

The University of Adelaide
School of Mechanical Engineering



Investigation of Welding Fume Plumes Using Laser Diagnostics

Owen Lucas

20th of August 2008

Abstract

In many occupations such as welding, workers are exposed to a combination of several hazards. One of these is the exposure to fumes, particularly those produced from welding processes involving electrical arcs. The inhalation of welding fume can cause both temporary side effects and longer term health complications. These health effects lower the productivity and quality of life of the welder which in turn costs the employer through reduced worker productivity and potential compensation.

Current techniques of fume measurement determine bulk quantity of formation without regard to fume plume dissipation into the work place. While some research has been conducted into dissipation, measurements near the welding arc have proven difficult and either numerical or salt water modelling have been used. Such modelling aims to replicate the welding process but is ultimately detached from the actual welding variables involved and does not provide data on fume concentration. Since welder exposure is determined by both fume concentration and dissipation into the workplace measurement techniques which could provide both would be considered highly desirable.

In the field of combustion research a number of different laser techniques are used to image soot particulates in flames. These techniques include laser scattering, laser extinction and laser induced incandescence. As yet none of these techniques have found application to the measurement or imaging of particulate matter in arc welding fume plumes. In the work presented here these techniques have been investigated for welding fume measurements of concentration and dissipation.

Laser scattering was used successfully to image the fume plume close to the welding arc of actual gas metal and flux cored arc welding processes. The resulting images provided relative fume concentration maps that were quantified when combined with measurements from laser extinction. Laser induced incandescence, while successfully applied to the imaging of soot concentration in flames, was found to have limited capabilities when applied to welding fume particulates.

Fume box measurements were undertaken for GMAW and FCAW to determine actual FFR in response to changes in welding variables. The results were in general agreement with those obtained from laser techniques and referenced in literature.

The fume plume images collected from in-situ laser measurements were compared with those from previous modelling of plume shape, radial spread and virtual origin.

Laser diagnostics demonstrated a number of capabilities not available with traditional fume measurements. The findings of this research provide unique insight into fume dissipation. Such findings can be applied to minimise the quantity of fume, the transmission to the breathing zone and ultimately worker exposure in the workplace.

Contents

Abstract	i
Contents	ix
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xvii
Notation	xviii
Statement of originality	xx
Permission to copy	xx
Acknowledgements	xxi
1 Introduction	1
2 Literature review	3
2.1 Welding	3
2.1.1 Gas metal arc welding	4
2.1.1.1 Welding arcs	5
2.1.1.2 Power supply	7
2.1.1.3 Droplet transfer	8
2.1.1.4 Shielding gas	11
2.1.1.5 Welding wire	11
2.1.2 Flux cored arc welding	12
2.2 Welding fume	13

2.2.1	Components of welding fume	13
2.2.1.1	Gases	13
2.2.1.2	Solid particles	14
2.2.2	Health effects of welding fume	15
2.2.2.1	Acute effects	15
2.2.2.2	Chronic effects	16
2.2.3	Fume exposure reduction	18
2.2.3.1	Elimination, substitution and process modification	18
2.2.3.2	Administrative controls	18
2.2.3.3	Personal protective equipment	19
2.2.3.4	Engineering controls	19
2.3	Particulate fume	20
2.3.1	Formation mechanisms	20
2.3.1.1	Droplet evaporation	22
2.3.1.2	Arc root evaporation	22
2.3.1.3	Explosive evaporation	22
2.3.1.4	Micro splatter (Spatter and fine spray)	23
2.3.1.5	Combustion of micro splatter	23
2.3.1.6	Weld pool evaporation	23
2.3.1.7	Bead evaporation	24
2.3.2	Formation rate	24
2.3.3	Size	28
2.3.4	Composition	31
2.3.5	Effect of welding variables on fume formation	32
2.4	Fume plumes	35
2.4.1	Jets and Plumes	35
2.4.1.1	Direct imaging of fume plumes	37
2.4.1.2	Physical modelling	38
2.4.1.3	Numerical modelling	41
2.4.2	Plume variable definitions	42
2.4.3	Welding plume modelling	43

2.4.4	Impingement radial spread	45
2.5	Laser diagnostics	46
2.5.1	Soot	47
2.5.2	Laser scattering	48
2.5.2.1	Single particle scattering	48
2.5.2.2	Scattering from clouds of particles	49
2.5.2.3	Rayleigh approximation	50
2.5.3	Laser induced incandescence	52
2.5.4	Laser extinction	55
2.5.4.1	Refractive index	56
2.6	Summary	56
3	Experimental methodology	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Welding rig	59
3.3	GMAW equipment and variables	62
3.4	FCAW equipment and variables	64
3.5	Environmental conditions	64
3.6	Laser scatter	65
3.6.1	Introduction	65
3.6.2	Equipment	66
3.6.3	Experimental procedure	69
3.7	Laser induced incandescence	70
3.7.1	Introduction	70
3.7.2	Equipment	70
3.7.3	Experimental procedure	72
3.8	Laser extinction	73
3.8.1	Introduction	73
3.8.2	Equipment	73
3.8.3	Experimental procedure	74
3.9	Simultaneous scattering and LII	75
3.9.1	Introduction	75

3.9.2	Equipment	75
3.9.3	Experimental procedure	78
3.10	Fume box	78
3.10.1	Introduction	78
3.10.2	Equipment	78
3.10.3	Experimental procedure	80
4	Results	81
4.1	Scope	81
4.2	Introduction	82
4.3	Laser scattering	83
4.3.1	FCAW	85
4.3.1.1	Gas flow rate	85
4.3.2	GMAW	88
4.3.2.1	Weld direction	88
4.3.2.2	Gas flow rate	89
4.3.2.3	Plume development	94
4.3.2.4	Plume movement	96
4.3.2.5	Plume completion	96
4.3.2.6	Voltage	98
4.3.3	Imaging GMAW fume under the gas shroud	98
4.3.3.1	Fume formation rate	100
4.3.3.2	Time dependence	103
4.3.3.3	Gas flow rate	104
4.3.3.4	Drum speed	105
4.4	Laser induced incandescence	107
4.4.1	Fluence curve	109
4.4.2	Optical spectrum	110
4.4.3	Concentration	112
4.5	Extinction	112
4.5.1	FCAW	113
4.5.2	GMAW	114

4.6	Combined scattering and LII	115
4.7	Fume box	119
4.7.1	GMAW	119
4.7.2	FCAW	120
5	Discussion	123
5.1	Scope	123
5.2	Laser techniques	124
5.2.1	Laser scattering	124
5.2.1.1	Background suppression	125
5.2.1.2	Image resolution	126
5.2.1.3	Rayleigh approximation	126
5.2.1.4	Cloud scattering	127
5.2.1.5	Particulate concentration	129
5.2.1.6	Application	133
5.2.2	LII	134
5.2.2.1	Rayleigh approximation	134
5.2.2.2	Laser induced emission	135
5.2.2.3	Background suppression	136
5.2.2.4	Fluence	137
5.2.2.5	Concentration	138
5.2.2.6	Application	139
5.2.3	Extinction	140
5.2.3.1	Rayleigh approximation	141
5.2.3.2	Back light suppression	141
5.2.3.3	Concentration	142
5.2.3.4	Application	144
5.2.4	Scattering and LII	144
5.2.5	Laser extinction calibrated images	145
5.2.6	Summary	146
5.3	Fume box	148
5.3.1	GMAW	148

5.3.2	FCAW	149
5.4	Plume dispersion	149
5.4.1	Radial spread	150
5.4.2	Plume dispersion	152
5.4.3	Virtual origin	153
6	Conclusions	157
7	Recommendations for further work	159
	Bibliography	161
A	Acronyms	167
B	Summary of plume equations	169
C	Experimental runs	171
D	Microprocessor control code	197
E	Matlab code	201
E.1	Correction for laser sheet divergence	201
E.2	Average ICCD image set	201
E.3	Average Megapplus image set	202
E.4	“Calibrate” Laser scatter image	202
E.5	Radial Spread	203
F	Summary of laser techniques for fume	205
F.1	Laser induced fluorescence	205
F.2	Laser polarisation spectroscopy	205
F.3	Laser induced incandescence	206
F.4	Extinction	206
F.5	Laser induced breakdown spectroscopy	206
F.6	Laser scatter	206
F.7	Laser Doppler anemometry	206

G Megaplug camera repair	209
H Shieldcore 15	213

List of Tables

2.1	Spray transition currents for plain carbon steel wires	10
2.2	Some health effects and their reported causes in welders	16
2.3	Summary of some of the GMAW variables used by Quimby and Ulrich	25
2.4	Boiling points of different metals at 1 atmosphere	32
2.5	Maximum concentration of particles to avoid direct interaction effects .	50
2.6	Refractive index of Iron oxide aggregates formed in a flame	57
3.1	Typical steel composition for Ultrapipe	61
3.2	Composition of argoshield universal	64
3.3	Comparison of shielding gas composition	79
4.1	FCAW variables used to investigate the effect of gas shielding	85
4.2	GMAW variables for welding direction experiments	88
4.3	GMAW variables for gas flow rate experiments	90
4.4	GMAW variables for welding voltage experiments	98
4.5	GMAW variables used for laser scattering measurements of fume concentration near gas shroud	100
4.6	FCAW variables used to investigate the effect of gas shielding	113
4.7	Extinction for a FCAW process	114
4.8	GMAW variables used for extinction measurements	115
4.9	Summary of welding variables used for fume box measurements	119
4.10	FCAW variables used to investigate the effect of gas shielding	120
5.1	GMAW variables	151
5.2	Plume widths at different heights above the arc for different gas flow rates based on 10% of the peak	155
B.2	Summary of pure round jet and plume equations	169

List of Figures

2.1	Gas Metal Arc Welding	4
2.2	GMAW in a workshop	5
2.3	GMAW voltage potential	6
2.4	Calculated temperature contours within a GMAW arc and electrode . .	7
2.5	GMAW power source characteristics	8
2.6	Mechanism of dip transfer	9
2.7	Mechanism of globular transfer	10
2.8	Fume formation mechanisms	21
2.9	Fume collection chamber	24
2.10	FFR from fume box measurements	25
2.11	FFR from fume box measurements	27
2.12	Scanning electron microscope image of coarse fume particles	29
2.13	Transmission electron microscope image of fine fume particles	29
2.14	Fume size distribution measured with a scanning mobility particle sizer	31
2.15	FFR and metal composition for GMAW 304 stainless steel welding fume	32
2.16	Fume box measurements of fume formation from different manufacturer's electrodes	35
2.17	Plume from a moving source	36
2.18	Turbulent fountain in a homogeneous environment and impinging on a horizontal plate	37
2.19	Schematic of welding fume plume development	37
2.20	Direct viewing of FCAW plume	38
2.21	Light induced fluorescence image of impinging jet water model	39
2.22	Cold flow cross section of oil seeded shielding gas flow	40
2.23	Computational fluid dynamic vector field for an impinging fountain . .	41

2.24	Virtual source used to model initial width at plume origin	44
2.25	Non-dimensional separation distance Vs Radial jet Archimedes number	46
2.26	Relative magnitudes of various heat loss mechanisms from a laser heated soot particle	53
2.27	Soot LII fluence curve	54
2.28	SEM image of Iron oxide aggregates formed in a flame	57
3.1	Electrical return contact from rotating drum	61
3.2	Weld beads deposited on drum	62
3.3	Weld beads deposited on drum	62
3.4	Isometric diagram of laser scattering optical layout	66
3.5	Photo of laser sheet reflections	67
3.6	Photo of welding rig during the laser scatter experiments	68
3.7	Background light from arc	69
3.8	Diagrammatic timing layout used for laser scattering measurements . .	69
3.9	Isometric diagram of LII optical layout	71
3.10	Diagrammatic timing layout used for LII	72
3.11	Image of IR laser burn on burn paper	73
3.12	Isometric diagram of extinction optical layout	74
3.13	Isometric diagram of simultaneous scattering and LII optical layout . .	75
3.14	Optics used for simultaneous scattering & LII experiment	76
3.15	Image of 1064nm and 532nm laser burns on burn paper	76
3.16	Diagrammatic timing layout used for simultaneous scattering and LII .	77
3.17	Megaplus and ICCD camera setup	77
3.18	Fume box located at CSIRO Woodville site	79
4.1	Megaplus image of target behind welding torch	83
4.2	Depiction of imaged plane relative to torch	84
4.3	Instantaneous images for different shielding gas flows during FCAW . .	87
4.4	Effect of drum direction and bead heat on plume shape	89
4.5	Average laser scattering images for different shielding gas flow rates during GMAW	90

4.6	Instantaneous laser scattering images for different shielding gas flow rates during GMAW	92
4.7	Instantaneous laser scattering images of GMAW plume development at half second intervals after initiation	95
4.8	Successive instantaneous laser scattering images of GMAW plume	96
4.9	Successive instantaneous laser scattering images of GMAW plume	96
4.10	Instantaneous laser scattering images of GMAW plume at completion of weld	97
4.11	Instantaneous laser scattering images of GMAW plume at different voltages	99
4.12	Megapixels image of coin in region of interest under welding torch	99
4.13	Instantaneous laser scattering image of GMAW fume cross-section under gas shroud 17l/min shielding gas	100
4.14	Depiction of area accumulated to obtain laser scatter intensity	101
4.15	Laser scatter intensities for different welding voltages	101
4.16	Laser scatter intensity versus welding time	103
4.17	Average of fume scatter at two different shielding gas flow rates	104
4.18	Intensity of scattered image relative to gas flow rate	105
4.19	Average of fume scatter at four different durm speeds	106
4.20	Target behind welding torch taken with the ICCD used for the LII experiments	107
4.21	ICCD image of LII and background light	108
4.22	Q-switch to flash lamp delay time versus laser power	109
4.23	White box depicting the area accumulated	110
4.24	LII fluence curve	110
4.25	The three optical filters used during LII	111
4.26	Background transmission for the three optical glass filters	111
4.27	LII fluence curves for three optical glass filters	111
4.28	LII signal intensity for different voltages and wire feed speeds	112
4.29	Example of recorded extinction and reference beam measurements	113
4.30	Measured FCAW extinction for different shielding gas flow rates	114
4.31	Measured GMAW extinction for different voltages and wire feed speeds	115
4.32	Average image from 100 light scattering images with IR beam on	116

4.33 Matched images	116
4.34 Matched images	117
4.35 Matched images	117
4.36 Laser scatter intensity versus LII intensity for different welding voltages	118
4.37 Post dry measurement of GMAW FFR for different voltages and wire feed speeds	120
4.38 Filter mats for 4.5m/s wire feed and left to right 20,24,27,29,31,33V . .	121
4.39 Filter mats for 7.6m/s wire feed and left to right 20,24,27,29,31,33V . .	121
4.40 Post dry measurement of FCAW FFR for different gas flow rates	121
4.41 Filter mats for FCAW left to right 0,10,20,25l/min gas flow rate	122
5.1 Accumulated intensity from scattered image relative to gas flow rate . .	128
5.2 Scattering results scaled to trend found by Quimby and Ulrich	132
5.3 ICCD image of LIE and background light	136
5.4 LII fluence curve	138
5.5 LII signal intensity for different voltages and wire feed speeds	139
5.6 Calculated GMAW FFR from extinction versus that found by Quimby and Ulrich	143
5.7 Laser scatter intensity versus LII intensity for different welding voltages	145
5.8 Calibrated average laser scatter image for the base welding variables . .	147
5.9 Calibrated instantaneous laser scatter image for the base welding variables	147
5.10 Post dry measurement of GMAW FFR for different voltages and wire feed speeds	148
5.11 Post dry measurement of FCAW FFR for different gas flow rates	149
5.12 Plume features	150
5.13 Radial spread measured from laser scatter images for different gas flow rates	151
5.14 Radial spread measured from laser scatter images for different gas flow rates	152
5.15 Normalised particle concentration profile across the GMAW plume between 0 and 160mm above the arc of no shielding gas flow	154
5.16 Concentration profile across the plume between 0 and 160mm above the arc for eight different gas flow rates	155

5.17 Concentration profile across the plume at 45mm and 155mm above the arc for the lowest six gas flow rates	156
5.18 Virtual origin at different gas flow rates	156

Notation

Symbol		Units
A	Area	m^2
Ar_{rad}	Archimedes number	-
Ar_o	Archimedes number origin	-
B	Buoyancy	m^4/s^3
B_o	Buoyancy at origin	m^4/s^3
C_{sca}	Scattering cross-section	m^2
c_1	Volumetric flux constant	-
c_2	Specific momentum constant	-
c_p	Specific heat capacity	$J/kg \times K$
D	Diameter	m
GFR	Gas flow rate	l/min
g	Gravity	m/s^2
g'	Reduced gravity	m/s^2
H	Source to impingement plate distance	m
I_E	Current (electrons)	Amps
I_P	Current (ions)	Amps
I_T	Total current	Amps
I_0	Incident light intensity	J/m^2s
I_t	Transmitted light intensity	J/m^2s
k	Wave number	m^{-1}
L	Intersection path length	m
l_s	Jet/plume length scale	m
M	Specific momentum	m^4/s^2
M_o	Specific momentum at origin	m^4/s^2
m	Mass	kg
MR_v	Volumetric melt rate	m^3/s
N	Number of particles	-
P_{sca}	Power scattered	J/s
Q	Volumetric flux	m^3/s
Q_{sca}	Scattering efficiency	-
q_o	Plume heat input	J/s
q_T	Total heat input	J/s
R_{sp}	Radial spread	m
R_o	Source radius	m
r	Radius	m
Re	Reynolds number	-
T_o	Temperature	K

Symbol		Units
u	Velocity	m/s
V	Voltage	Volts
V_C	Voltage cathode fall	Volts
V_{PC}	Voltage column fall	Volts
V_R	Voltage electrode resistance fall	Volts
V_T	Voltage total	Volts
x	Particle size parameter	-
z_o	Virtual origin	m
ρ	Density	kg/m^3
ρ_∞	Density of the ambient environment	kg/m^3
α	Entrainment constant	-
ψ	Radial spread correction factor	-
φ	Particulate concentration	N/m^3
\mathfrak{S}	Scatter intensity at a distance r	J/m^2s
χ_{pix}	Pixel signal count	-
κ	Experimental setup constant	-
Υ	Pixel signal count calibration factor	-
v	Particulate volume fraction	-
ϕ	Angle of polarisation	rad
θ	Angle of incidence	rad
λ	Wave length	m

Statement of originality

The material in this thesis is original and has not been submitted or accepted for the award of a degree or diploma at any other university and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

Owen Lucas

Permission to copy

The author consents to the thesis being made available for loan and reproduction provided that the thesis is accepted for the award of the degree.

Owen Lucas

Acknowledgements

The work reported here was undertaken as part of a research project of the Cooperative Research Centre for Welded Structures. The Cooperative Research Centre for Welded Structures was established by and is supported under the Australian Government's Cooperative Research Centre Program.



A lot of people contributed to making this the event that it was. In no particular order here are some standouts that I can still recall. Thanks to Bill, Ron and Derick for the construction of the welding apparatus used during the experiments. It was a impressive build with exceptional finish and attention to detail. Computer support provided by Billy was second to none. Lynette for account keeping and her kindness. Nader for the loan of some optics and some direction on lab procedure. For their help in undertaking fume box measurements and general welding knowledge my thanks go to Bernie Bednarz and Tom Gordon from the late CSIRO Woodville. Fellow CRCWS group members Ian Brown, Grant Nelson, Susan Pearce, Graham Powell. Supervisors Valerie Linton and Zeyad Alwahabi. Very Very big thanks go to my lab partners Paul Medwell and Karel Meeuwissen for their support.