ACCEPTED VERSION

Mohamed Gomaa, Wassim Jabi, Alejandro Veliz Reyes, Veronica Soebarto **3D printing system for earth-based construction: Case study of cob** Automation in Construction, 2021; 124:103577-1-103577-16

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Final publication at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103577

PERMISSIONS

https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/sharing

Accepted Manuscript

Authors can share their accepted manuscript:

24 Month Embargo

After the embargo period

- via non-commercial hosting platforms such as their institutional repository
- via commercial sites with which Elsevier has an agreement

In all cases <u>accepted manuscripts</u> should:

- link to the formal publication via its DOI
- bear a CC-BY-NC-ND license this is easy to do
- if aggregated with other manuscripts, for example in a repository or other site, be shared in alignment with our <u>hosting policy</u>
- not be added to or enhanced in any way to appear more like, or to substitute for, the published journal article

4 May 2023

http://hdl.handle.net/2440/130480

Automation in Construction 3D Printing System for Earth-based construction: Case Study of Cob --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AUTCON_2020_110R4			
Article Type:	Original article			
Keywords:	Extrusion systems; Earth-based material; digital fabrication; Robotic Construction; Cob; Additive manufacturing; 3D Printing			
Corresponding Author:	Mohamed Gomaa The University of Adelaide Adelaide, South Australia AUSTRALIA			
First Author:	Mohamed Gomaa			
Order of Authors:	Mohamed Gomaa			
	Wassim Jabi			
	Alejandro Veliz Reyes			
	Veronica Soebarto			
Abstract:	Despite the dramatic development in digital manufacturing technologies in the recent years, 3D printing of earth materials, such as cob, still presents several challenges to the market-available 3D printing systems. This paper describes the development process of a 3DP system for cob that fits the requirements of digital construction. The study first described the methodology of producing a revised cob recipe. Then, it conducted thorough investigations into the properties of three types of extrusion systems both electromechanical and pneumatic, leading eventually to the development of a new bespoke dual-ram extruder. The study then explored systematically the new rheological properties of cob and the geometric opportunities the new system offers. The study shows that the new extrusion system improves greatly the 3DP process of cob in terms of extrusion rate, continuity, consistency, and mobility. The findings are expected to bring 3D printed cob construction closer to full-scale applications.			
Suggested Reviewers:	Suleiman Alhadidi alhadidi@media.mit.edu Alhadidi research focuses on developing the next generation of affordable robotically- enabled buildings. His projects include advanced transformable architecture, design-to- build prefabrication manufacturing systems.			
	Asterios Agkathidis Asterios.Agkathidis@liverpool.ac.uk Asterios research focuses on emerging computational technologies and their impact on form finding processes, material applications, sustainability, digital manufacturing and construction. He has wide experience in realization of complex geometries using digital manufacturing techniques and the file to factory design process.			
	Theodoros Dounas t.dounas@rgu.ac.uk Theodoros lectures in Architecture and Architectural Technology modules in Robert Gordon University. He is an award-winning chartered architect and academic, investigating in computation and fabrication in architecture and design, with practice experience in complex buildings of all scales.			
	Fodil Fadli f.fadli@qu.edu.qa Dr Fodil is the head of the architecture department at Qatar University. He has an extensive research experience span sustainable architecture, sustainability assessment and modelling, digitizing heritage and design in motion (dynamic environment) and historic building conservation.			
	Elif Erdine elif.erdine@aaschool.ac.uk Dr Elif is the director of the Emergent Technologies & Design program in the			

	Architectural Association. She is an expert in the integration of computational design methods with large-scale digital fabrication tools.
	Sean Hanna s.hanna@ucl.ac.uk Dr Sean is a reader in The Bartlett School of Architecture. His research is primarily in developing computational methods for dealing with complexity in the built environment, including the use of machine learning for the design and fabrication of structures.
Response to Reviewers:	

Mohamed Gomaa School of Architecture University of Adelaide L4 Horace Lamb Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia mohamed.gomaa@adelaide.edu.au

Professor Miroslaw J. Skibniewski Editor-in-Chief Journal of Automation in Construction

February 25, 2020

Dear Professor Skibniewski,

I am pleased to submit an original research article entitled "Development of a 3D Printing System for Earth-based Materials" for consideration for publication in the Journal of Automation in Construction. This work comes as part of a full feasibility investigation of the robotic-3D printing of earth-based materials (i.e. cob) for construction-scale walls. The full investigation includes other aspects such as design methods, thermal & structural performance analysis, and life cycle assessment. All these aspects are currently undergoing a publication process.

In this manuscript, we developed a novel 3D printing system of cob, then we addressed the relationship between the developed 3D printing system and Cob physical properties, and the new geometric and design opportunities it offers. The findings are expected to bring 3D printed cob closer to full-scale applications in the construction industry.

We believe that this manuscript is appropriate for publication by the Journal of Automation in Construction as it contributes to the disciplines of architectural design and construction technology. The manuscript provides a framework capable of bridging the knowledge gap between vernacular modes of building production and contemporary digital practice.

Kindly find attached the manuscript, with all the requested documents for submission. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mohamed Gomaa, MSc PhD Candidate, School of Architecture and Built Environment University of Adelaide

Comments	Authors' Responses
The Abstract reads like an Introduction to the paper and contains statements that are trivial for a construction automation and robotics expert reading this journal. This Abstract must be fully revamped to focus on the specific research question addressed in the paper, methods used, and results obtained.	Revised and revamped.
Please make the title more succinct, for instance: "3D Printing System for Earth- based construction: Case Study of Cob".	Updated
Please remove the article "The" from subheadings and captions, such as in page 5, section 2.1, "The material", should be "Material".	Updated
The Conclusions section of the paper lacks thought-provoking observations, elaboration on the directions for further research and future applications of the presented results.	Reconstructed and updated.
In References, all cited items must include complete bibliographic data and DOI (journal papers and conference proceedings articles), ISBN (books) or web address with the date of last access (theses/dissertations, technical reports, manuals, standards, etc.) - no exceptions. Do not abbreviate journal names or conference titles. For consistency, insert "pp." before all page ranges in journal papers and conference articles alike. Pay attention to correct capitalization of journal names and conference proceedings titles.	Revised and updated.

Highlights

- 1. Development of a bespoke dual-ram cob extrusion system.
- 2. Improved cob mix and printing parameters for additive manufacturing.
- 3. The new extrusion system increases the efficiency of 3D printing of Cob.
- 4. Cob can be printed with complex geometries using more than three axes of movement.
- 5. Robotic 3D printing of cob shows potentials for sustainable automated construction.

3D Printing System for Earth-based construction: Case Study of Cob

- 2 Mohamed Gomaa^{a*}, Wassim Jabi^b, Alejandro Veliz Reyes^c and Veronica Soebarto^a
- ^a School of Architecture and Built environment, Horace Lamb Building, University of Adelaide,
- 4 Adelaide SA5005, Australia.
- ⁵ ^b Welsh School of Architecture, Bute building, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3NB, United
- 6 Kingdom.
- ⁷ ^c School of Art, Design and Architecture, Roland Levinsky Building, University of Plymouth, PL4 8AA,
- 8 United Kingdom.
- 9 *Corresponding Author: <u>mohamed.gomaa@adelaide.edu.au</u>;
- 10 Postal address: School of Architecture and Built environment, Horace Lamb Building, University of
- 11 Adelaide, Adelaide SA5005, Australia
- **12** Phone: (+61) 0413088466

13 Abstract

Despite the dramatic development in digital manufacturing technologies in the recent years, 14 3D printing of earth materials, such as cob, still presents several challenges to the market-15 available 3D printing systems. This paper describes the development process of a 3D printing 16 system for cob that fits the contemporary requirements of digital construction. The study first 17 described the methodology of producing a revised cob recipe for the purpose of 3D printing. 18 Then, the study conducted thorough investigations into the properties of three types of 19 extrusion systems using both electromechanical and pneumatic methods, leading eventually to 20 the development of a new bespoke dual-ram extruder. The study then explored systematically 21 the relationship between the new 3DP system and the rheological properties of cob, followed 22 by an exploration to the new geometric opportunities the new system offers. The study findings 23 show that the new extrusion system improves greatly the 3DP process of cob in terms of 24 extrusion rate, continuity, consistency, and mobility. The findings are expected to bring 3D 25 printed cob construction closer to full-scale applications. On a broader scale the study 26 contributes to the disciplines of architectural design and construction by providing a framework 27 capable of bridging the knowledge gap between vernacular modes of building production and 28 contemporary digital practice. 29

30 Keywords

- 31 3D printing; Additive manufacturing; Robotic construction; Digital fabrication; Extrusion systems;
- 32 Cob; Earth-based material.

33 **1. Introduction**

34 An increasing amount of research on implementing 3D printing (3DP) systems for large-scale 35 formats has exposed multiple potential applications for architecture and the construction industry (Tay et al. 2017; Wu, Wang, and Wang 2016). Concurrent research highlights the 36 advantages of 3D printing in construction to achieve a higher degree of process optimisations 37 38 (e.g. financial, construction time, staffing resource), the emergence of new digital processes 39 associated to Building Information Modelling and potential for mass customisation, and environmental benefits towards the life cycle of 3D printed objects and building elements (Wu, 40 Wang, and Wang 2016). Additionally, research such as the review paper by Tay et al. (2017) 41 outlines environmental benefits of 3DP in construction as a result of a reduced use of formwork 42 (Kothman and Faber 2016). 43

44 Cob stands as one of many types of earth construction methods and it had been utilised historically all over the world. Its mix consists of subsoil (earth), water, and fibrous material 45 (typically straw). However, similarly to related construction methods, cob buildings embody a 46 material mix, as well as its associated construction method. Cob walls are typically built using 47 hand-made material deposition on top a plinth, then corrected (e.g. correction of vertical 48 planes) with material added or removed before or after drying (Hamard et al. 2016). As a result, 49 building elements can comprise a variety of geometries, yet the builder is required to constantly 50 negotiate the execution of an intended design with ever-changing material properties (e.g. 51 water content, drying speed) necessary to achieve the design goals without the need for 52 formwork or any mechanical compaction method (Figure 1). As a result: 53

- Cob provides a high degree of design freedom and adaptability throughout the construction process, where the builder negotiates with the material (and its properties) as the building process proceeds (Veliz Reyes et al. 2019), challenging the normalised view of robotic 3D printing as a linear process from design to production.
- Cob can be reutilised throughout the construction process, providing the opportunity for testing and prototyping design solutions (Kennedy, Smith, and Wanek 2015), reducing the amount of waste material and enabling low-cost project corrections and modifications on-site.
- Recent research demonstrates that cob complies with modern regulations such as UK
 building performance standards (Goodhew and Griffiths 2005).
- When compared to other massing construction materials and methods (e.g. concrete),
 cob has lower CO2 emissions, low embodied energy (Benardos, Athanasiadis, and
 Katsoulakos 2014) and requires a lower degree of depletion of natural resources
 (Goodhew and Griffiths 2005).

These criteria suggest that a 3D printing system of cob warrants further investigation as a potential pathway toward more sustainable 3DP practices, with a lesser environmental impact when compared to concrete 3D printing (Alhumayani et al. 2020). Recent evidence supports this observation; an early study conducted on small material samples (Gomaa et al. 2019) provides evidence that 3D printed cob elements have competitive thermal performance standards when compared to other materials such as concrete, brickwork, and conventional cob construction.

75



Figure 1. Exposed cob construction in Totnes, UK.

77

78

79 Hamard et al. (2016) and Agustí-Juan et al. (2017b) highlight that the integration of digital fabrication techniques with vernacular modes of architectural production can reveal 80 sustainability potentials for construction applications as compared to other cement-based 3D 81 82 printing methods. This, mainly due to existing forms of cob knowledge production (e.g. vernacular construction techniques), emerges from long-lasting local environmental, material, 83 social and skills contexts of construction practice. This research recognises the potential of 84 85 developing building technologies associated with vernacular knowledge and building practices, generating a research and development process highly grounded on responsible innovation by 86 87 leveraging local industries and technologies, utilising local materials and workforce (Garrett 88 2014). Moreover, the study challenges normalised models of design-to-fabrication research by 89 incorporating local, vernacular and material knowledge as a methodological consideration and engagement process throughout the study. This negotiation between disparate frameworks of 90 material practice (detailed in Veliz Reyes et al, 2019), established both in R&D research and 91 92 in vernacular construction, not only results in emergent material opportunities within a standard design-engineering professional delivery framework but also enables novel methodological 93 approaches to architectural tectonics, local materials and skillsets, digital discourses and 94 building technologies. 95

A substantial share of recent research on 3DP for construction addresses 3D printing of cement 96 and mortar-like materials. As a result, there has been a huge development in 3D printing 97 systems for cement-based materials in recent years (Geneidy, Ismaeel, and Abbas 2019; Shakor 98 et al. 2019). Different types of extrusion systems are currently used for 3D printing; varying 99 from pneumatic pumps and electromechanical ram extruders. In spite of these developments, 100 3D printing of earth-based materials, such as cob, still presents several challenges to the 101 market-available 3D printing systems such as material granularity, material properties and mix 102 ratios, or the use of local organic fibres, which must addressed through extensive experimental 103 research before delivering a feasible construction method (Veliz Reyes et al. 2018). These 104 105 requirements highlight the opportunities of vernacular knowledge as a source of digital innovation, as it has already tested, iterated and perfected mix ratios and earthen architecture 106 production typologies around the world. 107

Following early studies of cob 3DP technology (e.g. Veliz Reyes et al, 2018) the sensitivity of the printing process to the material mix is currently a major limiting factor in the development 110 of construction-scale 3D printing with cob. The hardening property of the material mix creates a critical constraint on the speed of the 3D printing process (Perrot, Rangeard, and Courteille 111 2018; T. T. Le et al. 2012). The interrelation between hardening time and printing velocity 112 must be monitored carefully, as each printed layer must be hard enough to support the weight 113 of the successive layers. At the same time, the material mix must sustain a certain rheological 114 behaviour that enables it to be extruded smoothly through the 3DP printing system (Perrot, 115 Rangeard, and Pierre 2016; Veliz Reyes et al. 2018), despite its irregular granularity and 116 addition of organic material. Moreover, effective design of material delivery systems may 117 118 offset some irregularities that may be unavoidable in a commercial application, particularly considering the effect of specific geological, environmental or geographic conditions on the 119 quality of 3DP cob mix. 120

Panda and Tan (2018) demonstrated the importance of establishing a clear understanding of 121 the rheological behaviour of highly viscous 3D printed materials such as concrete. One of the 122 major issues with 3D printing of such materials is to balance between the fluidity level and 123 sufficient viscosity simultaneously in a way to ensure smooth flow of material through the 124 extrusion system without clogging while maintaining the extruded material shape during the 125 printing process. In concrete 3D printing, the developed mixtures must be thixotropic in nature, 126 which means it should have high yield stress and low viscosity (Panda, Unluer, and Tan 2018). 127 Other studies by Lipscomb and Denn (1984), (Le et al. (2015) and Choi, Kim, and Kim (2014) 128 also highlighted the critical influence of mixture components, such as particle size, gradation, 129 surface area and paste/aggregate volume on the flow property of the material as they govern 130 the yield stress and viscosity. In his study, Perrot et al. (2016) proposed a theoretical framework 131 for the structural built-up of 3DP of cement-based materials. His proposal showed the 132 correlation between vertical stress acting on the first deposited layer with the critical stress 133 related to plastic deformation that is linked to the material yield stress. 134

In earth construction, the rheology of the material is the key to control the quality of the 135 structures. Historically, adjusting the consistency of cob mixtures depended greatly on the on 136 137 the local know-how, simply though controlling the water to soil ratios, or by adding other ingredients such as fibres or lime (Perrot, Rangeard, and Lecompte 2018). As the construction 138 industry shows a growing interest in earth materials via 3D printing, the need to develop simple 139 and rapid testing for estimating earth material workability and rheological properties has 140 increased (Bruno et al. 2017; Khelifi et al. 2013). According to Perrot, Rangeard, and Lecompte 141 (2018), field-oriented tests can be leveraged to estimate material parameters such as the yield 142 stress, which will provide important information to describe the rheological behaviour of the 143 earth material. Weismann and Bryce (2006) demonstrated in their book "Building with cob: a 144 step-by-step guide" detailed the methods for simple field tests of subsoil and cob 145 characteristics. The recommended testing procedures were established on historical methods 146 for building with cob, all aiming to provide clear understanding of the subsoil workability and 147 rheology properties. 148

This research leverages the qualities of cob construction to utilise it as a groundwork for digital innovation through robotic 3D printing of building elements. This line of research has maintained the craft quality of cob as a source of innovative knowledge, often developed outside the boundaries of professional and academic frameworks - a "vernacular" understanding of the material usually communicated through making and practice instead of standard academic communication pathways (Niroumand, Barceló Álvarez, and Saaly 2016). This evolutionary approach of vernacular architecture as a driver for novel environmental, technological and cultural discourses is exploited in this study through an iterative design research method, which has developed a material mix for cob 3D printing applications, an innovative extrusion system for cob 3D printing applications, and a series of tests attempting to outline emerging large-scale design opportunities resulting from this technology.

160 2. Methods and Material

161 **2.1. Material**

In cob constructon, printing material properties must be considered and formulated carefully 162 according to both its wet and hardened states. Wet properties are those related to the material 163 in its fresh, or 'green' state, i.e. the state that the material is in from initial mixing to the point 164 at which it is deployed on site, before drying or hardening (Perrot et al. 2018a). According to 165 166 Le et al., (2012), three basic criteria must be met to ensure a successful 3D printing process; extrudability, buildability, and workability with time. This means that the material must flow 167 168 efficiently through the system without excessive force and be deposited in layers with minimal 169 deformations. At the same time it must be able to support the loads of subsequent layers before 170 hardening and reaching some degree of structural integrity. The transition from printing to hardening must occur within a time frame considering the material hardening rate while 171 meeting the overall construction requirements such as tolerances for deformation. A similar 172 process is conducted during hand constructed cob, as the builder must skillfully negotiate water 173 contents, structural integrity and building design throughout the construction process. 174

175 In the context of this study, mix ratios have been reached through an iterative process of testing 176 and material characterisation. Weismann and Bryce (2006) and Hamard et al. (2016) recommended that the composition of a cob mixture (averages) to be 78% subsoil, 20% water 177 and 2% fibre (straw) by weight. The recommendation for the subsoil formula itself is 15-25 % 178 clay to 75-85 % aggregate/sand. This mix, however, requires adaptation for 3D printing 179 applications that maximises its fluidity, while maintaining printability properties (e.g. layer 180 definition) and structural cohesion (e.g. layer height). This study used subsoil sourced from a 181 farmland near Cardiff, UK, for the cob specimens. Subsoil specimens were examined according 182 to the recommended testing methods in the literature (Steve Goodhew, Grindley, and Probeif 183 1995; Weismann and Bryce 2006): shake test, brick test, sausage test, ball drop test. These tests 184 utilized simple deposition tests in order to acknowledge typically utilized on-site tests as well 185 as to eventually simplify the material characterization process should this method be used in 186 different contexts with little or no access to material testing facilities (Figure 2). 187



189 *Figure 2. Shake and brick tests to the three subsoil samples from Cardiff.*

190 However, as cob is traditionally mixed in a nearly dry state, the recommended compositions above do not necessarily fit the purpose of 3DP applications where a less viscous rheology is 191 required. Lower water content in the mix leads to higher friction between the material and 192 extrusion cycle parts, creating massive pressure on the extrusion mechanisms, resulting in 193 increasing wear rate of the parts and reduce the long-term efficiency and printing quality. 194 Gomaa et al. (2019) conducted a number of systematic tests to reach suitably modified 195 proportions of cob mixtures for 3D printing purposes. The testing process included systematic 196 alteration of several factors. Water contents of 22, 24, 26, and 28% were tested. The study 197 concluded that the water content in the 3D printed cob mixture should be increased to an 198 average of 25% while straw remains at 2%, resulting in a subsoil percentage of 73% (by 199 weight). 200

It was anticipated that the increase in the water content will alter the rheology of the cob mix 201 during and after the extrusion process. Therefore, it was important to examine the behaviour of 202 the cob mix under the extrusion force. This examination seeks a systematic understanding of 203 204 the variation in the printed path size in relation to the extrusion rate through the nozzle and motion speed on one side, and nozzle size and layer height on the other. Extrusion rate is 205 usually used to express the volume of material passing through a given cross sectional nozzle 206 area per unit time (mm³/sec). Linear extrusion rate, on the other hand, represents the passing 207 208 length of the material over unit time (mm/sec) (Khan Academy 2015; Zareiyan and Khoshnevis 2017). The study at first examined the synchronization process between linear extrusion rate 209 and motion speed. Linear extrusion is chosen so that changes in the cross sections of different 210 211 nozzles will not alter the outcome. Yet, the study focused on understanding the vital relation between the layer height and nozzle size, and their impact on the printed outcome. 212 Understanding this relation is essential during the process of transforming the designed 213 geometry into accurate contours and path lines for the 3D printing framework. The correct, and 214 accurate, estimation of the 3D printed size of path lines and the geometry in total increases the 215 quality of the outcome. 216

A series of tests were conducted to define this relationship mathematically. The tests set the nozzle diameter and the motion speed as constants at 45 mm and 80 mm/sec respectively, with a synchronised linear extrusion rate at 105 % of the nozzle motion speed (approximately 85 mm/sec). The printed file consisted of five path lines. Each line had a different layer height, starting from 15 mm and ending at 35 mm with 5 mm intervals. Each printed line was then measured and assigned to its respective height. This test was repeated three times to observe any possible variation to the outcome and increase credibility of estimations.

224 **2.2. Equipment**

A complete 3D Printing (3DP) system consists of two separate devices: a motion controller 225 and a material delivery system. The two must be designed in coordination to realise the final 226 3D printed outcome: the weight of the extrusion system can affect the motion controller, or the 227 accuracy of the motion controller can affect the tolerance and deformation of the final printed 228 229 element. The study used a 6-axes KUKA KR60 HA robotic arm as the motion controller. The 230 computer software package for robotic control was Rhinoceros via Grasshopper and KUKA 231 PRC®. The material delivery system is the part of the printer setup which stores, transports, and deposits the print medium. The design of the material delivery system is vital to successful 232 233 printing, as the material must be layered with enough accuracy, at a consistent and synchronized extrusion rate with the robot motion. Not meeting these needs can easily 234 jeopardise the resulting print quality, which could significantly affect the shape and the 235 structural integrity of a printed element. The material delivery tool (i.e. the extrusion system) 236 replicated commercial clay extruders that exist in the market, which usually use both pneumatic 237 and electromechanical techniques. The study then developed a new bespoke extrusion system 238 which will be detailed later in the paper. 239

240 **2.3.** Extrusion system

Two types of material extrusion methods were tested in this research; 1) Screw-pump, and 2) 241 Ram extrusion. The screw pump is a method that utilises an auger screw in order to transport 242 and compress the material to a specific point, which in the case of 3D printing is the nozzle. 243 Upon rotation, the screw acts as a type of rotational positive displacement pump, transporting 244 material in the axial direction of the screw (Figure 3). Auger extrusion systems may be 245 vertically or horizontally oriented. The screw sits within a material hopper, which is filled with 246 247 material to be extruded. The rotating screw then pulls the material through the system. This method is used by the WASP Company in their Delta 3MT and 12MT printers, which they 248 used to experiment with 3D printing of earth-based materials (Figure 4) (3D-WASP 2020). 249



251 *Figure 3. Two types of the screw pump: vertical screw (left) and horizontal screw (right)*

250



253 254

Figure 4. Screw pump extruder by WASP

In ram extruders, a linear force is applied on a piston inside a cylinder ram filled with the material. The generated pressure then forces the material through the nozzle once a threshold of pressure is reached. These systems are also commercially known as linear actuators. The exerted force in linear actuators is generated by two methods (Figure 5);

- 1) Pneumatic, using air/gas, by increasing the pressure on one side of a pneumatic cylinder,leading to linear motion and an applied force on the plunger of the extrusion device.
- 261 2) Electromechanical, using lead screw or screw-jack, which translates circular motion from a262 motor into the linear motion and force exertion required to extrude the material.
- 263



265 *Figure 5. Scheme of the Pneumatic (right) and electromechanical (left) ram extruders.*

266

267 **2.4. Prototyping and Geometry**

The prototyping process included two stages; the first stage is the calibration of the 3D printing 268 settings, and the second stage is geometry prototyping. The calibration of settings is an 269 important step to enhance the relationship between the robotic arm and the extrusion system. 270 The calibration process was designed as a set of 3D printed path lines with variable layer 271 heights and speeds. An understanding of the material behaviour is pursued through observing 272 the relationship between the layer height, extrusion rate and nozzle dimension. The applied 273 changes in the layer heights varied from 15 to 35 mm. These heights are chosen to represent a 274 range of ratios in relation to the nozzle size, which has a diameter of 45 mm. 275

The second stage of prototyping focused on the geometry potentials and limitations. The main 276 277 aim of this step is to examine several geometrical challenges that encounter the robotically 278 assisted 3D printing of cob such as the inclined surfaces, arch based shapes and maximum 279 height per printing period. The maximum height per printing period reflects the achieved geometry height before pausing the printing process until the printed geometry gain structural 280 strength through the transformation process from wet to dry state (3D WASP 2016). 281 Additionally, it must be acknowledged that cob can be reutilised after printing, either through 282 the modification of a printed object (while still wet) or through trimming excess cob from 283 already set built elements. As a result, the geometric and prototyping processes of cob 3D 284 285 printing comprise an iterative quality which facilitates testing.

- 286
- 287 **3. Results and Discussion**
- 288 **3.1. Extrusion System**
- 289 3.1.1. Bespoke Screw pump

Inspired by the vertical screw extrusion system in the commercial Delta12MT WASP® (Figure 4), the research team developed a screw pump based on an auger bit device. The initial concept was to create a more robot-friendly extruder, where the material feed point was stationary and the extruded material was delivered to the robot arm end-effector point through a hose. This design concept aimed to provide a higher freedom of movement for the robot, besides an improved practicality of material feeding technique as compared to the available cob and clay
 extrusion system in the market, which requires regular human interference with the extruder
 for material feeding while on the move.

The used device for this testing was a repurposed auger conveyor, originally designed to transport sand. Alterations were made in order to make it suitable for cob extrusion (Figure 6). The initial testing of the device showed remarkable improvement in terms of extrusion rate, consistency and scale of the printed outcome. It was able to achieve a maximum extrusion rate of 80 mm/sec with a 50mm nozzle diameter. However, this system revealed several major shortcomings that required further stage of developments:

- The extruder jammed consistently due to the build-up of straw and rough aggregate at 305 two points in the system; one at the interface between the auger tip and the nozzle and 306 another at the interface between the hopper (feed point) and the auger.
- It still required constant human interaction to feed the material through the hopper.
- The whole mechanism was heavy and relatively large, which compromised the freedom of movement of the robot, and consequently limiting the complexity level of the geometry designs.
- The attempt of making the screw device stationary and install a hose at the screw end
 (as shown in Figure 3- right) was unsuccessful. Installation of the hose increased both
 the load and the material travel distance beyond the auger direct contact surface. The
 increase in hose length has an inverse proportional relation with the extrusion rate,
 accompanied by noticeable material retraction at the feeding point.



- 316
- 317 *Figure 6. The prototype of the bespoke screw pump.*

318 3.1.2. Pneumatic

319 The experimentation of this extrusion type was inspired by most of the industrial clay and concrete extruders, which are based on exerting linear force by using pneumatic pumps. The 320 study used a pneumatic linear ram extruder, in which the pressure was manually controlled. 321 The ram cylinder had a maximum capacity of 4000 ml and the used nozzle size was 30 mm 322 Figure 7. The system was compact enough to be mounted easily on the robot arm and enable 323 remote control of system at the same time. Despite the acquired strength from this extruder, 324 the use of pneumatic system for a dense material like cob revealed a series of challenges in 325 terms of controlling the extrusion rate, quality and consistency of extrusion. Furthermore, it 326

- 327 required consistent human interaction throughout the print process to adjust the extrusion rate,
- 328 fix faults and prevent collapses.



Figure 7. The pneumatic linear ram extruder

331 3.1.3. Electromechanical

332 In order to overcome the drawbacks of the pneumatic system, the study switched again to the use of the electromechanical extrusion method in its third phase. This phase used a commercial 333 small size screw-jack extruder provided by 3D potter ® (Figure 8). The benefit of a screw-jack 334 is that it includes a gearbox, providing extra torque at a lower speed. The new system provided 335 a better control over the extrusion rate and consistency due to the use of a stepper electric 336 337 motor, which resulted in a higher print quality. However, this extruder by 3D potter is designed 338 to execute small-medium size porotypes of clay-based materials, as the standard maximum 339 nozzle size was 16 mm. The system had to be modified by attaching a larger 25mm bespoke 340 nozzle to be more suitable for cob extrusion. Despite the dramatic increase in the printing quality, the new system suffered from a slow printing speed limited to 5 mm/sec due to the 341 increased nozzle size. This rate of 3D printing had restricted the progress of the 342 343 experimentation, while it also restricted the scale of the printed outcome which may represent actual wall in a building. Furthermore, the capacity of the material container was too small 344 (3000ml) for a large print to be made without refilling, and the process of refilling the device 345 was slow as it required almost a partial disassembly of the whole extruder (Veliz Reyes et al. 346 2018). 347



Figure 8. The electromechanical linear ram extruder and its 3D printed outcome.

350 3.1.4. Bespoke electromechanical dual ram extruder

All the previous experimentations of different extrusion methods have led to the development of a completely new extrusion method that can accelerate the creation of prototypes, leading to an increased productivity and greater research potentials. The previous three experimentations have exposed five critical challenges that face robotically assisted 3D printing of cob:

- 355 1) Continuity of printing process.
- 356 2) Maximum extrusion rate.
- 357 3) Consistency and quality of outcome.
- 358 4) The freedom of movement.
- 359 5) Reduction of human interaction (remote control).

Each tested extrusion system exhibited a number of advantages and limitations. Table 1 summarises the efficiency level of each tested extrusion system based on the five previous criteria. The efficiency levels are expressed as Low, Medium and High, where low refers to limitations and high refers to advantages.

Tuble 1. Efficiency level of the tested effections of each extrusion systems							
	Continuity	Extrusion	Consistency	Movement	Human		
		rate		Freedom	interaction		
Screw pump	Medium	High	Medium	Low	Low		
Pneumatic	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium		
Electromechanical	Low	Low	High	Medium	Medium		

Table 1. Efficiency level of the tested criterions of each extrusion systems

These criteria are crucial challenges to improve the workability and productivity of 3D printed cob research and practice. The successful encounter of these issues will open the window for more sophisticated explorations on both the 3DP cob mix properties and the geometry design aspects. Out of all the previous three introduced extruding systems, the electromechanical linear ram has shown promising potentials in overcoming the five challenges. However, it suffered mainly from the slow extrusion speed and the lengthy process of material reloading.

370 Therefore, it has become important to build a new -off the shelf- extrusion system, inspired by

the core concept of electromechanical screw jacks and capable of tackling the limitations ofthe previous systems.

The design process of the new system went through different iterations of trials and failures 373 before reaching the final design. The initial concept started with the aim of building a simple 374 upscaled version of the existing electromechanical screw jacks, shifting it from a single 2000ml 375 cartridge to a single 8000ml, while adding a quick release system to accelerate the refill 376 process. However, while this partially solved the issue of material quantity, it did not solve the 377 continuity issue as the system still required to be on hold while the cartridges were being 378 replaced. To solve this problem, an auxiliary cartridge was added in order to cover the hold 379 time for the main cartridge to be replaced, but with the two cartridges working sequentially. 380 The concept was inspired by small scale PLA and ceramic dual extruder by Leu et al. (2011) 381 and 3D-WASP (2020). The first trials were proofs of concept, where preliminary prototypes of 382 the system were made in 1:4 scale using 3D printed plastic parts. These trials used the standard 383 2000ml cartridges from the existing 3D potter electromechanical screw jack (Figure 9). The 384 dual joint tested two different angles (45° and 22.5°) to ensure a smooth merge of the material 385 between the two channels. The lower angle (22.5°) showed a smoother merge, hence it was 386 selected to be applied in the full-scale prototype. 387



388

389 Figure 9. Initial proof of concept of the system in 1:4 scale using the 45 degrees dual joint.

390 The full-scale prototype initially used 3D printed plastic joints and fixtures. The whole system was then fixed on a mobile plywood platform (Figure 10). The first set of tests of the prototype 391 showed success in terms of proving the workability of dual extrusion concept, yet it revealed 392 two critical flaws which affected the extrusion process. The plastic parts were receiving a huge 393 amount of pressure externally from the screw jacks and internally from the material flow, which 394 eventually led to a quick wear and destruction of the parts at the mounting points (Figure 11-395 396 left). In addition, the accumulating pressure along the axis between the screw jack mounting point and the dual joint mounting point made the plywood platform buckle from the middle. 397 This buckling forced the cartridge to bend, leading to a material leakage then eventually a 398 massive crack in the plastic cartridge (Figure 11-right and Figure 12). Therefore, to avoid these 399 flows in the final prototype, it was obvious that the system components must be fabricated from 400 stronger materials such as aluminium, whereas the platform must be reinforced with a metal 401 structure to prevent bending. The extrusion system can then be mobile by mounting the whole 402 platform on a mobile table. 403



Figure 10. The initial full-scale prototype using 3DP PLA joints and fixtures on a plywood platform.



Figure 11. Destruction of the 3DP PLA joints due to pressures caused by the cob mix (left) and the destruction of the cartridge due to pressures caused by the bending plywood platform (right).



Figure 12. Buckling of the plywood platform due to accumlitated pressures on mutnig points

The final system prototype introduces a bespoke extrusion system with a unique dual-cartridge design (Figure 13, Figure 14). Each cartridge has a capacity of 8000 ml (total of 16000ml both)

- and powered by a heavy-duty electric screw jack. The screw jacks are supplied by ZIMM®

414 with 25 kN nominal capacity, leveraging a 1000 mm stroke and capable of delivering 80 mm/sec operating travel speed. The screw jacks are powered by two 3-phase motors, 0.75kW 415 each. The motors combine electromagnetic braking system that ensures immediate stop to the 416 417 stroke, which minimizes the dynamic response. These specs were specially requested based on calculations of the expected loads in the system, considering factors such as the material weight 418 inside the system and the desired extrusion rate. As budget was limited, some adjustment to 419 420 the system design were applied to simplify the manufactured parts and reduce the cost without affecting the targeted efficiency. Figure 13 shows a scheme of the bespoke dual extruder 421 different components. 422

423 Material cartridges and screw jacks are connected together by bespoke aluminium parts, which 424 are designed to provide smooth and fast reloading process. The most distinctive aluminium 425 part is the Y-shaped joint that merges the material dual flow from both cartridges into a single 426 flow then feed it to a hose. The used hose is 3-meter-long, made from PVC with a steel-wire 427 reinforcement. The complete system is mounted on a mobile platform, allowing transitions 428 around the robotic arm.



429

430 *Figure 13. Scheme of the new bespoke dual extruder components: 1) Screw jack, 2) Cob Cartridge, 3)*431 *Steel-wired PVC hose, 4) Nozzle, 5) Aluminum parts, 6) Mobile platform, 7) Cartridges Rack.*



432



434 *Figure 14. The components of the bespoke dual extruder.*

The new system was tested extensively through sequence of calibrations and prototyping

process, which took place as part of an experiential studio on 3D printing of cob at the Welsh
School of Architecture in Cardiff University. The system proved to be successful in

438 overcoming the five previous challenges as follows:

- 439 1- Continuity of printing process:
- 440 The new system adopts a sequential process of extrusion based on dual lines of cartridges. This441 process can be described in 6 steps as shown in Figure 15:
- 442 Step 1: The process preparation starts by loading two filled cob cartridges on the platform.
 443 Each cartridge, with its attached screw jack, form a line of extrusion. Few other cartridges are
 444 filled with the required amount of cob for the whole print and kept in a rack, ready to be loaded
 445 on the system later.
- Step 2: The printing process starts by pumping cob through one cartridge at a time using one
 screw jack (line 1), simultaneously with initiating the robotic arm motion to exert the required
 design.
- 449 Step3: As the operating screw jack on line 1 reaches its stroke end, it stops and immediately
 450 triggers the second screw jack to start pumping cob through the second cartridge on line 2 while
- 451 the first screw jack is retracting. After the complete retraction of the first screw jack, the empty452 cartridge is removed and a full cartridge is reloaded.
- 453 Step 4: By the time the first cartridge is reloaded, the operating cartridge will be reaching its 454 end of stroke, which then releases the stopping brakes and triggers the first screw jack to start 455 pumping cob through the first cartridge while the second screw jack is retracting.
- 456 Step 5: After the complete retraction of the second screw jack, the empty cartridge is removed457 and a full cartridge is reloaded on line 2.
- 458 Step 6: The process then repeats sequentially until the end of the required 3D printed outcome.
- 459 It is recommended to estimate the whole required amount of material before the printing
- 460 process, then preparing either the exact number of cartridges (for small tasks) or just a few
- 461 extra cartridges and store them in a rack. This will create a buffer margin between the process
- 462 of refilling and reloading, which will ensure continuity of the process and constant flow of cob
- throughout the whole process, with no need to interfere, stop or slow it down. The special

- design of the aluminium parts also enhances the continuity of the process as they combine rails
- with latching mechanism, offering smooth reloading of cartridges on the platform.



The upgraded screw jacks can deliver up to 80mm/sec operating travel speed. Using this travel speed with a 45mm diameter nozzle elevates the extrusion rate of cob on the nozzle to 120mm/sec, which is nearly 20 times faster than the previous small linear ram extruder with 30 mm nozzle. However, based on calibration tests, it was found that 50 to 80mm/sec extrusion rate is sufficient for most of the geometry testing in this project. Moderate speeds offer a relaxed reloading process and gives time to extruded layer of cob to strengthen slightly before receiving the subsequent layers.



473

474

475

476

477 478

479

The new screw jack by ZIMM leverages a 25KN ball screw gearbox and 3-phase motor controlled by variant frequency driver (VFD). This enables a steady operational torque and an accurate control over travel speed, which provides a consistent flow of cob. This consistent flow dramatically improves the quality of the printed outcome as compared to the previous extruders.

486 4- Freedom of movement

487 The new system uses a hose to link between the main body of the extruder on the platform and 488 the nozzle point. This minimises the mounted mass/ load on the robot's end-effector, as now it only carries the nozzle joint with the hose instead of carrying the whole extruder as in the 489 previous pneumatic and small electromechanical linear ram extruders. Minimising the contact 490 size between extruder and robot enables more degrees of freedom for the robot to move, 491 resulting on broader complexity levels in the geometry design if needed. Moreover, the 492 platform itself is mobile and can be easily moved around the robot if required to compensate 493 the possible limitation in the hose length. 494

495 5- Reduction of human interaction (remote control)

The new system is designed to separate between the material feeding point on the platform and the extrusion point on the robot's end-effector. This separation enables the reloading of the cartridges without the need to interrupt (stopping or slowing down) the robot movement. The cartridges system and the simple latching mechanism of aluminium parts also minimise the time required for reloading and reduce human interaction time consequently.

501 3.1.5. Remarks on the dual extrusion system

Besides the five previous advantages, the simple, yet innovative, design of the new extrusion 502 system made it replicable and also affordable to build as compared to the available commercial 503 options. Moreover, the design enables the system to operate either as a single or dual extruder 504 with different nozzle sizes. This facilitates the 3D printing process for small and medium size 505 prototypes without the need to operate the full system. In addition, the new system has potential 506 for successful implementation into full autonomous large-scale 3D printing process. The study 507 suggests leveraging two on-site 3D printing concepts for that purpose; first one is inspired by 508 mobile crane 3DP system by Contour Crafting (2020) Figure 16-left, where the robotic arm 509 and the extrusion system can be combined in the crane system. The second is inspired by the 510 mobile robotic vehicles which is presented in a study by Zhang et al. (2018) Figure 16- right. 511 A revised design for mobile robot vehicle that can combine both the extruder and the 512 collaborative robotic station is suggested as in Figure 17. 513



Figure 16. Mobile crane system for 3DP by Contour crafting (left), mobile robotic vehicles by Zhang
et al (2018) (Right)



517

Figure 17. Design of mobile robot vehicle combining both the cob extruder and the collaborative
robotic station. 1)Primary robot for printing. 2) Secondary robot for cartridges reloading. 3) Cob
extruder. 4) Cartridges rack. 5) Autonomous robotic vehicle.

It is however important to state that the system is an initial prototype that also requires some 521 enhancements and future upgrades. The current design still depends on human interaction to 522 initiate and terminate the 3D printing process, in addition to preparing the cob mixtures, 523 refilling and reloading the cartridges on the platform. It also very important to follow good 524 practice while filling the cartridges to avoid air pockets and inconsistency, which causes high 525 dynamic response. Also, the current material capacity is limited to 12.0 kg/cartridge, which 526 527 forces large number of refills to print a real scale wall. For example, $1 \times 1 \times 0.5$ m cob wall would 528 require nearly 45 cartridges. Another current limitation is associated with the hose length. 529 Increasing the hose length over 3 meters was found to be harder to mount on the robot and creates higher resistance towards moving and bending. Longer hose is also harder to be cleaned 530 from cob leftovers after each printing process. Therefore, several planned upgrades will 531 532 involve:

- Connecting the VFDs (controllers) of the screw jacks directly to the Robot controller
 unit, where the extruder will be operated simultaneously with the robot using the same
 code file.
- Increasing the material capacity of the system through upgrading the screw jack power
 and the cartridges volume. Moreover, the current dual-piston design could be redesigned
 to combine four pistons, capable of accommodating four cartridges at a time.
- The introduction of a collaborative robotic process, where a smaller robot arm will be
 part of the extruder platform to execute the cartridge reloading task. The required amount
 of material will be calculated ahead of the process, then translated into a number of
 cartridges. Another machine will be dedicated for mixing and refilling the empty
 cartridges while the prefilled cartridges are being used in the extruder.
- Implementing a shutter mechanism over the main dual Al connections can add extra layer of controllability as it will prevent any possible backflow of material during the cartridge reloading process. The current system design, however, does not suffer from material backflow due to the acute angle (45 degrees) of the dual Al piece and the relatively high viscous nature of the cob mix.

549 **3.2.** Material mix properties

The increased water content to 25 % in the new 3DP cob composite, instead of 20% for 550 conventional cob composite, has shown satisfactory extrusion in terms of consistency and 551 quality of extrusion. It was naturally anticipated that the increase in fluidity has proportional 552 553 relation to the rheology of the cob mix during and after the extrusion process. First set of tests explored the synchronization process between extrusion rate and robot motion speed. It was 554 555 clear from the start that the extrusion rate must be synchronised with the motion speed of the 556 robotic arm on a 1:1 rate at least. Slower rate of extrusion will result in an intermittent printed outcome as can be seen in Figure 18-left. On the contrary, increasing the extrusion rate in 557 relation to the robot motion speed (using a constant layer height) will result in a more consistent 558 print and wider path lines. In Figure 18-right, the path lines A and B reflect a ratio of 1.15:1, 559 while path lines C and D reflect a ratio of 1.05:1. The increased ratio of extrusion rate to motion 560 speed results in wider path lines under a constant layer height. Table 2 below describe the 561 relationship between extrusion rate and robot arm motion speed. 562



563

Figure 18. Explorations of the synchronization process between extrusion rate and robot motion
speed (left & right)

1		1	
Path line code	A-B	C-D	Unit
Nozzle diameter (D)	45	45	mm
Layer height (h)	15	15	mm
Extrusion rate	92	85	mm/sec
Robot motion speed	80	80	mm/sec
Path width (w)	88	70	mm
Extrusion rate to motion speed ratio	115	105	%

Table 2. Relationship between extrusion rate and robot arm motion speed

566

The study concluded after several trials that 3D printing with a liner extrusion rate of 105-110% of the robot motion speed (1.1:1) considered favourable due to the nature of the cob mix, where there are chances of having inconsistent sections of materials inside the cartridges that cause slight interruptions in the extrusion rate from time to time. It is possible to overcome this issue by installing an extrusion rate sensor at the nozzle end that can give live feedback to the variant frequency driver (VFD) of the actuator to make the proper adjustments to power. Worth mentioning that the study also observed that the slightly higher extrusion rate has a "ramming effect" on the printed outcome, where the printed path lines becomes denser and gain morestructural strength with each new printed layer.

The second set of tests on the relationship between the layer height, nozzle size and path line 576 width has improved the understanding of their influence on the 3D printed outcome and 577 printing process in general. As can be seen in Figure 19, each printed path line (A to E) is 578 designed to reflect the relation between a specific layer height and its respective path width, 579 where the extrusion rate to robot motion speed ratio is set to 110% as advised previously, and 580 the nozzle size is fixed at 45mm. The layer heights started with 15 mm at path line A, then the 581 heights were increased discretely with 5 mm increment per each path line, ending with 35 mm 582 layer height at path line E. Each increase in the layer height exhibited a decrease in the path 583 line width. These relationships between the change in layer heights and path line width has 584 been recorded and described as the expansion factor in Table 3. This test eventually resulted in 585 a model that can estimate the path line width in accordance to the layer height and the nozzle 586 size (Figure 20). 587

588 The linear relationship presented in Figure 20 can be described using the following equation:

Estimated path line width $(mm) = Nozzle size (mm) \times Expansion factor$

590 where the expansion factor can be obtained from the chart. To explain further; for example; 591 under a synchronised motion speed and linear extrusion rate, with a 45mm in diameter 592 extrusion nozzle and 25mm layer height (layer height is 56 % of the nozzle size) and an 593 expansion factor of 1.6, :

594

Estimated path line width (mm) = $45 \times 1.6 = 70$ mm



595 596

Figure 19. Exploring the relationship between layer height and nozzle size

Table 3. Description of the testing on the relationship between layer height, nozzle size and path line width.						
Path line code	Α	B	С	D	Ε	Unit
Scheme of path line cross section	<u>} {</u>					
Nozzle diameter (D)	45	45	45	45	45	mm

Layer height (h)	15	20	25	30	35	mm
Path width (w)	88	79	70	62	52	mm
Layer height to nozzle D ratio	33	44	56	67	78	%
Path width multiplication factor	1.96	1.76	1.56	1.36	1.16	



Figure 20. Path line width estimation chart

The early estimation of path line's printed width has enabled the study team to implement a code in the Grasshopper definition as part of the 3D model files to estimate the printed outcome to provide informed decisions for geometry planning. For example, when planning to print a cob wall that has a thickness of 500 mm, using a layer height of 25 mm would require a distance of 430 mm between the two path lines creating the inner and outer sides of the wall. Increasing the layer height to 30mm (while using the added definition in the 3D models) will then automatically update the distance between the wall path lines to 448 mm.

In addition to the previous changes in path line width due the extrusion process and the forced 606 height by the nozzle, 3D printed cob encounters another cause of lateral deformation due to the 607 accumulative loads of each added layer. As the 3D printing process continues, more printed 608 layers accumulate on top of each other to create the desired height of the geometry. This 609 increase in loads leads to further slight lateral and longitudinal deformation as compared to the 610 original virtual model, where it is mostly seen in the bottom layers (Figure 21, left & right). It 611 was observed during all experiments that the level of deformation depends primarily on the 612 water content in the cob mix, as lower water content minimises the deformation to a negligible 613 level (Figure 21- left), which was an early prototype with 22% water content. The higher water 614 615 content of 24-25% leads to a noticeable deformation as in Figure 21- the prototype to the right, 616 where the gradual increase in layer heights is slightly noticeable from the bottom to the top 617 layers. Further exploration for the deformation aspects will be tested and presented in future work. 618



Figure 21. Prototypes showing the longitudinal deformation due to accumulative weight of layers(lower water content to left, higher water content to the right).

622 **3.3.** Geometry exploration

An exploration of various geometries was conducted to examine the capabilities of the 3D printing system. The study experimented with three types of geometries. The criteria of geometry selection were established on exploring the geometrical challenges that face the robotic 3D printing of a simple cob wall with an opening. Figure 22 suggests a traditional cob wall with arch-shaped opening to represent possible challenges while 3D printing cob walls, without using form work to create the openings. The challenges were found to be as follow:

- A. Lift height (Max. height of continuous 3D printing)
- B. Inclined 3-axis 3D printing (horizontal corbelling)
- 631 C. Inclined 6-axis 3D printing (radial corbelling)



- 632
- Figure 22. Geometry challenges in a regular cob wall with an opening. 1) Lift height- 3 axis 3D
 printing; 2) Inclined 3-axis printing (corbelling); 3) Inclined 6-axis 3D printing.
- 635 3.3.1. Lift height.

Cob walls are conventionally built of successive monolithic layers of earth called lifts. Each
lift must be dry enough to a degree that enables it to bear the loads from the subsequent lifts.
Lift height has an average of 60 cm. (Hamard et al. 2016; Weismann and Bryce 2006; Snell

and Callahan 2005). Hence, the first geometry exploration aimed to examine the maximum
height per lift (Figure 23). The geometry footprint was designed to have a rectangular footprint
of 60x40 cm, with a serpentine printing path line that creates the inner pattern of the wall. A
serpentine path line was selected for two reasons; first is to improve the structural performance
of the wall (Emmitt and Gorse 2005); second is to extend the printing time per each path line
as this should give more time for each layer to start drying and gain rigidity before receiving
the successive layers.

This test showed that the maximum stable height of the lift was 58 cm, very similar to the traditional cob method. Exceeding this height increasingly jeopardised the stability of the geometry and it starts showing toppling signs. This finding is also supported by the prototypes by WASP (3D WASP 2016). This finding highlighted the importance of pausing or reducing the 3D printing speed to give a chance to the freshly printed layers to settle properly and gain more structural strength throughout the drying process.



652 653

Figure 23. Testing the maximum height per printing period.

654 3.3.2. Inclined 3-axis 3D printing (horizontal corbelling)

The Second geometry exploration aimed to examine inclined 3-axis 3D printing, where the 655 corbelling happens in the horizontal XY plane only The study examined two main approaches, 656 straight and gradual inclination (Figure 24, left-right). Based on several trials, it was found that 657 cob can sustain up to 40 degrees of straight inclination with 1:1.25 slope as shown in Figure 658 24-left. This was possible to achieve without using inner patterns but with slow printing speed 659 of 30 mm/sec. Based on several trials, it was observed that high inclinations (more than 40 660 degrees) are less stable and require denser design for inner patterns. On the other hand, using 661 gradual inclination required the addition of inner patterns to the geometry, but it showed a 662 possibility to achieve nearly 90 degrees of inclination as shown in Figure 24- left. However, 663 the increase of the inner pattern, in addition to the serpentine path line, caused a dramatic 664 665 consumption of material per unit volume.

666



- 667
- 668 669

- Figure 24. Examining the inclined 3-axis 3D printing; straight inclination (left) and gradual inclination (right)
- 671 3.3.3. Inclined 6-axis 3D printing (radial corbelling)

The third exploration aimed to exercise a more complex style of movement that involved all 672 the six axes of the robotic arm. Such added complexity can be leveraged to construct arch-673 based shapes, like catenary vaults and arches Figure 22-C. The test was able to achieve 45 674 degrees of radial inclination in a one continuous print (Figure 25). It was possible to continue 675 achieving higher degree of inclination, however, the geometry started to show instability due 676 to its relatively small footprint (40 x 40 cm). It is worth mentioning that 75 degrees of 677 inclination were successfully achieved in a previous study under this project using the small 678 scale nozzle and less water content (Veliz Reyes et al. 2018). During the printing process of 679 the arch prototype, the study observed that the 3D printed cob can gain structural strength from 680 the ramming process, which is created by the extrusion forces and robotic arm compression. 681 Also, similar to the previous two tests, it was necessary to add an inner pattern to geometry to 682 increase the structural rigidity and the printing time per layer. 683

684



685

686

Figure 25. Testing complex movement through 3D printing arch-based geometry.

687 3.3.4. Remarks on geometry testing

688 Generally, the previous prototypes generated a record that has become useful to the planning 689 of the future work on 3DP cob. Table 4 shows the different characteristics for each 3DP 690 geometry. In addition, the testing process have revealed other factors which influence the 691 geometry formation and its achieved quality. These factors are as follow:

- The overall footprint of the printed geometry: As longer foot prints, such as the external walls of a small house for instance, means more time is spent in each layer, which consequently enables the fresh 3D printed layers of cob to gain further strength as they dry. The footprint of the geometries (e.g. Walls), can be also increased by designing denser inner patterns inside the walls, which increase the stability of the printed structure, and also improve the thermal performance (Gomaa et al. 2019).
- Layer height to path line ratio: As discussed earlier in section 3.2, lower layer height creates wider path line. The increased footprint of path line offers greater stability to the geometry. However, reducing the layer height means additional material is consumed due to the increased number of required layers to reach the desired total height of the geometry. This also will increase the overall printing time.
- The relation between printing velocity and hardening time: where this study did not test 703 • systematically the competition between printing velocity and material hardening, the 704 study observed that shorter printing paths per layer jeopardise the ability of each printed 705 layer to harden sufficiently in order to sustain the loads of the successive layers. For 706 instance, in geometry 2, the small squared footprint created shorter printing path per 707 layer, which consequently required slower printing velocity, while in geometry 1, the 708 larger rectangular footprint enabled higher printing velocity. However, this issue can 709 be compensated by reducing the printing velocity or design the printing process to 710 follow longer paths. This explains why the extrusion rates as per Table 4 were all 711 maintained at 6.7 kg/ min while testing the current geometries despite the ability of 712 system to reach a flow rate of up to 11 kg/min. Worth mentioning that replacing the 713 empty cartridge manually takes nearly 30 seconds, which is less than the time needed 714 to extrude the other full cartridge This means that the extrusion does not stop at any 715 moment during the total printing process. 716

	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Unit
Printing speed	50	50	50	mm/sec
Volume of printed cob	0.11	0.1	0.08	m ³
Weight of printed cob	198	182	132	kg
Number of used cartridges	16	15	11	
Total printing time	30	27	20	min
Extrusion rate	6.7	6.7	6.7	Kg/min

Table 4. The different characteristics for each 3DP geometry in the three tests.

717 **4.** Conclusion

This paper presents a systematic study leveraging a traditional material and its associated embodied knowledge as a driver for digital innovation, specifically to develop a low-cost and sustainable alternative robotic 3D printing process and hardware (an extrusion system). The construction industry has done substantial strides in the 3DP area since the development of large-scale digital fabrication technologies (e.g. contour crafting). Several case studies and prototypes greatly illustrate the potentials of these technologies beyond standard procurement and standard building delivery models by integrating new knowledge into the building delivery from areas such as manufacturing and robotics. In that context, this article advocates that historical, traditional or vernacular material systems are a rich source of knowledge for further research and innovation in the built environment sector, and provides a groundwork of material resourcing, building knowledge and local skills with the potential for more sustainable construction data-driven processes. The impact of this study can be outlined in three key areas:

- 1) The development of an innovative extrusion system for earth-based materials.
- 731 2) The development of a robotic 3DP system that provides the opportunity to prototype new models of earth materials in the context of industrial frameworks of practice;

733 3) The leverage of vernacular material knowledge and skills to develop new technology734 in the digital sector.

The system presented here involves material studies and printing characterisation parameters 735 as well as its associated hardware (an extrusion mechanism), and its implementation on small 736 737 scale tests. The development of this system involved building a series of prototypes through a 738 standard innovation delivery process, from basic ideation and research, up to proof of concept 739 and prototyping stages. Building upon standard liquid deposition modelling 3DP 3-axis strategies, this system allows for more complex geometric configurations with more than 3 740 741 axis, and in contrast to traditional cob building processes, it allows for cob building elements to be produced on the basis of a filament (forming a hollow geometry) instead of bulk mass-742 based components, leading to higher geometrical flexibility, reduced material use and better 743 thermal efficiency as a result of air cavities. 744

This paper also contributes to architectural design research, as it acknowledges the material 745 cultural context as a springboard for digital and technological innovation delivery. This multi-746 disciplinary approach reflects on the applicability of this technology in professional practice. 747 This project poses the concept of "material negotiation" to enable more flexible, open ended 748 and multi-disciplinary relationships between design and fabrication by using a recyclable and 749 reusable material prone to on-site modifications and adaptation. For instance, the dual extrusion 750 system allows for a decentralised production model by pre-packaging and procuring cob 751 cartridges from local suppliers and materials, reducing even further the construction's carbon 752 footprint and involving knowledgeable local suppliers in the delivery plan. 753

754 The research suggests, however, further work to develop this system into an industrial demo (and, even further, into a commercially viable system). Broadly, the research sets out a more 755 ambitious agenda addressing the need to acknowledge and further investigate the potential of 756 vernacular knowledge and buildings to facilitate material and digital manufacturing studies. 757 For instance, further work can explore the applicability of machine learning, material feedback 758 and computer vision approach for the robotic fabrication of building elements, as well as the 759 observation of craft and making practices as a way to develop more intelligent and responsive 760 manufacturing systems. Specifically to this study, the extrusion system would benefit from a 761 higher degree of automation by developing a feeding system where cartridges are loaded and 762 unloaded into the extrusion mechanism, ready to deliver material for 3D printing and where 763 empty tubes can be collected and re-filled. A simple computation of printing speed, volume, 764 and daily schedule can inform the size of buffer needed for pre-filled tubes and the required 765 rate of exchange and delivery, which will greatly improve the degree of automation of the 766 system enabling larger continuous prints. Also, in terms of local markets and the need to 767 refurbish and repair existing cob structures, we envisage this technology as a useful alternative 768

for cob building maintenance (e.g. crack filling, construction of pre-dried cob blocks), in
alignment with recent strides on the use of robotic technology and intelligent computer vision
for building maintenance applications, such as autonomous crack detection.

772 **5.** Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Jack Francis and Dr Peter Theobald for their valuable
collaboration and support (Cardiff University). We also extend our gratitude to Aikaterini
Chatzivasileiadi and Anas Lila (Cardiff University) for their invaluable help. Special thanks
also must be made to EMAR Engineering Services in Egypt for their technical support.

777 **6. Funding sources**

This work was supported financially by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research
Council (EPSRC) and The University of Nottingham under the Network Plus: Industrial
Systems in the Digital Age, Grant number: EP/P001246/1.

781 This work is also partially supported financially by the University of Adelaide through the782 Research Abroad Scholarship scheme.

783 7. References

- 3D-WASP. 2020. "3D Printers | WASP | Leading Company in the 3d Printing Industry."
 2020. <u>https://www.3dwasp.com/en/</u>. [accessed 31-08-2020]
- 3D WASP. 2016. "The Clay and Straw Wall by The 3 M | Stampanti 3D | WASP." 2016.
- 787 <u>https://www.3dwasp.com/en/il-muro-di-terra-e-paglia-alle-soglie-dei-3-metri/</u>. [accessed
 788 31-08-2020]
- 789 Agustí-Juan, Isolda, Florian Müller, Norman Hack, Timothy Wangler, and Guillaume Habert.
- 790 2017. "Potential Benefits of Digital Fabrication for Complex Structures: Environmental
- Assessment of a Robotically Fabricated Concrete Wall." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 154:
 pp 330–340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.002</u>.
- Alhumayani, Hashem, Mohamed Gomaa, Veronica Soebarto, and Wassim Jabi. 2020.
- ⁷⁹⁴ "Environmental Assessment of Large-Scale 3D Printing in Construction: A Comparative
- Study between Cob and Concrete." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 270 (June): pp 122463122488. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122463</u>.
- 797 Benardos, A., I. Athanasiadis, and N. Katsoulakos. 2014. "Modern Earth Sheltered
- Constructions: A Paradigm of Green Engineering." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 41 (1): pp 46–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2013.11.008</u>.
- 800 Bruno, Agostino Walter, Domenico Gallipoli, Céline Perlot, and Joao Mendes. 2017.
- *Mechanical Behaviour of Hypercompacted Earth for Building Construction." *Materials and Structures/Materiaux et Constructions* 50 (2): pp 160-175.
- 803 <u>https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-017-1027-5</u>.
- 804 Choi, Myoung Sung, Young Jin Kim, and Jin Keun Kim. 2014. "Prediction of Concrete
- Pumping Using Various Rheological Models." International Journal of Concrete Structures
- 806 *and Materials* 8 (4): pp 269–278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40069-014-0084-1</u>.
- 807 ContourCrafting. 2020. "Building Construction CC-Corp." 2020.
- 808 <u>https://contourcrafting.com/building-construction/</u>. [accessed 08-02-2020]
- 809 Emmitt, Stephen, and Christopher A. Gorse. 2005. *Barry's Introduction to Construction of*
- 810 *Buildings*. Cornwall: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. ISBN 1-4051-1055-4.

- 811 Garrett, Banning. 2014. "3D Printing: New Economic Paradigms and Strategic Shifts."
- 812 *Global Policy* 5 (1): pp 70–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12119</u>.
- 613 Geneidy, Omar, Walaa S.E. Ismaeel, and Ayman Abbas. 2019. "A Critical Review for
- Applying Three-Dimensional Concrete Wall Printing Technology in Egypt." *Architectural Science Review* 0 (0): pp 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.2019.1596066.
- Gomaa, Mohamed, Jim Carfrae, Steve Goodhew, Wassim Jabi, and Alejandro Veliz Reyez.
- 2019. "Thermal Performance Exploration of 3D Printed Cob." *Architectural Science Review*, April, pp 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.2019.1606776.
- Keview, April, pp 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00038028.2019.1000770</u>.
 Goodhew, Steve, P.C. Grindley, and S.D. Probeif. 1995. "Composition, Effective Thermal
- Conductivity And Specific Heat Of Cob Earth-Walling." *WIT Transactions on The Built*
- 821 *Environment* 15 (1): pp 205-217. <u>https://doi.org/10.2495/STR950231</u>.
- 622 Goodhew, Steven, and Richard Griffiths. 2005. "Sustainable Earth Walls to Meet the
- Building Regulations." *Energy and Buildings* 37 (2005): pp 451-459. Elsevier.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2004.08.005.
- Hamard, Erwan, Bogdan Cazacliu, Andry Razakamanantsoa, and Jean Claude Morel. 2016.
- 826 "Cob, a Vernacular Earth Construction Process in the Context of Modern Sustainable
- 827 Building." *Building and Environment* 106 (1): pp 103–119.
- 828 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.06.009</u>.
- Kennedy, Joseph F., Michael G. Smith, and Catherine Wanek. 2015. *The Art of Natural Building*. Edited by C Wanek, M Smith, and JF Kennedy. Vancouver, Canada: New Society
 Publishers. ISBN: 0865714339
- 832 Khan Academy. 2015. "What Is Volume Flow Rate? (Article) | Fluids | Khan Academy."
- 833 2015. <u>https://www.khanacademy.org/science/physics/fluids/fluid-dynamics/a/what-is-</u>
 834 volume-flow-rate. [accessed 01-09-2020]
- Khelifi, H., A. Perrot, T. Lecompte, and G. Ausias. 2013. "Design of Clay/Cement Mixtures
 for Extruded Building Products." *Materials and Structures/Materiaux et Constructions* 46
 (6): pp 999–1010. https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-012-9949-4.
- Kothman, Ivo, and Niels Faber. 2016. "How 3D Printing Technology Changes the Rules of
 the Game Insights from the Construction Sector." *Journal of Manufacturing Technology*
- 840 *Management* 27 (7): pp 932–943. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-01-2016-0010</u>.
- Le, H. D., E. H. Kadri, S. Aggoun, J. Vierendeels, P. Troch, and G. De Schutter. 2015.
- "Effect of Lubrication Layer on Velocity Profile of Concrete in a Pumping Pipe." *Materials and Structures/Materiaux et Constructions* 48 (12): pp 3991–4003.
- 844 <u>https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0458-5</u>.
- Le, T. T., S. A. Austin, S. Lim, R. A. Buswell, R. Law, A. G.F. Gibb, and T. Thorpe. 2012.
 "Hardened Properties of High-Performance Printing Concrete." *Cement and Concrete*
- 847 *Research* 42 (3): pp 558–666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.12.003</u>.
- 848 Leu, Ming C., Lie Tang, Brad Deuser, Robert G. Landers, Gregory E. Hilmas, Shi Zhang,
- and Jeremy Watts. 2011. "Freeze-Form Extrusion Fabrication of Composite Structures."
- 850 22nd Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium An Additive
- *Manufacturing Conference, (2011: Aug. 8-10, Austin, TX)* pp 111–124. University of Texas
 at Austin. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266522915</u>
- Lipscomb, G. G., and M. M. Denn. 1984. "Flow of Bingham Fluids in Complex Geometries." *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics* 14 (C): pp 337–346.
- 855 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0257(84)80052-X</u>.
- Niroumand, Hamed, Juan Antonio Barceló Álvarez, and Maryam Saaly. 2016. "Investigation
- 857 of Earth Building and Earth Architecture According to Interest and Involvement Levels in
- Various Countries." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 57: pp 1390–1397.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.183.
- 860 Panda, Biranchi, and Ming Jen Tan. 2018. "Experimental Study on Mix Proportion and Fresh

- 861 Properties of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer for 3D Concrete Printing." *Ceramics*
- 862 International 44 (9): pp 10258–10265. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.03.031</u>.
- Panda, Biranchi, Cise Unluer, and Ming Jen Tan. 2018. "Investigation of the Rheology and
- Strength of Geopolymer Mixtures for Extrusion-Based 3D Printing." *Cement and Concrete Composites* 94 (November 2017): pp 307–314.
- 866 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2018.10.002</u>.
- Perrot, A., D. Rangeard, and E. Courteille. 2018. "3D Printing of Earth-Based Materials:
 Processing Aspects." *Construction and Building Materials* 172: pp 670–676.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.017.
- 870 Perrot, A., D. Rangeard, and T. Lecompte. 2018. "Field-Oriented Tests to Evaluate the
- Workability of Cob and Adobe." *Materials and Structures/Materiaux et Constructions* 51
 (2): pp 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-018-1181-4.
- 873 Perrot, A, D Rangeard, and A Pierre. 2016. "Structural Built-up of Cement-Based Materials
- Used for 3D- Printing Extrusion Techniques." *Materials and Structures/Materiaux et*
- 875 *Constructions* 49: pp 1213–1220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-015-0571-0</u>.
- Shakor, Pshtiwan, Shami Nejadi, Gavin Paul, and Sardar Malek. 2019. "Review of Emerging
 Additive Manufacturing Technologies in 3d Printing of Cementitious Materials in the
 Construction Industry." *Frontiers in Built Environment* 4: 85 pp 1-17.
- 879 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2018.00085.
- Snell, Clarke., and Tim Callahan. 2005. Building Green : A Complete How-to Guide to *Alternative Building Methods : Earth Plaster, Straw Bale, Cordwood, Cob, Living Roofs.*Lark Books. ISBN: 9781579905323
- Tay, Yi Wei Daniel, Biranchi Panda, Suvash Chandra Paul, Nisar Ahamed Noor Mohamed,
- Ming Jen Tan, and Kah Fai Leong. 2017. "3D Printing Trends in Building and Construction
 Industry: A Review." *Virtual and Physical Prototyping* 12 (3): pp 261–276.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2017.1326724.
- 887 Veliz Reyes, Alejandro, Mohamed Gomaa, Aikaterini Chatzivasileiadi, and Wassim Jabi.
- 2018. "Computing Craft: Early Stage Development Ofa Robotically-Supported 3D Printing
- 889 System for Cob Structures." Proceedings of the 34th Conference of Education in Computer
- 890 Aided Architectural Design in Europe (eCAADe)- Computing for Better Tomorrow, 1: pp
- 891 791–800. Lodz: cuminCad. <u>https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/12769</u>
- 892 Veliz Reyes, Alejandro, Wassim Jabi, Mohamed Gomaa, Aikaterini Chatzivasileiadi, Lina
- Ahmad, and Nicholas Mario Wardhana. 2019. "Negotiated Matter: A Robotic Exploration
 of Craft-Driven Innovation." *Architectural Science Review* 0 (0): pp 1–11.
- 895 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.2019.1651688</u>.
- Weismann, Adam, and Katy Bryce. 2006. *Building with Cob: A Step-by-Step Guide*. Devon:
 Green Books ltd. ISBN: 1903998727
- 898 Wu, Peng, Jun Wang, and Xiangyu Wang. 2016. "A Critical Review of the Use of 3-D
- Printing in the Construction Industry." *Automation in Construction* 68: pp 21–31.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.04.005</u>.
- Zareiyan, Babak, and Behrokh Khoshnevis. 2017. "Interlayer Adhesion and Strength of
 Structures in Contour Crafting Effects of Aggregate Size, Extrusion Rate, and Layer
- Structures in Contour Crafting Effects of Aggregate Size, Extrusion Rate
 Thickness." *Automation in Construction* 81 (June): pp 112–121.
- 904 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.06.013</u>.
- 905 Zhang, Xu, Mingyang Li, Jian Hui Lim, Yiwei Weng, Yi Wei Daniel Tay, Hung Pham, and
- 906 Quang Cuong Pham. 2018. "Large-Scale 3D Printing by a Team of Mobile Robots."
- 907 *Automation in Construction* 95 (August): pp 98–106.
- 908 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.08.004</u>
- 909

Do not remove this file (contains research data)

Click here to access/download **RDM Data Profile XML** DataProfile_4807222.xml

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: