



# **Intensity error sensing in the active control of free field sound radiation**

Robert Koehler



Department of Mechanical Engineering

Adelaide University

South Australia 5005

Australia

*A thesis accepted in fulfillment of the requirements*

*for the degree of PhD in Mechanical Engineering*

*on the 28th of September 2001*

# Abstract

The annoyance of low frequency tonal noise, such as from electrical transformers, has long since been recognised, with many examples of passive and active noise control being applied on transformers and other sources that radiate into a free space. Passive techniques have been found to be ineffective at low frequencies, requiring very heavy materials which are impractical due to maintenance and ventilation requirements as well as cost. Active noise control may be the answer to solving such noise problems. However, as yet very few practical active noise control implementations exist.

This thesis describes by theory and experiment, the effectiveness of an alternate error sensing strategy suitable for the control of general free field sound radiation problems, namely active intensity. While active intensity sensing is not new (Sommerfeldt and Nashif (1994), Swanson (1994), Reichard et al. (1995), Kang and Kim (1997), Qiu et al. (1998), Berry et al. (1999) and Li (2000)), simulations of their performance have led to mixed results. In this research, active intensity error sensing has been rigorously analysed:

1. in the near and far field of the disturbance source.
2. to determine its ability to lead to global control via sound power attenuation.
3. in a real control system.

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Statement of originality</b>	iii
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	v
<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Historical background . . . . .	2
1.2 Objective . . . . .	5
1.3 Scope . . . . .	5
<b>2 Literature review</b>	7
2.1 Why do this research? . . . . .	7
2.2 The current situation . . . . .	10
2.3 ANC systems . . . . .	13
2.3.1 What does an active noise control system consist of? . . . . .	13

2.3.2 Performance of an ANC system . . . . .	18
2.4 Error sensors . . . . .	20
2.5 Pressure error sensors . . . . .	21
2.5.1 Single pressure sensors . . . . .	21
2.5.1.1 Active suppression of free field monopole radiation . . . . .	21
2.5.1.2 Active suppression of free field structural radiation . . . . .	22
2.5.2 Multiple pressure sensors . . . . .	25
2.5.2.1 Active suppression of free field monopole radiation . . . . .	25
2.5.2.2 Active suppression of free field structural radiation . . . . .	26
2.5.3 Conclusions . . . . .	29
2.6 Related work suggesting other sensing strategies . . . . .	30
2.7 Enclosed sound fields . . . . .	31
2.8 Active intensity error sensors . . . . .	34
2.8.1 Single active intensity sensors . . . . .	34
2.8.1.1 Active suppression of free field monopole radiation . . . . .	34
2.8.1.2 Active suppression of free field structural radiation . . . . .	35
2.8.2 Multiple active intensity sensors . . . . .	35
2.8.2.1 Active suppression of free field monopole radiation . . . . .	35
2.8.2.2 Active suppression of free field structural radiation . . . . .	35

2.9	Controlling vibration to attenuate sound . . . . .	36
2.10	Algorithms for the placement of sensors and actuators . . . . .	41
2.11	Other sensing and actuating methods . . . . .	42
2.12	Gaps in current knowledge . . . . .	44
<b>3</b>	<b>Active suppression of a single monopole primary source by a single monopole control source</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	47
3.2	Theory . . . . .	48
3.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	48
3.2.2	Minimising the total sound power . . . . .	50
3.2.3	Minimising pressure . . . . .	53
3.2.4	Minimising active intensity . . . . .	54
3.3	Comparison of power attenuation when minimising pressure and intensity error criterion . . . . .	59
3.4	Experimental verification of the acoustic power attenuation of a single monopole primary source and single monopole control source . . . . .	71
3.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
3.4.2	Enclosed speaker . . . . .	72
3.4.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	72
3.4.2.2	Enclosed speaker specifications . . . . .	72

3.4.2.3	Monopole approximation . . . . .	74
3.4.2.4	Radial pressure distribution . . . . .	74
3.4.2.5	Radial active intensity distribution . . . . .	78
3.4.2.6	Enclosed speaker directivity pattern . . . . .	80
3.4.2.7	Enclosed speaker frequency response . . . . .	80
3.4.2.8	Conclusion . . . . .	83
3.4.3	Results . . . . .	84
3.4.3.1	Measured transfer function method . . . . .	85
3.4.3.2	Far field pressure distribution . . . . .	90
3.4.3.3	Active intensity versus control source strength . . . . .	95
3.4.3.4	Results of the relative total active intensity . . . . .	98
3.5	Conclusion . . . . .	100
<b>4</b>	<b>Active suppression of a single monopole primary source in an infinite baffle by a single monopole control source</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	103
4.2	Theory . . . . .	104
4.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	104
4.2.2	Minimising the total sound power . . . . .	106
4.2.3	Minimising pressure . . . . .	111

4.2.4 Minimising radial active intensity . . . . .	112
4.3 Comparison of power attenuation when minimising pressure and intensity error criterion . . . . .	118
4.3.1 Pressure and active intensity fields before and after control minimising the acoustic pressure . . . . .	129
4.3.2 Pressure and active intensity fields before and after control minimising the radial active intensity . . . . .	135
4.4 Experimental verification of the acoustic power attenuation of a single baffled monopole primary source and single monopole control source . . . . .	150
4.4.1 Speaker mounted in baffle . . . . .	150
4.4.1.1 Introduction . . . . .	150
4.4.1.2 Baffled speaker specifications . . . . .	151
4.4.1.3 Monopole approximation . . . . .	152
4.4.1.4 Radial pressure distribution . . . . .	152
4.4.1.5 Radial active intensity distribution . . . . .	154
4.4.1.6 Baffled speaker directivity pattern . . . . .	155
4.4.2 Results . . . . .	156
4.4.2.1 Far field pressure distribution . . . . .	156
4.4.2.2 Active intensity versus control source strength . . . . .	164
4.4.2.3 Results of the relative total active intensity . . . . .	164
4.5 Conclusion . . . . .	169

5.1	Introduction . . . . .	173
5.2	Inhomogeneous bending-wave equation for thin plates . . . . .	174
5.3	Modal analysis of a simply supported rectangular plate . . . . .	178
5.3.1	Modal analysis of a simply supported thin rectangular steel plate . .	178
5.3.2	Validation of theoretical model . . . . .	179
5.4	Baffled plate radiation using an acoustic control source . . . . .	183
5.4.1	Theory . . . . .	183
5.4.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	183
5.4.2	Minimising the total sound power . . . . .	185
5.4.3	Minimising squared sound pressure at a point . . . . .	190
5.4.4	Minimising radial active intensity . . . . .	194
5.4.5	Comparison of power attenuation when minimising pressure and in- tensity error criterion . . . . .	200
5.4.6	Experimental verification of the acoustic power attenuation of a rect- angular steel plate primary source in an infinite baffle and single monopole control source . . . . .	208
5.4.6.1	Radial pressure distribution . . . . .	210
5.4.6.2	Radial active intensity distribution . . . . .	210
5.4.7	Results . . . . .	213

5.4.7.1	Far field pressure distribution . . . . .	213
5.4.7.2	Active intensity versus control source strength . . . . .	218
5.4.7.3	Results of the relative total active intensity . . . . .	218
5.4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	225
5.5	Baffled plate radiation using a vibration control source . . . . .	225
5.5.1	Theory . . . . .	225
5.5.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	225
5.5.2	Minimising the total sound power . . . . .	227
5.5.3	Minimising squared sound pressure . . . . .	231
5.5.4	Minimising radial active intensity . . . . .	236
5.5.5	Results . . . . .	241
5.5.5.1	Far field pressure distribution . . . . .	241
5.5.6	Conclusion . . . . .	249
<b>6</b>	<b>Small transformer</b>	<b>255</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	255
6.2	Transformer noise . . . . .	256
6.3	Transformer . . . . .	262
6.3.1	Acoustic control . . . . .	263
6.3.2	Vibration control . . . . .	268
6.4	Conclusion . . . . .	272

<b>7 Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>275</b>
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	275
7.2 Conclusions . . . . .	275
7.3 Future work . . . . .	282
7.4 Reviewer's Comments . . . . .	283
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>285</b>
<b>A Intensity definitions</b>	<b>311</b>
A.1 Introduction . . . . .	311
A.2 Definition . . . . .	312
A.3 Active and reactive intensity . . . . .	313
<b>B Derivation of pressure, velocity, intensity and power of a monopole source</b>	<b>317</b>
B.1 Acoustic pressure and particle velocity of a monopole source . . . . .	317
B.2 Active intensity and sound power . . . . .	321
<b>C Intensity measurement</b>	<b>323</b>
C.1 B&K Type 3360 sound intensity analysing system . . . . .	323
C.1.1 Introduction . . . . .	323
C.1.2 Measurement process . . . . .	324
C.1.3 Calibration . . . . .	325

C.1.4	Measurement errors . . . . .	326
C.2	Frequency-domain intensity measurement . . . . .	327
<b>D</b>	<b>Controller</b>	<b>331</b>
D.1	Active intensity as an error signal . . . . .	331
D.2	Control system . . . . .	332
<b>E</b>	<b>Quadratic optimisation</b>	<b>339</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Presentations and publications originating from this thesis</b>	<b>345</b>
F.1	International journals . . . . .	345
F.2	Conference papers . . . . .	346
<b>G</b>	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>347</b>
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>347</b>