P. Urquijo¹⁰⁵, G. Usai⁸, Z. Uysal^{12d}, V. Vacek¹⁴¹, B. Vachon¹⁰⁴, K. O. H. Vadla¹³³, T. Vafeiadis³⁶, A. Vaidya⁹⁵, C. Valderanis¹¹⁴, E. Valdes Santurio^{45a,45b}, M. Valente⁵⁴, S. Valentinetti^{23a,23b}, A. Valero¹⁷³, L. Valéry⁴⁶, R. A. Vallance²¹, A. Vallier³⁶, J. A. Valls Ferrer¹⁷³, T. R. Van Daalen¹⁴, P. Van Gemmeren⁶, S. Van Stroud⁹⁵, I. Van Vulpen¹²⁰, M. Vanadia^{74a,74b}, W. Vandelli³⁶, M. Vandenbroucke¹⁴⁴, E. R. Vandewall¹²⁹, A. Vaniachine¹⁶⁵, D. Vannicola^{73a,73b}, R. Vari^{73a}, E. W. Varnes⁷, C. Varni^{55a,55b}, T. Varol¹⁵⁷, D. Varouchas⁶⁵, K. E. Varvell¹⁵⁶, M. E. Vasile^{27b}, G. A. Vasquez¹⁷⁵, F. Vazeille³⁸, D. Vazquez Furelos¹⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder³⁶, J. Veatch⁵³, V. Vecchio¹⁰¹, M. J. Veen¹²⁰, L. M. Veloce¹⁶⁶, F. Veloso^{139a,139c}, S. Veneziano^{73a}, A. Ventura^{68a,68b}, A. Verbytskyi¹¹⁵, V. Vercesi^{71a}, M. Verducci^{72a,72b}, C. M. Vergel Infante⁷⁹, C. Vergis²⁴, W. Verkerke¹²⁰, A. T. Vermeulen¹²⁰, J. C. Vermeulen¹²⁰, C. Vernieri¹⁵², P. J. Verschuuren⁹⁴, M. C. Vetterli^{151,al}, N. Viaux Maira^{146d}, T. Vickey¹⁴⁸, O. E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴⁸, G. H. A. Viehhauser¹³⁴, L. Vigani^{61b}, M. Villa^{23a,23b}, M. Villaplana Perez³, E. M. Villhauer⁵⁰, E. Vilucchi⁵¹, M. G. Vincter³⁴, G. S. Virdee²¹, A. Vishwakarma⁵⁰, C. Vittori^{23a,23b}, I. Vivarelli¹⁵⁵, M. Vogel¹⁸¹, P. Vokac¹⁴¹, S. E. von Buddenbrock^{33e}, E. Von Toerne²⁴, V. Vorobel¹⁴², K. Vorobev¹¹², M. Vos¹⁷³, J. H. Vosseveld⁹¹, M. Vozak¹⁰¹, N. Vranjes¹⁶, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁶, V. Vrba¹⁴¹, M. Vreeswijk¹²⁰, N. K. Vu¹⁰², R. Vuillemet³⁶, I. Vukotic³⁷, S. Wada¹⁶⁸, P. Wagner²⁴, W. Wagner¹⁸¹, J. Wagner-Kuhr¹¹⁴, S. Wahdan¹⁸¹, H. Wahlberg⁸⁹, R. Wakasa¹⁶⁸, V. M. Walbrecht¹¹⁵, J. Walder¹⁴³, R. Walker¹¹⁴, S. D. Walker⁹⁴, W. Walkowiak¹⁵⁰, V. Wallangen^{45a,45b}, A. M. Wang⁵⁹, A. Z. Wang¹⁸⁰, C. Wang^{60a}, C. Wang^{60c}, F. Wang¹⁸⁰, H. Wang¹⁸, H. Wang³, J. Wang^{63a}, P. Wang⁴², Q. Wang¹²⁸, R.-J. Wang¹⁰⁰, R. Wang^{60a}, R. Wang⁶, S. M. Wang¹⁵⁷, W. T. Wang^{60a}, W. Wang^{15c}, W. X. Wang^{60a}, Y. Wang^{60a}, Z. Wang¹⁰⁶, C. Wanotayaroj⁴⁶, A. Warburton¹⁰⁴, C. P. Ward³², D. R. Wardrope⁹⁵, N. Warrack⁵⁷, A. T. Watson²¹, M. F. Watson²¹, G. Watts¹⁴⁷, B. M. Waugh⁹⁵, A. F. Webb¹¹, C. Weber²⁹, M. S. Weber²⁰, S. A. Weber³⁴, S. M. Weber^{61a}, A. R. Weidberg¹³⁴, J. Weingarten⁴⁷, M. Weirich¹⁰⁰, C. Weiser⁵², P. S. Wells³⁶, T. Wenaus²⁹, B. Wendland⁴⁷, T. Wengler³⁶, S. Wenig³⁶, N. Wermes²⁴, M. Wessels^{61a}, T. D. Weston²⁰, K. Whalen¹³¹, A. M. Wharton⁹⁰, A. S. White¹⁰⁶, A. White⁸, M. J. White¹, D. Whiteson¹⁷⁰, B. W. Whitmore⁹⁰, W. Wiedenmann¹⁸⁰, C. Wiel⁴⁸, M. Wielers¹⁴³, N. Wieseotte¹⁰⁰, C. Wiglesworth⁴⁰, L. A. M. Wiik-Fuchs⁵², H. G. Wilkens³⁶, L. J. Wilkins⁹⁴, H. H. Williams¹³⁶, S. Williams³², S. Willocq¹⁰³, P. J. Windischhofer¹³⁴, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, E. Winkels¹⁵⁵, F. Winklmeier¹³¹, B. T. Winter⁵², M. Wittgen¹⁵², M. Wobisch⁹⁶, A. Wolf¹⁰⁰, R. Wölker¹³⁴, J. Wollrath⁵², M. W. Wolter⁸⁵, H. Wolters^{139a,139c}, V. W. S. Wong¹⁷⁴, N. L. Woods¹⁴⁵, S. D. Worm⁴⁶, B. K. Wosiek⁸⁵, K. W. Woźniak⁸⁵, K. Wraight⁵⁷, S. L. Wu¹⁸⁰, X. Wu⁵⁴, Y. Wu^{60a}, J. Wuerzinger¹³⁴, T. R. Wyatt¹⁰¹, B. M. Wynne⁵⁰, S. Xella⁴⁰, L. Xia¹⁷⁷, J. Xiang^{63c}, X. Xiao¹⁰⁶, X. Xie^{60a}, I. Xiotidis¹⁵⁵, D. Xu^{15a}, H. Xu^{60a}, H. Xu^{60a}, L. Xu²⁹, T. Xu¹⁴⁴, W. Xu¹⁰⁶, Z. Xu^{60b}, Z. Xu¹⁵², B. Yabsley¹⁵⁶, S. Yacoub^{33a}, K. Yajima¹³², D. P. Yallup⁹⁵, N. Yamaguchi⁸⁸, Y. Yamaguchi¹⁶⁴, A. Yamamoto⁸², M. Yamatani¹⁶², T. Yamazaki¹⁶², Y. Yamazaki⁸³, J. Yan^{60c}, Z. Yan²⁵, H. J. Yang^{60c,60d}, H. T. Yang¹⁸, S. Yang^{60a}, T. Yang^{63c}, X. Yang^{58,60b}, Y. Yang¹⁶², Z. Yang^{60a}, W.-M. Yao¹⁸, Y. C. Yap⁴⁶, Y. Yasu⁸², E. Yatsenko^{60c}, H. Ye^{15c}, J. Ye⁴², S. Ye²⁹, I. Yeletsikh⁸⁰, M. R. Yexley⁹⁰, E. Yigitbasi²⁵, P. Yin³⁹, K. Yorita¹⁷⁸, K. Yoshihara⁷⁹, C. J. S. Young³⁶, C. Young¹⁵², J. Yu⁷⁹, R. Yuan^{60b,h}, X. Yue^{61a}, M. Zaazoua^{35e}, B. Zabinski⁸⁵, G. Zacharis¹⁰, E. Zaffaroni⁵⁴, J. Zahreddine¹³⁵, A. M. Zaitsev^{123,ag}

T. Zakareishvili^{158b} , N. Zakharchuk³⁴ , S. Zambito³⁶ , D. Zanzi³⁶ , D. R. Zaripovas⁵⁷ , S. V. Zeißner⁴⁷ , C. Zeitnitz¹⁸¹ , G. Zemaityte¹³⁴ , J. C. Zeng¹⁷² , O. Zenin¹²³ , T. Ženiš^{28a} , D. Zerwas⁶⁵ , M. Zgubič¹³⁴ , B. Zhang^{15c} , D. F. Zhang^{15b} , G. Zhang^{15b} , J. Zhang⁶ , Kaili. Zhang^{15a} , L. Zhang^{15c} , L. Zhang^{60a} , M. Zhang¹⁷² , R. Zhang¹⁸⁰ , S. Zhang¹⁰⁶ , X. Zhang^{60c} , X. Zhang^{60b} , Y. Zhang^{15a,15d} , Z. Zhang^{63a} , Z. Zhang⁶⁵ , P. Zhao⁴⁹ , Z. Zhao^{60a} , A. Zhemchugov⁸⁰ , Z. Zheng¹⁰⁶ , D. Zhong¹⁷² , B. Zhou¹⁰⁶ , C. Zhou¹⁸⁰ , H. Zhou⁷ , M. S. Zhou^{15a,15d} , M. Zhou¹⁵⁴ , N. Zhou^{60c} , Y. Zhou⁷ , C. G. Zhu^{60b} , C. Zhu^{15a,15d} , H. L. Zhu^{60a} , H. Zhu^{15a} , J. Zhu¹⁰⁶ , Y. Zhu^{60a} , X. Zhuang^{15a} , K. Zhukov¹¹¹ , V. Zhulanov^{122a,122b} , D. Zieminska⁶⁶ , N. I. Zimine⁸⁰ , S. Zimmermann⁵² , Z. Zinonos¹¹⁵ , M. Ziolkowski¹⁵⁰ , L. Živković¹⁶ , G. Zobernig¹⁸⁰ , A. Zoccoli^{23a,23b} , K. Zoch⁵³ , T. G. Zorbas¹⁴⁸ , R. Zou³⁷ , L. Zwalinski³⁶ 

- ¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
- ² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, USA
- ³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- ⁴ (a)Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey; (b)Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies, Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey; (c)Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁵ LAPP, Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy, France
- ⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, USA
- ⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
- ⁸ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, USA
- ⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece
- ¹¹ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
- ¹² (a)Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Bahcesehir University, Istanbul, Turkey; (b)Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey; (c)Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey; (d)Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey
- ¹³ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ¹⁴ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁵ (a)Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; (b)Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; (c)Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China; (d)University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China
- ¹⁶ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹⁷ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹⁸ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ¹⁹ Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- ²⁰ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ²¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- ²² (a)Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogotá, Colombia; (b)Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia, Colombia
- ²³ (a)Dipartimento di Fisica, INFN Bologna and Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; (b)INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- ²⁴ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ²⁵ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA
- ²⁶ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA
- ²⁷ (a)Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov, Romania; (b)Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania; (c)Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi, Romania; (d)Physics Department, National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj-Napoca, Romania; (e)University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania; (f)West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
- ²⁸ (a)Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovak Republic; (b)Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
- ²⁹ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

- ³⁰ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ³¹ California State University, CA, USA
- ³² Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- ³³ ^(a)Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; ^(b)iThemba Labs, Western Cape, South Africa; ^(c)Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^(d)University of South Africa, Department of Physics, Pretoria, South Africa; ^(e)School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ³⁴ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- ³⁵ ^(a)Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco; ^(b)Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn-Tofail, Kénitra, Morocco; ^(c)Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech, Marrakech, Morocco; ^(d)Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LTPM, Oujda, Morocco; ^(e)Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
- ³⁶ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³⁷ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
- ³⁸ LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ³⁹ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, USA
- ⁴⁰ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁴¹ ^(a)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy; ^(b)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- ⁴² Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, USA
- ⁴³ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA
- ⁴⁴ National Centre for Scientific Research “Demokritos”, Agia Paraskevi, Greece
- ⁴⁵ ^(a)Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; ^(b)Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁴⁶ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Zeuthen, Germany
- ⁴⁷ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
- ⁴⁸ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
- ⁴⁹ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
- ⁵⁰ SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- ⁵¹ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- ⁵² Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁵³ II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany
- ⁵⁴ Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève, Switzerland
- ⁵⁵ ^(a)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy; ^(b)INFN Sezione di Genova, Genoa, Italy
- ⁵⁶ II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
- ⁵⁷ SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- ⁵⁸ LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble, France
- ⁵⁹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
- ⁶⁰ ^(a)Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China; ^(b)Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao, China; ^(c)School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, KLPPAC-MoE, SKLPPC, Shanghai, China; ^(d)Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai, China
- ⁶¹ ^(a)Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ^(b)Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁶² Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
- ⁶³ ^(a)Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong, China; ^(b)Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ^(c)Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
- ⁶⁴ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
- ⁶⁵ IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405 Orsay, France
- ⁶⁶ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
- ⁶⁷ ^(a)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine, Italy; ^(b)ICTP, Trieste, Italy; ^(c)Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy

- 68 (a) INFN Sezione di Lecce, Lecce, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- 69 (a) INFN Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy
- 70 (a) INFN Sezione di Napoli, Naples, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Naples, Italy
- 71 (a) INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- 72 (a) INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- 73 (a) INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy
- 74 (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- 75 (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, Rome, Italy; (b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Rome, Italy
- 76 (a) INFN-TIFPA, Trento, Italy; (b) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento, Italy
- 77 Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
- 78 University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
- 79 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA
- 80 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 81 (a) Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora, Brazil; (b) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; (c) Universidade Federal de São João del Rei (UFSJ), São João del Rei, Brazil; (d) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- 82 KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
- 83 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- 84 (a) Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland; (b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
- 85 Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
- 86 Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 87 Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
- 88 Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 89 Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- 90 Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- 91 Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 92 Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 93 School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- 94 Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, UK
- 95 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, UK
- 96 Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, USA
- 97 Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
- 98 Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
- 99 Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- 100 Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- 101 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
- 102 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- 103 Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA
- 104 Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
- 105 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 106 Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- 107 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- 108 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
- 109 Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus
- 110 Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada
- 111 P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 112 National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- 113 D.V. Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 114 Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

- 115 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
- 116 Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- 117 Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- 118 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States of America
- 119 Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- 120 Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 121 Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, USA
- 122 (a) Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics and NSU, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia; (b) Novosibirsk State University Novosibirsk, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 123 Institute for High Energy Physics of the National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Protvino, Russia
- 124 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of National Research Centre “Kurchatov Institute”, Moscow, Russia
- 125 Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, USA
- 126 Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan
- 127 Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
- 128 Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA
- 129 Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA
- 130 Palacký University, RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc, Czech Republic
- 131 Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA
- 132 Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- 133 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 134 Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, UK
- 135 LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- 136 Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- 137 Konstantinov Nuclear Physics Institute of National Research Centre “Kurchatov Institute”, PNPI, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 138 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 139 (a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa, Portugal; (b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal; (c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; (d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal; (e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal; (f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain; (g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal; (h) Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- 140 Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
- 141 Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
- 142 Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
- 143 Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
- 144 IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 145 Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA
- 146 (a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile; (b) Department of Physics, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, Chile; (c) Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Santiago, Chile; (d) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
- 147 Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 148 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 149 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
- 150 Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
- 151 Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
- 152 SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, USA
- 153 Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
- 154 Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA
- 155 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK
- 156 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
- 157 Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

- 158 (a)E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia; (b)High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 159 Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
- 160 Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
- 161 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
- 162 International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 163 Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
- 164 Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- 165 Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
- 166 Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 167 (a)TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada; (b)Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 168 Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- 169 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA
- 170 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
- 171 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
- 172 Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA
- 173 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain
- 174 Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 175 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
- 176 Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
- 177 Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
- 178 Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
- 179 Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- 180 Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA
- 181 Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
- 182 Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- ^a Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, NY, USA
- ^b Also at Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi, Italy
- ^c Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^d Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- ^e Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève, Switzerland
- ^f Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ^g Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece
- ^h Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- ⁱ Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA
- ^j Also at Department of Physics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel
- ^k Also at Department of Physics, California State University, East Bay, USA
- ^l Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno, USA
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento, USA
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, UK
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ^p Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland
- ^q Also at Dipartimento di Matematica, Informatica e Fisica, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
- ^r Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ^s Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Giresun, Turkey
- ^t Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ^u Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece
- ^v Also at IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405 Orsay, France
- ^w Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
- ^x Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

- ^y Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ^z Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
- ^{aa} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ^{ab} Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Vancouver, Canada
- ^{ac} Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ^{ad} Also at Instituto de Fisica Teorica, IFT-UAM/CSIC, Madrid, Spain
- ^{ae} Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- ^{af} Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, USA
- ^{ag} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- ^{ah} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- ^{ai} Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine
- ^{aj} Also at Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ^{ak} Also at The City College of New York, NY, USA
- ^{al} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ^{am} Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy
- ^{an} Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing, China
- * Deceased