



MECHANISM OF TUMOUR RESISTANCE IN SALMONELLA-IMMUNIZED MICE

Vincent J. La Posta, B.Sc. (Hons.) (Adelaide)

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Microbiology and Immunology,
The University of Adelaide,
Adelaide, South Australia.

July, 1983.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ABSTRACT</u>	xiii
<u>SIGNED STATEMENT</u>	xv
<u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>	xvi
<u>ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS THESIS</u>	xvii

CHAPTER ONE

TUMOUR RESISTANCE INDUCED BY INFECTION WITH INTRACELLULAR BACTERIAL PARASITES

1.1	The role of immune responses in controlling the growth of tumours	1
1.2	The induction of non-specific tumour resistance by infection with microbial pathogens	4
1.3	Resistance to intracellular bacterial parasites	5
1.3.1	The carrier state	5
1.3.2	Requirement for activated macrophages to control IBP infections	6
1.3.3	Sensitized thymus-derived lymphocytes induced during IBP infections mediate macrophage activation	9
1.3.4	The life span and migratory characteristics of the lymphocytes generated during IBP infections	10
1.3.5	Newly formed protective lymphoblasts accumulate at sites of inflammation	12
1.4	The characteristics of tumour resistance induced by IBP	14
1.5	Biochemical markers for activated macrophages	17
1.6	The mechanism of macrophage activation <u>in vitro</u>	19
1.6.1	Role of soluble factors (MAF)	19
1.6.2	Macrophages must internalize MAF to be activated	20
1.6.3	Different macrophage subpopulations vary in sensitivity to MAF	21
1.6.4	The role of lipopolysaccharides in macrophage activation by MAF	22

1.6.5	Macrophage activation proceeds as a series of steps	23
1.6.6	Modulation of the activated state	25
1.6.7	Characterization of MAF	26
1.7	<u>In vitro</u> assays for the anti-tumour activity of macrophages	28
	(a) Assays which rely on membrane rupture	28
	(b) Assays which rely on nuclear disintegration	28
	(c) Assays which measure the inhibition of cell division	29
	(d) Assays which measure the detachment of adherent target cells	29
1.8	Nature of the cells killed by macrophages	30
1.9	Mechanism of tumour cytotoxicity by activated macrophages	31
1.9.1	Cytotoxicity requires binding of macrophages to tumour cells	31
1.9.2	The relationship of binding to cytolysis	33
1.10	The nature of the tumour-cell receptors on cytotoxic macrophages	34
1.10.1	Receptors on specifically-cytotoxic macrophages	34
1.10.2	Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity	35
1.10.3	Receptors on non-specifically cytotoxic, activated macrophages	37
1.11	The role of soluble cytotoxic factors secreted by macrophages	38
1.11.1	Effect of thymidine	38
1.11.2	Effect of arginase	39
1.11.3	Effect of serine proteases	41
1.11.4	Tumour necrosis factor	43
1.11.5	Oxygen Metabolites	43
1.12	Natural killer cells	47
1.12.1	Characteristics of NK cells	47
1.12.2	Evidence of a role for NK cells <u>in vivo</u>	49
1.12.3	The mechanism of NK cell-mediated lysis	50
1.13	Aims of this study	53
1.14	Summary	54

CHAPTER TWOMATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1	Mice	55
2.2	Bacteria	55
2.3	Immunization of mice with llRX	56
2.4	Preparation of llRX antigen extract	56
2.5	Lipopolysaccharide	57
2.6	Anti-Thyl.2 antiserum	57
2.7	Media for preparation and culture of cell suspensions	57
2.8.1	Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution	57
2.8.2	Tissue culture media	57
2.9	Composition of gaseous phases for buffering <u>in vitro</u> cell cultures	58
2.10	Trypan Blue for injection into mice	58
2.11	Cell counting	59
2.12	Differential Counting	59
2.13	Tumour Cell Lines	59
2.14	Immunization of mice with allogeneic tumour cells	60
2.15	Peritoneal Cells	60
2.16	Preparation of spleen and lymph node cell suspensions	60
2.17	Splenic lymphocytes	61
2.18	Blast cells	61
2.18.1	For use in <u>in vitro</u> cytotoxicity assays, cold target inhibition assays and cell binding assays	61
2.18.2	For use in IL2 assay	61
2.19	Adherence of PC to Plastic	62
2.20	Preparation of PC which do not adhere to nylon wool	63
2.21	Preparation of PC which do not adhere to glass wool	63
2.22	Fractionation of PC on Percoll density gradients	63
2.22.1	Discontinuous density gradients	64

2.22.2	Continuous density gradients	64
2.23	Removing polymorphonuclear cells from cell suspensions	65
2.24	Radiolabelling of Cells	65
2.24.1	Labelling cells with ^{125}I	65
	(i) <u>in vivo</u>	65
	(ii) <u>in vitro</u>	66
2.24.2	Labelling cells with ^{51}Cr	66
2.24.3	Labelling cells with $^3\text{H-TdR}$	67
2.25	The measurement of anti-tumour activity <u>in vivo</u>	67
2.25.1	Clearance of ^{125}I -EAT cells from the peritoneal cavity	67
2.25.2	Whole body retention of ^{125}I	68
2.26	<u>In vitro</u> cytotoxicity assay	69
2.27	Cold Target Inhibition of <u>in vitro</u> cytotoxicity	70
2.28	Killing of Tumour Cells Across Cell Impermeable Membranes	71
2.29	Cell-binding assay	71
2.30	Sugars used in an attempt to inhibit PC cytotoxicity	72
2.31	Testing lymphoid cells for the ability to release lymphokines	72
2.31.1	Induction of lymphokine release	72
2.31.2	Assays for lymphokines in culture supernatants	73
2.31.3	Interleukin 2 assays	73
2.31.4	Macrophage Activation Factor	74
2.32	Preparation of lymphokine standards	75
2.32.1	Macrophage Activation Factor	75
2.32.2	Preparation of Interleukin 2 standard	76

CHAPTER THREE

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE EFFECTOR CELLS RESPONSIBLE FOR TUMOUR RESISTANCE IN SALMONELLA ENTERITIDIS 11RX IMMUNIZED MICE

3.1	Introduction	78
-----	--------------	----

3.2.	The effect of trypan blue on the clearance of ^{125}I -EAT from mice Immunized with S. enteritidis 11RX	83
3.2.1	Short-term ip immunized mice	84
3.2.2	Long-term iv immunized mice recalled with 11RX antigen ip	85
3.2.3	Long-term ip immunized mice.	85
3.3.	The cytolytic activity of PC from trypan blue-treated 11RX-immunized mice	85
3.3.1	Short-term 11RX immunized mice	86
3.3.2	Recalled, long-term iv-immunized mice	87
3.4	The <u>in vitro</u> activity of cytotoxic lymphocytes from allo-immunize mice injected with trypan blue	87
3.5	The cytotoxic activity of PC from short-term immunized mice after pretreatment <u>in vitro</u> with trypan blue	88
3.6	The <u>in vitro</u> cytotoxic activity of PC in the presence of trypan blue	89
3.7	Characterization of cytotoxic peritoneal cells	90
3.7.1	Adherence to Plastic	91
3.7.2	Adherence to nylon wool	92
3.8	Bouyant density fractionation of PC on discontinuous gradients	93
3.8.1	PC from recalled, iv immunized mice	94
3.8.2	PC from short-term ip immunized mice	94
3.9	Buoyant density fractionation of PC using continous gradients	95
3.10	Summary and conclusions	96

CHAPTER FOUR

THE INTERACTION OF ACTIVATED MACROPHAGES WITH NORMAL AND NEOPLASTIC CELLS

4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	The effect of varying the effector to target cells ratio on <u>in vitro</u> cytotoxicity	101

4.3	The role of soluble factors in the lysis of tumour cells by PC from 11RX-immunized mice	102
4.3.1	The effect of low cell density on <u>in vitro</u> cytolysis	102
4.3.2	Separation of tumour cells and PC by cell impermeable membranes	103
4.4	The effect of antibody on the cytolysis of ^{51}Cr -P815 cells by cytotoxic PC	103
4.5	Con-A activated splenic blast cells as targets for tumouricidal PC	106
4.5.1	Summary of experiments using ^{51}Cr -blast cells as target cells	106
4.5.2	Criticism of previous studies using Con A blast cells as target cells	107
4.5.3	^{125}I -blast cells are not lysed by PC from 11RX-immunized mice	108
4.6	The inhibition of cytolysis by unlabelled tumour cells	109
4.7	Con A activated-blast cells as cold target inhibitors of cytotlysis	111
4.7.1	Con A blast cells do not inhibit the lysis of ^{51}Cr -P815 cells	111
4.7.2	The lysis of ^{51}Cr -P815 cells by Con A blast cells is due to cytotoxic cells	112
4.7.3	Con A blast cells do not inhibit lysis of ^{51}Cr -EAT cells	113
4.8.	The ability of activated macrophages from 11RX-immunized mice to bind tumour cells	113
4.8.1	The effect of varying the concentration of P815 cells on binding to activated macrophage monolayers	114
4.8.2	The effect of varying the number of adherent cells on the binding of P815 cells	115
4.8.3	The effect of antibody on the binding of P815 cells to activated macrophages	115

4.8.4	Binding of P815 cells to normal macrophages and to macrophages obtained at different times after immunization	116
4.9	The lysis of tumour cells bound to adherent cytotoxic PC	117
4.9.1	Experimental design	118
4.9.2	The effect of varying the number of ^{51}Cr -P815 cells	118
4.9.3	The effect of varying the number of macrophages	119
4.10	The binding of normal cells to activated macrophage monolayers	120
4.11	The binding of Con A-activated blast cells to normal macrophages	122
4.12	Attempts to reconcile the differences between the results obtained from binding and cold target inhibition experiments	122
4.12.1	The effect of unlabelled P815 cells on the viability of ^{51}Cr -blast cells co-cultured with PC from 11RX-immunized mice	123
4.12.2	Con A blast cells do not induce the release of cytotoxic factors from PC	123
4.12.3	The binding of Con A blast cells to macrophage monolayers is short-lived	124
4.13	The effect of simple sugars on the cytolysis of P815 cells by PC	125
4.14	Summary and conclusions	126

CHAPTER FIVE

THE LIFE SPAN OF LYMPHOCYTES MEDIATING THE RECALL OF TUMOUR RESISTANCE IN LONG-TERM 11RX-IMMUNIZED MICE

5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Dependence of <u>in vivo</u> cytotoxicity on the number of spleen cells transferred	132
5.3	Systemic transfer of the ability to recall tumour resistance	133

5.4	The functional life span of llRX sensitized Lymphocytes after systemic transfer	135
5.5.	The functional life span of sensitized lymphocytes after local transfer.	136
5.5.1	Experiment 1: Decay of spleen cell activity after ip transfer	137
5.5.2	Experiment 2: Direct comparison of the decay of lymphocyte function after iv and ip transfer	138
5.5.3	Experiment 3: Comparison of the decay of spleen cell activity in recipients and donors	139
5.6	Summary and conclusions	140

CHAPTER SIX

LYMPHOKINE RELEASE DURING llRX INFECTION

6.1	Introduction	142
6.2	The release of Interleukin 2 by llRX-sensitized lymphoid cells	145
6.3	Requirement for T cells in the release of IL2	148
6.4	The anatomical distribution of lymphocytes releasing IL2 at various times after llRX immunization	149
6.5	Is the inactivity of LNC and spleen cells due to the lack of antigen presenting cells?	150
6.5.1	Ability of normal PC to present antigen	150
6.5.2	Effect of adding normal PC on the ability of lymphoid cell suspensions to release IL2	151
	(i) Lymph node cells	151
	(ii) Spleen cell suspensions	151
6.6	Is the lack of IL2 secretion due to suppression	152
6.7	Preliminary attempts to detect MAF release	153
6.7.1	Activation of PC from long-term llRX-immunized mice	153
6.7.2	Activation of macrophages by conditioned culture media	155
6.8	Development of an assay for MAF in culture supernatants	156

6.9	T cells are required for the release of MAF	157
6.10	The cytostatic activity of lymphokine-treated macrophages	158
6.11	Variability of the MAF assay	159
6.11.1	Lack of reproducibility of MAF assays using SMP1	159
6.12	Effect of varying time of incubation with ppi PC with conditioned culture supernatants	160
6.13	Effect of adding LPS and prolonging incubation time prior to harvesting	161
6.14	Cytotoxic activity of lymphokine-treated macrophages is consistently detected using long-term assays	163
6.15	Some cell populations appear to have cytotoxic activity when long-term assays are sampled with cell harvester	164
	Experiment 1	165
	Experiment 2	165
	Experiments 3-5	165
6.16	The effect of delaying the addition of target cells on the cytotoxic activity of lymphokine-activated macrophages	167
6.17	The relationship between cytostasis and cytotoxicity	168
6.18	The relationship between IL2 and MAF release by lymphoid cells at different times after immunization with 11RX	170
6.19	Summary and conclusions	172

CHAPTER SEVEN

THE MECHANISM OF TUMOUR RESISTANCE IN 11RX IMMUNIZED MICE

7.1	Introduction	175
7.2	The nature of the effector cells responsible for tumour resistance in 11RX immunized mice.	175
7.2.1	The effect of trypan blue on cytotoxicity	176
7.2.2	Fractionation of cytotoxic PC on Percoll density gradients	179

7.2.3	Fractionation of cytotoxic PC into adherent and non-adherent subpopulations	181
7.2.4	The relative contribution of activated macrophages and NK cells to tumour resistance induced by llRX infections	182
7.3	The requirement for cell contact in the lysis of tumour cells by PC	183
7.4	Effect of antibody on the cytolytic activity of PC	185
7.5	Relationship of the ability of activated macrophages to bind and lyse tumour cells	187
7.6	The susceptibility of Con A blast cells to lysis by activated macrophages	188
7.7	The recognition of tumour cells by activated macrophages	190
7.7.1	The results of cold target inhibition studies	191
(a)	Activated macrophages recognize structures which are present on all types of tumour cells	191
(b)	Activated macrophages do not interact with dividing T cells in the same way as they do with tumour cells	191
(c)	Possible reasons why the findings of cold target inhibition experiments differ from those of Hamilton and Fishman	192
i	Reutilization of label	193
ii	The use of "normal" cell lines	193
iii	Possible differences in the way various tumour cells interact with macrophages	194
iv	Competition may not involve tumour-binding receptors on macrophages	195
7.7.2	The results of cell binding experiments	196
(a)	Activated macrophages bind tumour cells and dividing and non-dividing lymphocytes with similar efficiency	196
(b)	The binding of Con A blast cells to activated macrophages is transient	197

(c)	Does binding of tumour cells and blast cells to activated macrophages require the same receptor?	198
7.7.3	The role of carbohydrates in the recognition of tumour cells by activated macrophages	199
7.8	Characterization of lymphocytes induced by immunization with 11RX	200
7.9	The functional life-span of lymphocytes mediating the recall of tumour resistance in 11RX immunized mice.	201
7.9.1	Short-lived lymphocytes are detected after iv transfer of spleen cells	202
7.9.2	Long-lived lymphocytes are detected after ip transfer of spleen cells	203
7.9.3	Relative contribution of long-lived and short-lived lymphocytes in recall of tumour resistance	204
7.9.4	The possible role of long-lived non-recirculating peritoneal lymphocytes in recalling tumour resistance	205
7.10	The release of lymphokines by lymphoid cells from 11RX-immunized mice	207
7.10.1	Differences between macrophage activation <u>in vitro</u> by lymphokines and activation <u>in vivo</u> by 11RX infection	208
7.10.2	Spleen cells and LNC release little or no IL2	210
7.10.3	The possible action of suppressor cells in controlling the <u>in vitro</u> release of IL2 and MAF by PC early after 11RX infection	211
7.10.4	The relationship between the lymphocytes which release MAF <u>in vitro</u> and those which confer protection <u>in vivo</u>	213
7.11	Summary, conclusions and future directions	214
	<u>PUBLICATIONS</u>	217
	<u>BIBLIOGRAPHY</u>	218

ABSTRACT

The experiments reported in this thesis investigated the tumour resistance induced by immunization of (BALB/cx C57BL/6)_F₁ mice with a live vaccine of the intracellular bacterial parasite, Salmonella enteritidis llRX (llRX). The aims were to define the cytotoxic effector cells, the mechanism by which they recognize tumour cells and to characterize the llRX-sensitized T cells induced by llRX infections which are involved in the generation of tumour resistance.

This study confirmed previous suggestions that activated macrophages are the principal cytotoxic cells present in the peritoneal cavity of llRX-immunized mice. It was found that the lysis of tumour cells in vitro is dependent on contact between effector and target cells and that activated macrophages bind tumour cells more efficiently than do macrophages from normal mice. Unlabelled tumour cells could competitively and non-specifically inhibit the lysis of radioactively labelled tumour cells by peritoneal cells (PC) from llRX-immunized mice.

Concanavalin A-activated splenic blast (blast) cells were compared to tumour cells for their ability to interact with activated macrophages. Blast cells were not lysed by PC from llRX-immunized mice and did not inhibit the lysis of tumour cells in vitro. However, blast cells did bind to monolayers of activated macrophages and to a lesser degree to normal macrophages. The extent of blast cell binding to the two macrophage types was equal to that observed with tumour cells. Unlike the adherence of tumour cells to activated macrophages, the bond between blast cells and activated macrophages was labile and dissociated with incubation in vitro suggesting that the two types of interactions were qualitatively different.

Spleen cells from llRX-immunized mice could transfer to naive recipients the ability to recall resistance to intraperitoneal (ip) tumour challenge upon ip injection of llRX antigen extract. When the ip route was used to transfer spleen cells, high levels of ip tumour resistance could be recalled three weeks later.

Tumouricidal activity could be induced in inflammatory macrophages by culturing them in vitro with lymphoid cells from llRX-immunized mice and llRX antigen. The lymphokines, Interleukin 2 (IL2) and Macrophage Activation Factor (MAF) are released by llRX-sensitized lymphoid cells when they are stimulated in vitro with llRX antigen. Lymphoid cell populations differ in their ability to release these lymphokines and, furthermore, the ability to release MAF did not correlate directly with the release of IL2. Treatment of lymphoid cells with anti-Thy 1.2 antibody and complement abolished their ability to release the two lymphokines.

From these observations the following conclusions were drawn. The tumour resistance induced in mice by llRX immunization is effected mainly by activated macrophages and is mediated by llRX-sensitized T cells which are long-lived. These sensitized T cells can activate tumouricidal macrophages by releasing MAF when stimulated with bacterial antigens. The sensitized T cells which release MAF may be different from those which release IL2.

Lysis of tumour cells by activated macrophages requires the binding of target cells by effector cells which is mediated by surface structures common to tumour cells. The binding structures present on normal dividing blast cells appear to be different from those present on tumour cells. This may explain why blast cells are not killed by llRX-activated macrophages.