



Article Mixing of Non-Collinear Lamb Wave Pulses in Plates with Material Nonlinearity

Juan Carlos Pineda Allen 🗈 and Ching Tai Ng *

School of Civil, Environmental & Mining Engineering, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia * Correspondence: alex.ng@adelaide.edu.au; Tel.: +61-8313-1237

Correspondence: alex.ng@adelaide.edu.au; 1ei.: +61-651

Abstract: Guided waves have been extensively studied in the past few years, and more recently nonlinear guided waves have attracted significant research interest for their potential for early damage detection and material state characterization. Combined harmonic generation due to wave mixing can offer some advantages over second harmonic generation. However, studies focused on Lamb wave mixing are still very limited, and have mainly focused on collinear wave mixing and used plane wave assumption. In this paper, numerical simulations and experiments are conducted to understand the interaction of mixing non-collinear Lamb wave pulses with non-planar wavefronts. The results demonstrate that the generated secondary wave is cumulative under internal resonance conditions and the sum-frequency component of the combined harmonics is useful for characterizing material nonlinearities.

Keywords: wave mixing; non-collinear; Lamb wave; material nonlinearity; non-planar wavefront

1. Introduction

Traditional guided wave (GW) testing is based on linear features, e.g., time-of-flight and amplitude of the scattered wave, which has been widely employed to evaluate materials and detect defects due to its outstanding capabilities, such as (a) the ability to inspect inaccessible locations, (b) the ability to inspect the entire cross-sectional area of the element, (c) excellent sensitivity, (d) cost-effectiveness, and (e) low energy-consumption. Ultrasonic techniques based on linear features are sensitive to macro scale-damage, such as gross defects or open cracks. However, they are insensitive to micro-scale damage, such as degradation or distributed micro-cracks, which are early stage damage mechanisms.

1.1. Nonlinear Guided Waves

An alternative solution to the aforementioned limitation is nonlinear ultrasonics [1], where the incident wave interacts with the material and generates nonlinear responses, e.g., higher harmonics and combined harmonics, due to nonlinear mechanical behavior. Harmonic generation is governed by two physical mechanisms, material nonlinearity and contact acoustic nonlinearity [2]. The material-related second-order harmonic generation has been proven efficient in detecting microstructural variations such as fatigue [3] and plasticity [4] in metallic specimens. Damage-related second harmonics generated by the contact acoustic nonlinearity phenomenon have also been studied for detecting damage, such as delamination in the composites [5] and fatigue cracks in the metals [6]. However, second harmonic generation possesses difficulty in isolating the source of nonlinearity generated by testing equipment. Contact between the inspected structure and the ultrasonic transducer may also introduce nonlinearities that can mask the material and damage-related nonlinearities. In addition, the magnitude of the second harmonic is usually very small, which is hard to measure accurately.



Citation: Pineda Allen, J.C.; Ng, C.T. Mixing of Non-Collinear Lamb Wave Pulses in Plates with Material Nonlinearity. *Sensors* **2023**, *23*, 716. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23020716

Academic Editor: Clifford Lissenden

Received: 3 November 2022 Revised: 28 December 2022 Accepted: 2 January 2023 Published: 8 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1.2. Guided Wave Mixing

To overcome these aforementioned drawbacks, some developments have been described for the wave mixing method in the last few years, which makes use of two ultrasonic waves at different single central frequencies [7]. The wave mixing approach can be categorized as collinear [8] and non-collinear [9] depending on the angle between the incident (primary) wave that generate the resonant (secondary) wave at combined harmonics, and offer advantages in selecting wave modes, excitation frequencies, and wave propagating directions.

Croxford et al. [9] employed bulk waves to characterize material nonlinearity due to plasticity and fatigue deterioration using the non-collinear approach. Using experimental results with aluminum alloy, material nonlinearity was correlated to plastic deformation. A nonlinear acoustic parameter for collinear bulk wave mixing related to plastic deformation was introduced and validated numerically and experimentally [10]. Experimental studies demonstrated that the collinear method could measure localized plastic deformation [11]. Jingpin et al. [12] determined the presence of fatigue cracks using bulk shear waves and the non-collinear method. Co-directional Lamb wave mixing in steel plates was used to investigate micro-crack damage [13] and localized creep [14]. Wave-mixing was also demonstrated to be effective with an edge wave [15].

1.3. Lamb Wave Mixing

Ultrasonic Lamb waves offer significant advantages for the evaluation of structures, such as the ability to inspect inaccessible locations, multimodality, flexibility in wave mode selection, ability to inspect multilayered or submerged structures, etc. Hasanian et al. [16] conducted comprehensive vector analyses for both collinear and non-collinear methods using Lamb waves. However, they assumed that the guided wave was a plane wave to explore the possible wave-mode combination triplets. Arbitrary angles between primary waves and secondary angle were studied, and internal resonant conditions were also assessed for wave mixing [17]. Ishii et al. [18] theoretically analyzed nonlinear wave propagation in an isotropic and homogenous plate to elucidate the non-collinear interaction of monochromatic plane waves employing infinite beam widths. They also conducted finite element analyses considering finite beam width and time durations to provide a further understanding of the scattered wave generation. Li et al. [19] studied wave-mixing responses induced by co-directional Lamb waves and predicted the generation of second-and third-order combined harmonics.

Collinear wave mixing has the advantage that the wave mixing zone is larger than non-collinear wave mixing, but this makes it less suitable for inspecting localized regions. Counter-propagating wave mixing offers an advantage in evaluating localized regions in the structures [20]. However, non-collinear wave mixing offers more flexibility in the selection of the primary and secondary wave modes [17]. Analytical solutions for plane waves were developed for Lamb wave mixing but they are insufficient in capturing the real testing conditions, such as the non-planar wavefront and the influence of finite ultrasonic beam width on wave interaction. For practical applications, they need to take into account these practical factors in Lamb wave mixing. Gaining physical insight into the Lamb wave mixing phenomenon will expand the already recognized benefits and further advance wave mixing methods.

The main objective of this paper is to investigate the feasibility and capability of combined harmonic measurements with non-collinear Lamb wave mixing under practical conditions. It focuses on gaining insight into the physical phenomena of secondary symmetric Lamb wave generation at a combined sum frequency when two fundamental modes of antisymmetric Lamb waves (A_0), which have finite beam width, non-planar wavefront, and finite time duration, interact with each other over a localized region under resonance conditions. The interaction of the two A_0 Lamb waves is expected to generate a secondary fundamental mode of symmetric Lamb wave (S_0), and this will be studied in detail under a practical situation. The cumulative nature of the secondary Lamb wave at a combined

sum frequency is further investigated, as this feature has proven to be a useful indication of material nonlinearity, fatigue, and plasticity.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1. Internal Resonance Criteria for Harmonics Generation

Second-order harmonics generated due to interaction between two primary waves are cumulative when internal resonance criteria are satisfied [21–23]. The two internal resonance criteria are (i) non-zero power flux from the primary to the secondary mode and (ii) phase matching. The non-zero power flux condition in Equation (1) guarantees that power is transmitted through the surface and the volume of the plate due to the primary wave, while the phase matching condition guarantees a sustained power flux from the primary to the secondary waves.

$$f_n^{vol} + f_n^{surf} \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

where the terms f_n^{vol} and f_n^{surf} are the driving forces transmitting power from the primary waves to the secondary wave through the volume and the surface, respectively.

The phase matching condition in Equation (2), also known as synchronism, requires knowledge of the dispersion characteristics represented in the dispersion curves of Figure 1. In this study, dispersion curves were calculated using DISPERSE, under the assumption that the wavefront is an infinite plane and normal to the direction of wave propagation. Waves can propagate in different directions; thus, when seeking triplets that are phase matched, wave vectors containing wave number and wave direction information are used. The wavenumber obtained from the interaction between the primary wave must correspond to a propagating mode, and the phase velocity is then calculated with the relationship $c_{ph} = 2\pi f/\kappa$, where c_{ph} is the phase velocity. κ_a , κ_b , and κ_n are the wavenumbers for primary waves *a*, *b*, and secondary wave *n*.

κ

$$_{a}\pm\kappa_{b}=\kappa_{n} \tag{2}$$



Figure 1. (a) Phase velocity and (b) wavenumber dispersion curves for a 1.60 mm thick aluminum plate.

Most of the work developed to date is derived from plane waves with infinite beam widths, aiming to analytically understand the basis of wave mixing phenomena; however, they are not fully practical for real applications. Therefore, finite element simulations and experiments are needed to further understand Lamb wave mixing in a practical situation.

4 of 12

2.2. Modelling of Material Nonlinearity

In the finite element simulations, the material nonlinearities and field equations can be modelled by considering the third-order terms of Murnaghan's strain energy function [24–26]. Assuming the reference configuration is X and the current configuration of material is x, the displacement vector u is

$$u = x - X \tag{3}$$

and the displacement gradient tensor F is

$$F = \frac{\partial x}{\partial X} = I + H \tag{4}$$

where $H = \partial u / \partial X$ is the displacement gradient and I is the identity tensor. For the Isotropic medium, the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress can be expressed in terms of Murnaghan's strain energy function W:

$$T_{pk2} = \frac{\partial W(E)}{\partial E} \tag{5}$$

where $E = (H + H^T + H^T H)$ is the Green–Lagrange strain tensor. Using the principal invariants i_1 , i_2 , and i_3 , the Murnaghan's strain energy function can be represented as

$$W(E) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + 2\mu)i_1^2 + \frac{1}{3}(l + 2m)i_1^3 - 2\mu i_2 - 2mi_1i_2 + ni_3$$
(6)

where λ and μ are the classical Lamé elastic constants and l, m, and n are the third-order elastic constants. $i_1 = tr(E)$, $i_2 = \left[i_1^2 d - tr(E)^2\right]/2$, and $i_3 = \det(E)$. Therefore, the Cauchy stress can be expressed in terms of the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress, T_{pk2} , and deformation gradient, F, as

$$\sigma = J^{-1} F \frac{\partial W(E)}{\partial E} F^T$$
(7)

where J^{-1} is the Jacobian determinant of the deformation gradient, *F*. Using Equation (7), the constitutive behaviors of materials can be used to model the weak material nonlinearity using the VUMAT subroutine of ABAQUS/Explicit.

3. Finite Element Simulation

A three-dimensional (3D) finite element model developed using ABAQUS/Explicit was used to investigate non-collinear Lamb wave mixing. The model is a 6061-T6 aluminum plate. The material properties of the 6061-T6 are listed in Table 1. The dimensions of the plate are $270 \text{ mm} \times 320 \text{ mm} \times 1.60 \text{ mm}$. The in-plane dimensions of the element used in the finite element model are $0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$. There are seven layers of elements in the thickness direction of the plate and each element is 0.228 mm thick. Approximately 20 elements/wavelength is the recommended spatial resolution for representing the propagation of a Lamb wave [27]. The increment time step was automatically controlled by ABAQUS/Explicit. Eight-noded brick elements, C3D8R, with each node containing three translational degrees-of-freedom, and reduced integration were used. Mechanical constitutive behavior based on the nonlinear strain energy function of Murnaghan was modelled in ABAQUS through a VUMAT subroutine [28].

Table 1. Material properties used in the finite element model.

Density	l (GPa)	m (GPa)	n (GPa)	λ (GPa)	μ (GPa)
2704	-281.50	-339	-416	54.3	27.3

To contemplate practical applications, primary Lamb wave excitations consisted of tone burst pulses applied through nodal displacement in the z direction to the nodes

covered by the assumed ultrasonic transducer, as indicated in Figure 2a. The interaction angle between the primary waves was defined by θ . The maximum applied displacement was 20 nm. All the remaining edges and boundaries of the plate were stress-free. An appropriate time delay was applied to the pulse excitation of the Lamb wave with faster group velocity to ensure both wave pulses arrived simultaneously in the region of interest. Moreover, the distance between each excitation source and the mixing zone, the center of which is defined as the point *C*, were equal to each other. The primary waves were expected to interact at a finite region; hence, they is not limited at the point *C* only.



Figure 2. (**a**) Schematic diagram of the non-collinear FE model, (**b**) top and bottom nodal displacements, (**c**) time-domain, and (**d**) frequency spectra of out-of-plane displacement of incident waves at point *C*.

To extract the scattered wave field, a subtraction technique [16] was employed. Three different finite element simulations were carried out separately. In this approach, all three simulations were performed with the identical finite element model, but the generated wave is different. Three different generated waves were considered: (i) primary waves were generated simultaneously $(T_{A\&B})$, (ii) only primary wave, $A(T_A)$, was generated, and (iii) only primary wave, $B(T_B)$, was generated. The scattered wave field can be extracted by

$$T_{sca} = T_{A\&B} - T_A - T_B \tag{8}$$

The out-of-plane nodal displacement at the top and bottom surfaces of the plate, as shown in Figure 2b, was obtained from each simulation. Displacement was obtained from

nodes located along a circle of 30 mm radius for a range of γ angles from 5° to 360° in steps of 5°. The secondary symmetric Lamb wave was obtained by Equation (9)

$$u_{sca} = \frac{1}{2} \times \left[u_{sca}^{top} - u_{sca}^{bot} \right]$$
(9)

where

$$u_{sca}^{top} = u_{z_{A\&B}}^{top} - u_{z_{A}}^{top} - u_{z_{B}}^{top}$$
(10)

$$u_{sca}^{bot} = u_{z_{A\&B}}^{bot} - u_{z_{A}}^{bot} - u_{z_{B}}^{bot}$$
(11)

In Equation (10), the first summand corresponds to the out-of-plane nodal displacement at the top surface of the plate when primary waves *A* and *B* are excited simultaneously, the subtrahends correspond to the out-of-plane nodal displacement at the top surface of the plate when primary wave *A* only and primary wave *B* only are excited separately. A similar interpretation is applied for Equation (11), although these values are obtained from the bottom surface of the plate.

Similarly, Equation (12) can be used to obtain antisymmetric incident Lamb wave

$$u_{inc}^{A} = \frac{1}{2} \times \left[u_{inc}^{top} + u_{inc}^{bot} \right]$$
(12)

where

$$u_{inc}^{top} = u_{z_A}^{top} \tag{13}$$

$$u_{inc}^{bot} = u_{z_A}^{bot} \tag{14}$$

for simulation A. Correspondingly for simulation B,

$$u_{inc}^{B} = \frac{1}{2} \times \left[u_{inc}^{top} + u_{inc}^{bot} \right]$$
(15)

where

$$u_{inc}^{top} = u_{z_B}^{top} \tag{16}$$

$$u_{inc}^{bot} = u_{z_B}^{bot} \tag{17}$$

Excitation frequencies were selected so that the internal resonance conditions derived from the plane wave assumption were theoretically satisfied. Additionally, wave mode selection is a determining factor for practical applications of nondestructive testing, and unwanted higher-order wave modes can be avoided by employing fundamental modes. Hence, a fundamental mode below the cut-off frequency was employed in this study. In particular, we are interested in primary A_0 waves that are expected to generate a secondary cumulative wave, S_0 , propagating mode [23], where the nonzero power flux condition for non-collinear wave-mixing is satisfied by choosing the appropriate wave modes. The synchronism condition was employed to find dispersion relations based on the frequency and direction. The triplet selected was: $f_a = 484$ kHz ($c_{ph} = 2141.9$ m/s, $\kappa_a = 1419.8$ rad/m), $f_b = 230$ kHz ($c_{ph} = 1658.9$ m/s, $\kappa_b = 871.1$ rad/m), and $f_{sca} =$ 714 kHz ($c_{ph} = 5186.5$ m/s, $\kappa_{sca} = 864.9$ rad/m). The interaction angle θ was 145° and the expected direction, γ , of the resonant wave was 55°. The number of cycles was 31 and 15 for pulse A and B, respectively.

 A_0 wave fields, T_A and T_B , at point *C* are shown in Figure 2c. Maximum interaction occurs, with the maximum displacement of both incident pulses occurring just after 50 µs. Figure 2d shows the frequency spectra of the A_0 component for the three simulation cases, which correspond to wavefields T_A , T_B , and $T_{A\&B}$. The displayed frequency spectra show the excitation frequencies acting separately as well as concurrently. From this stage, the subtraction technique is required to extract the S_0 component using Equations (8) and (9).

The non-planar primary Lamb waves are expected to propagate over a region that is not limited to point C. A snapshot of the displacement in the z direction of the top

surface of the plate is shown in Figure 3a. The displacement over a region of the plate must contain frequency components at excitation frequencies. The A_0 . primary wave A and primary B were obtained by summing the out-of-plane nodal displacement at the top and bottom surface of the plate and their amplitude spectra at the corresponding f_a and f_b were calculated. Figure 3b shows the polar plots of the directivity pattern of the incident wave amplitudes, which were obtained from the magnitudes at the excitation frequencies of the amplitude spectra.



Figure 3. (a) Out-of-plane displacement at the top surface when *A* and *B* occur simultaneously, (b) amplitude of A_0 component of simulations *A* at f_a and *B* at f_b , (c) amplitude of S_0 component at f_{sca} .

The interaction between two antisymmetric propagating Lamb waves is expected to produce a symmetric Lamb wave at combined harmonics due to the wave mixing effect. Interaction occurs over a mixing region, as shown in Figure 3a; hence, the secondary

symmetric mode of the Lamb wave due to the local interaction effect is expected over this region. The combined harmonic is expected to be cumulative along the scattered wave angle in view of the resonance condition. S_0 was obtained using Equation (9), and the amplitude spectra at the corresponding f_{sca} was calculated, as shown in Figure 3c.

Theory based on plane wave assumption can predict the direction of the scattered wave, but it has limitations in that it is not fully practical for real applications. However, with numerical simulations, we can understand how wave mixing phenomena occur when primary Lam. waves with finite beam width and non-planar wavefront interact with each other. It can be seen from Figure 3c that the directivity pattern of the secondary, S_0 , at the combined sum frequency, f_{sca} , shows that maximum amplitude occurs towards the resonant angle. Some deviation could be expected given that dispersion curves from Figure 1 were calculated based on the plane wave assumption. Cumulative nature of the secondary wave at the combined frequency is then evaluated at the expected resonant direction ($\gamma = 55^{\circ}$). From the frequency domain data of the extracted S_0 component of the scattered Lamb wave, the area under the Fourier amplitude spectrum curve at $f_{sca} = 714$ kHz was calculated using the trapezoidal rule. This calculated area is the numerator A_3 . Similarly, the areas under the Fourier amplitude spectra curves at $f_a = 484$ kHz and $f_b = 230$ kHz were calculated from the frequency domain data of the A_0 component of the incident pulses; the product of these areas is then A_2A_1 . The nonlinear parameter is defined as:

$$\beta = \frac{A_3}{A_2 A_1} \tag{18}$$

The nonlinear parameter, β , was calculated along ten points every 20 mm in the $\gamma = 55^{\circ}$ direction. The results are shown in Figure 4, showing a linearly increasing trend with cumulative nature due to material nonlinearity.



Figure 4. Cumulative behavior of the secondary wave.

4. Experimental Study

A 300 mm × 300 mm × 1.60 mm 6061-T6 aluminum plate was used in an experiment to observe the wave mixing responses of two primary non-collinear Lamb waves due to their mutual interaction under resonance conditions. Two wedge transducers designed for generating antisymmetric propagating mode Lamb waves were used. The wedge transducer consisted of a Teflon wedge and a longitudinal wave transducer. Using Snell's law, the oblique angles of the wedges were calculated as $\theta_a = 38^\circ$ ($f_a = 484$ kHz) and $\theta_b = 53^\circ$ ($f_b = 230$ kHz). Two PC-controlled NI PXI-5412 waveform generators were used to generate two independent signals. Both signals consisted of sinusoidal tone-burst waves modulated by a Hann window. Signals were amplified by two separate amplifiers. Transducer-wedge interface and wedge-specimen interface were coupled with light motor oil and clamped to the surface of the plate. Given that the wedges had different Snell's angles, the distance between the transducer and the plate was also different because of the manufacturing process of the wedges. Thus, preliminary studies were conducted so that both actuated waves had approximately similar maximum amplitude. Pulse A was a 31-cycle tone burst pulse with a central frequency of 484 kHz, amplified to 100 Vpp, and Pulse B was a 15-cycle tone burst pulse with a central frequency of 230 kHz, amplified to 120 Vpp. Since the pulse with f_a frequency propagates faster than the pulse with f_b frequency, an appropriate time delay was applied during the excitation signal generation; the distance between the wedge transducers and measurement point was kept constant. A schematic diagram is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Experimental setup.

5. Discussion

Tests to explore the generation of the antisymmetric Lamb wave were conducted first to confirm the successful generation of the primary waves. To confirm this, each transducer was actuated separately and the out-of-plane displacements at different locations were measured using a 1D scan measured by a Polytec PSV-400-M2-20 scanning laser vibrometer (SLV). Group velocity was calculated using the time-of-flight of the signal envelope obtained from the Hilbert transform and the distance between consecutive measurement points. The group velocity was $V_{gr} = 3032$ m/s for pulse A and $V_{gr} = 2701$ m/s for pulse B, which were in good agreement with the analytical values from the dispersion curve corresponding to the A_0 wave ($V_{gr} = 3036$ m/s and $V_{gr} = 2697$ m/s). To increase the optical backscatter reflection of the laser beam, a reflective paint layer was applied to the surface of the specimen. The measured signals were averaged 500 times to increase the quality of the measurements and to reduce potential errors arising from measurement noise.

Pulses *A* and *B* were excited simultaneously to study their mutual interaction. Additionally, pulses A and B were excited separately to extract the combined harmonic that only occurs when Lamb waves interact simultaneously. The frequency content of the out-of-plane displacement in the mixing zone is shown in Figure 6a when the pulses were excited separately and Figure 6b when the pulses were excited simultaneously. Additional frequency components due to mutual interaction were observed at two combined frequencies, namely $f_a + f_b$ and $2f_a + f_b$. They did not appear when pulses were excited separately. In this paper, we aim to report the secondary wave at the combined sum frequency, $f_a + f_b$, as an indication of material nonlinearity. The extracted nonlinear wavefield, which is at $f_a + f_b = 730$ kHz, is also shown in Figure 6c. In this study, the presence of the combined harmonic should not be polluted by equipment nonlinearity given that the signals are amplified by two different power amplifiers. Moreover, the use of two different transducers for actuation and a non-contact laser for measurement should not cause combined harmonic generation. Nonetheless, the amplitude of the combined harmonic is still relatively small; hence, there could certainly be some level of measurement error. To assess the cumulative nature of the generated secondary pulse, a different set of measurements was

conducted along a line of ten sensing points in 10 mm intervals at the expected direction of the resonant wave, $\gamma = 55^{\circ}$. Then, the amplitude spectrum of the secondary wave was normalized by the product of the amplitude spectrum of the primary waves and plotted as a function of propagation distance, as shown in Figure 6d. This linear increase indicates that the secondary wave can grow cumulatively [21], thereby validating its cumulative nature with propagation distance. As such, in plate-like structures, this practical phenomenon has proven to be practical and useful for characterizing weak material nonlinearity, plasticity, and fatigue [9].



Figure 6. (a) Frequency spectra when pulses are excited separately, (b) frequency spectra when pulses are excited simultaneously, (c) extracted nonlinear wavefield, and (d) experimental cumulative behavior of the secondary wave.

6. Conclusions

This study has investigated the interaction of mixing non-collinear Lamb wave pulses with a non-planar wavefront in an isotropic plate. Practical conditions of finite beam width, finite pulse duration, and finite interaction region have been considered in this study. A finite element model with weak material nonlinearity, modelled using third-order terms of Murnaghan's strain energy function, has been employed to demonstrate the generation of the combined harmonic generation when two incident Lamb waves with nonplanar wavefronts interact with each other under the resonant condition. An experiment using two separate transducers with two separate amplifiers has been conducted to demonstrate that when two incident Lamb waves with non-planar wavefronts and finite time duration interact with each other under resonant conditions, the combined harmonic has a cumulative nature due to material nonlinearity. Given the non-planar wavefront generated by the incident pulse, a physical insight indicates that combined harmonic generation takes place due to the local interaction effect over the mixing region, and that the magnitude distribution of this local effect is consistent with the internal resonance criteria. Moreover, the use of A_0 Lamb waves introduces the potential for advancing wave mixing damage detection techniques, such as debonding, delamination, or impact, which have not been fully investigated in the literature.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.C.P.A. and C.T.N.; methodology, software, validation, J.C.P.A.; writing—original draft preparation, J.C.P.A.; writing—review and editing, C.T.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Australian Research Council, grant number DP200102300 and DP210103307. The support is greatly appreciated.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Chillara, V.; Lissenden, C. Review of nonlinear ultrasonic guided wave nondestructive evaluation: Theory, numerics, and experiments. *Opt. Eng.* 2015, 55, 011002. [CrossRef]
- Jhang, K.Y. Nonlinear ultrasonic techniques for nondestructive assessment of micro damage in material: A review. Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. 2009, 10, 123–135. [CrossRef]
- 3. Deng, M.; Pei, J. Assessment of accumulated fatigue damage in solid plates using nonlinear Lamb wave approach. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2007, *90*, 121902. [CrossRef]
- Pruell, C.; Kim, J.-Y.; Qu, J.; Jacobs, L. A nonlinear-guided wave technique for evaluating plasticity-driven material damage in a metal plate. NDT E Int. 2009, 42, 199–203. [CrossRef]
- 5. Soleimanpour, R.; Ng, C.T.; Wang, C. Higher harmonic generation of guided waves at delaminations in laminated composite beams. *Struct. Health Monit.* 2017, *16*, 400–417. [CrossRef]
- 6. Yang, Y.; Ng, C.T.; Kotousov, A. Influence of crack opening and incident wave angle on second harmonic generation of Lamb waves. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2018**, *27*, 055013. [CrossRef]
- 7. Van Den Abeele, K.E.A.; Johnson, P.A.; Sutin, A. Nonlinear Elastic Wave Spectroscopy (NEWS) techniques to discern material damage, part I: Nonlinear Wave Modulation Spectroscopy (NWMS). *Res. Nondestruct. Eval.* **2000**, *12*, 17–30. [CrossRef]
- Pineda Allen, J.C.; Ng, C.T. Debonding detection at adhesive joints using nonlinear Lamb waves mixing. NDT E Int. 2022, 125, 102552. [CrossRef]
- Croxford, A.J.; Wilcox, P.D.; Drinkwater, B.W.; Nagy, P.B. The use of non-collinear mixing for nonlinear ultrasonic detection of plasticity and fatigue. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 2009, 126, EL117–EL122. [CrossRef]
- 10. Liu, M.; Tang, G.; Jacobs, L.J.; Qu, J. Measuring acoustic nonlinearity parameter using collinear wave mixing. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2012, 112, 24908. [CrossRef]
- 11. Tang, G.; Liu, M.; Jacobs, L.J.; Qu, J. Detecting localized plastic strain by a scanning collinear wave mixing method. *J. Nondestruct. Eval.* **2014**, *33*, 196–204. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jiao, J.; Lv, H.; He, C.; Wu, B. Fatigue crack evaluation using the non-collinear wave mixing technique. *Smart Mater. Struct.* 2017, 26, 065005. [CrossRef]

- Jingpin, J.; Xiangji, M.; Cunfu, H.; Bin, W. Nonlinear Lamb wave-mixing technique for micro-crack detection in plates. *NDT E Int.* 2017, 85, 63–71. [CrossRef]
- 14. Metya, A.; Tarafder, S.; Balasubramaniam, K. Nonlinear Lamb wave mixing for assessing localized deformation during creep. *NDT E Int.* **2018**, *98*, 89–94. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhu, H.; Ng, C.-T.; Kotousov, A. Frequency selection and time shifting for maximizing the performance of low-frequency guided wave mixing. *NDT E Int.* **2023**, 133, 102735. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hasanian, M.; Lissenden, C. Second order harmonic guided wave mutual interactions in plate: Vector analysis, numerical simulation, and experimental results. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2017**, *122*, 084901. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hasanian, M.; Lissenden, C. Second order ultrasonic guided wave mutual interactions in plate: Arbitrary angles, internal resonance, and finite interaction region. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, *124*, 164904. [CrossRef]
- Ishii, Y.; Hiraoka, K.; Adachi, T. Finite-element analysis of non-collinear mixing of two lowest-order antisymmetric Rayleigh–Lamb waves. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 2018, 144, 53–68. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Deng, M.; Hu, N.; Xiang, Y. Theoretical analysis and experimental observation of frequency mixing response of ultrasonic Lamb waves. J. Appl. Phys. 2018, 124, 044901. [CrossRef]
- Sun, M.; Xiang, Y.; Deng, M.; Tang, B.; Zhu, W.; Xuan, F.-Z. Experimental and numerical investigations of nonlinear interaction of counter-propagating Lamb waves. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2019, 114, 011902. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ishii, Y.; Biwa, S.; Adachi, T. Non-collinear interaction of guided elastic waves in an isotropic plate. *J. Sound Vib.* **2018**, *419*, 390–404. [CrossRef]
- 22. De Lima, W.J.N.; Hamilton, M.F. Finite-amplitude waves in isotropic elastic plates. J. Sound Vib. 2003, 265, 819–839. [CrossRef]
- 23. Chillara, V.; Lissenden, C. Interaction of guided wave modes in isotropic weakly nonlinear elastic plates: Higher harmonic generation. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *111*, 124909. [CrossRef]
- Vidler, J.; Kotousov, A.; Ng, C.T. Effect of randomly distributed voids on effective linear and nonlinear ealstic properties of isotropic materials. *Int. J. Solids Struct.* 2021, 216, 83–93. [CrossRef]
- 25. Murnaghan, F.D. Finite deformations of an elastic soid. Am. J. Math. 1937, 52, 235–260. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhu, H.; Ng, C.T.; Kotousov, A. Low-frequency Lamb wave mixing for fatigue damage evaluation using phase-reversal approach. *Ultrasonics* **2022**, 124, 106768. [CrossRef]
- 27. Moser, F.; Jacobs, L.J.; Qu, J. Modeling elastic wave propagation in waveguides with the finite element method. *NDT E Int.* **1999**, 32, 225–234. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yang, Y.; Ng, C.T.; Mohabuth, M.; Kotousov, A. Finite element prediction of acoustoelastic effect associated with Lamb wave propagation in pre-stressed plates. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2019**, *28*, 095007. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.