
PREDICTING AIR TEMPERATURES IN CITY
STREETS ON THE BASIS OF MEASURED
REFERENCE DATA

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide in fulfilment of the requirements for a
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Evyatar Erell

The School of Architecture, Landscape Architecture and Urban Design

February 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Overview: Application of urban climate research to the design of cities	1
1.2 Definition of problem and research questions	3
1.3 Research objectives	4
1.4 Significance of the problem	4
1.5 Methodology	5
1.6 Organization of thesis	6
CHAPTER 2: urban modifications to meteorological conditions near the surface	7
2.1 Radiation balance	9
▪ Short wave (solar) radiation	10
▪ Long wave radiation	12
▪ The effect of air pollution on air temperature in the city	18
2.2 Subsurface Energy Storage	19
2.3 Sensible heat flux	23
2.4 Latent heat and the urban water balance	25
▪ Evapotranspiration, the formation of dew and the urban water balance	25
▪ Partitioning energy between sensible and latent heat	27
2.5 Wind and turbulence	29
▪ The planetary boundary layer	29
▪ The urban boundary layer (UBL)	32
▪ Surface roughness length and zero-plane displacement in urban environments	34
▪ Urban-scale wind	37
▪ Wind in the urban canopy layer	38
▪ Wind in the roughness sub-layer	42
▪ Flux-profile relationships	43
▪ Scalar fluxes from urban street canyons	44
▪ Spatial variability of energy fluxes in urban terrain	45
2.6 Anthropogenic heat	45
▪ Magnitude	46
▪ Spatial variability	48
▪ Temporal variability	48
2.7 The effects of vegetation	49
▪ Urban evapotranspiration rates	50
▪ The effects of vegetation in non-homogeneous areas	51
▪ The effect of trees on urban air temperature and surface energy balance fluxes	54
▪ The park cool island (PCI)	55
▪ The effects of vegetation on building energy consumption	57
2.8 Deliberate modifications to the urban microclimate	59
▪ Solar exposure	61
▪ Mitigating the urban heat island	63
▪ Ventilation	64
2.9 Summary	64

CHAPTER 3: Modeling Approach	66
3.1 Modelling the Urban Microclimate	66
▪ Field studies	66
▪ Physical models	68
▪ Mathematical (analytical) models	70
▪ Computational fluid dynamics (CFD)	72
▪ Statistical models	73
▪ Digital elevation models	74
3.2 The CAT Model	74
▪ Radiative exchanges	76
▪ Heat storage (Q_s)	79
▪ Turbulent heat flux (Q_H and Q_E)	80
▪ Anthropogenic heat (Q_F)	82
▪ Temperature prediction from sensible heat flux	82
CHAPTER 4: Experimental	86
4.1 Overview	86
4.2 The study area: Adelaide	86
4.3 Criteria for selection of the monitoring sites	87
▪ Reference site	88
▪ Urban sites	88
4.4 The Adelaide City Nursery site	90
▪ Site characteristics	90
▪ Instrumentation	91
4.5 The urban sites	91
▪ Site characteristics	91
▪ Instrumentation	92
4.6 The Australian Bureau of Meteorology Station at Kent Town	96
4.7 Solar radiation	97
4.8 Quality control and data collation	97
4.9 Summary	98
CHAPTER 5: Adelaide meteorological data - comparison of urban and reference sites	99
5.1 Overview	99
5.2 The urban heat island	99
5.3 A daytime urban cool island	103
5.4 Street orientation	110
5.5 Summary	112
CHAPTER 6: Validation of the CAT model	113
6.1 Model calibration	113
▪ Calibration of the OHM model for ground storage	114
▪ Calibration of the expression for sensible heat flux	115
▪ Values for the mixing coefficient at the top of the canopy layer	116
6.2 Statistical measures	117
▪ Willmott index of agreement	117
▪ Williamson degree of confirmation	118
6.3 Sensitivity analysis	118

6.4 The sol-air temperature as an approximation of real surface temperature	124
6.5 Simulation results	125
▪ Graphic output	126
▪ Statistical analysis	131
▪ Discussion.....	132
▪ 'Reverse' simulation.....	132
▪ Effect of orientation	135
CHAPTER 7: Application, discussion and conclusions	137
7.1 Introduction	137
7.2 Application of the CAT computer model	137
7.3 Practical example	138
▪ Modifications to climate input file.....	138
▪ Building description.....	139
▪ Simulation results	140
7.4 Advantages, restrictions and limitations of CAT	144
7.5 Conclusion	145
7.6 Future research	146
References	147

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Calculation of view factors

Appendix B: Calculation of shaded areas

Appendix C: CAT code (FORTRAN)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1:	Schematic depiction of the urban atmosphere interface illustrating a two-layer classification of urban modification (<i>Oke, 1976</i>).	8
Figure 2.2:	Schematic depiction of a) the urban/atmosphere interface, including an urban canyon and the enclosed volume of air (dashed); and b) sensible heat exchanges into and out of the canyon air volume (<i>Nunez and Oke, 1977</i>).	9
Figure 2.3:	Wind speed profiles and simplified eddy structures characteristic of the three basic stability states in airflow near the ground (<i>Thom, 1975</i>).	31
Figure 2.4:	Conceptual arrangement of boundary layer structure over city: (a) at the meso-scale (~10km); (b) at the local scale (10^{-1} km). (<i>Schmid et al, 1990</i>).....	33
Figure 2.5:	Definition of surface dimensions used in morphometric analysis (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 1999</i>).36	
Figure 2.6:	Conceptual representation of the relation between height-normalized values of zero-plane displacement (z_d/z_H) and roughness length (z_0/z_H) and the packing density of roughness elements, (a) using λ_P and (b) using λ_F (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 1999</i>).	36
Figure 2.7:	Comparison of the extrapolated log profile with measured data from a wind tunnel experiment, illustrating the actual vertical profile of the mean wind speed in an urban area (<i>MacDonald, 2000</i>).	39
Figure 2.8:	Flow regimes associated with different urban geometries (<i>Oke, 1987</i>).	40
Figure 2.9:	The resistance network for (a) a wide canyon, where there are distinct recirculation and ventilation regions, and (b) a narrow canyon, where there is only a recirculation region (<i>Harman et al., 2004</i>).	44
Figure 2.10:	Relationship between average evapotranspiration at a site and fraction of the surface cover vegetated. (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 1999b</i>).....	50
Figure 2.11:	The development of an internal boundary layer as air flows from a smooth, hot, dry bare surface to a rougher, cooler and moister vegetated surface (<i>Oke, 1987</i>).	51
Figure 2.12:	Moisture advection from a dry to a wet surface. (a) Evaporation rates and the vapour balance of a surface air layer. (b) Surface evaporation rate E_0 , and mean water vapour concentration of the air layer. (c) Vertical profile of water vapour in relation to the developing boundary layer. (<i>Oke, 1987</i>).....	52
Figure 2.13:	(a) Adjustment of surface sensible heat flux and mean air temperature as air passes from a hot to a cooler surface. (b) Change in surface shearing stress and mean wind speed as air flows from smooth to a rougher surface. Associated modification of the vertical profiles of (c) air temperature, and (d) wind speed, at different distances downwind of the leading edge. (<i>Oke, 1987</i>)	53

Figure 3.1:	Flow chart of CAT model	85
Figure 4.1:	Aerial photograph of Adelaide metropolitan area, seen from north.....	87
Figure 4.2:	Aerial photograph of central Adelaide showing location of monitoring sites.	89
Figure 4.3:	Map of study area. Locations of monitoring stations are marked in black circles.	90
Figure 4.4:	View of the Adelaide City Nursery monitoring site, looking west, after the ground was covered with crushed asphalt gravel. The anemometer (not seen here) was 6m above the ground.....	91
Figure 4.5:	View of the Chesser St. monitoring site, looking north. Circles show location of temperature sensors. Wind speed and direction were measured at the middle of the road, 6 metres above street level, approximately above the position where this photograph was taken.	93
Figure 4.6:	View of the French St. monitoring site, looking west. Circles show location of temperature sensors.....	94
Figure 4.7:	Jury-rigged instrument screens were used to shield thermistors from radiant exchange with the environment.	96
Figure 4.8:	Bureau of Meteorology station at Kent Town.....	97
Figure 5.1:	Cloud cover at the time of occurrence of the maximum heat island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	100
Figure 5.2:	Wind speed at the time of occurrence of the maximum heat island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	100
Figure 5.3:	Wind direction at the time of occurrence of the maximum heat island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	101
Figure 5.4:	Wind direction at the time of occurrence of the maximum heat island intensity (May 2000)..	101
Figure 5.5:	Air DBT at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum heat island intensity (June 2000, Jan 2001).....	102
Figure 5.6:	Wind direction at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum urban cool island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	104
Figure 5.7:	Wind speed at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum urban cool island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	104
Figure 5.8:	Cloud cover at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum urban cool island intensity (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	105
Figure 5.9:	Dry bulb temperature at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum urban cool island intensity (ensemble data for June 2000, January and March 2001).....	105

Figure 5.10:	Relative humidity at Kent Town at the time of occurrence of the maximum urban cool island intensity (ensemble data for June 2000, January and March 2001).....	106
Figure 5.11:	Correlation between daily amplitude of air DBT at Kent Town and at the urban street sites (June 2000, January and March 2001).	108
Figure 5.12:	Time at which maximum intensity of the urban cool island was first observed (ensemble data for 134 days in 2000-01).....	108
Figure 5.13:	Relationship between the diurnal range of DBT at Kent Town to the maximum intensity of the urban heat island (ensemble data for June 2000, January and March 2001).	109
Figure 5.14:	Relationship between the diurnal range of DBT at Kent Town to the maximum intensity of the urban cool island (ensemble data for June 2000, January and March 2001).	109
Figure 5.15:	Differences in air temperature between north-south (Chesser St.) and east-west (French St.) urban canyons. Curves represent average hourly differentials for each month. Positive values indicate Chesser St. was warmer.	111
Figure 5.16:	Hourly differences in air temperature between north-south (Chesser St.) and east-west (French St.) urban canyons, during May 2000.....	111
Figure 6.2:	Effect of changes in the coefficients of the sensible heat equation on the error of prediction.	120
Figure 6.3:	Air, surface and calculated sol-air temperature for a dry loess soil at Sde Boqer, July 8-10 2001.	125
Figure 6.4:	Correlation between measured surface temperature and calculated sol-air temperature.	125
Figure 6.5:	Comparison of predicted air temperature at Chesser Street with observed data for two ten-day periods in May 2000.	127
Figure 6.6:	Plot of error in predicted air temperature during May 2000 against (a) air DBT, (b) cloud cover, (c) wind direction, d) wind speed, e) global radiation and f) time of day.....	128
Figure 6.7:	Comparison of predicted air temperature at Chesser Street with observed data for two ten-day periods in November 2000.	129
Figure 6.8:	Comparison of predicted air temperature at Chesser Street with observed data for two ten-day periods in March 2000.	130
Figure 6.9:	Sample results of the 'reverse simulation' for the first 10 days of May 2000: a) Base temperature calculated by means of the 'forward' and 'reverse' simulations, and b) Air temperature at the BoM reference site predicted from measured air temperature at Chesser Street.....	134
Figure 6.10:	Predicted difference in air temperature between Chesser Street (north-south axis) and French Street (east-west axis) based on May 2000 data. Positive values indicate Chesser Street is warmer.	135

Figure 6.11: Measured difference in air temperature between Chesser Street (north-south axis) and French Street (east-west axis), ensemble data for May 2000. Positive values indicate Chesser Street is warmer.....	136
Figure 7.1: Diurnal pattern of the Adelaide urban heat island, simulated for an entire year using CAT. (Input data are for 1987).....	139
Figure 7.2: Seasonal pattern of the urban heat island of Adelaide simulated by CAT on the basis of meteorological data for 1987.....	139
Figure 7.3: Floor plan of the building used in the EnerWin simulation. (Image adapted from the EnerWin input screen. Hatch patterns represent different functional zones, e.g. office, classroom, laboratory, corridor, toilets.).....	140

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	Sample of albedo values assigned to various land use classifications by several researchers (<i>Sailor and Fan, 2002</i>).....	12
Table 2.2:	Formulae to calculate the atmospheric emissivity of clear skies ϵ_a (<i>Oke, 1987, p. 374</i>).....	15
Table 2.3:	Values of the coefficients used in equations 2.12 and 2.13 above to compensate for the effect of clouds on long wave radiation in the atmosphere (<i>Oke, 1987, p. 374</i>).....	16
Table 2.4:	Values of the coefficients used in the objective hysteresis model to describe storage heat flux in several classes of surface types (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 2002</i>).....	21
Table 2.5:	Values of the α and β parameters (<i>Hanna & Chang, 1992</i>).....	29
Table 2.6:	The vertical wind profile for different terrain types (<i>Davenport, 1965</i>).....	30
Table 2.7:	Typical roughness length of homogeneous surfaces (<i>Wieringa 1993</i>).....	35
Table 2.8:	Typical roughness properties of homogeneous zones in urban areas (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 1998a</i>).....	37
Table 2.9:	Average annual anthropogenic heat flux densities (<i>Oke, 1988b</i>).....	46
Table 3.1:	Definitions of mixing regimes between canopy layer and roughness sublayer.....	83
Table 5.1:	Seasonal variations in the intensity of the nocturnal urban heat island.....	103
Table 5.2:	Seasonal variations in the intensity of the daytime urban cool island.....	106
Table 6.1:	Coefficients of the OHM model for various surface types (<i>Grimmond and Oke, 1999c</i>).....	114
Table 6.2:	Coefficients of the OHM model for various surface types used in the CAT for Adelaide.....	115
Table 6.3:	Values of the moisture availability parameter α for urban and reference sites in Adelaide, on a monthly basis. (Long term averages for rainfall and pan evaporation were obtained from The Australian Bureau of Meteorology, Kent Town station.).....	116
Table 6.4:	Values of the coefficient of turbulent exchange m for different turbulence classes.....	117
Table 6.5:	Sensitivity analysis statistics.....	121
Table 6.6:	Comparison of predicted and observed monthly temperature data.....	131
Table 6.7:	Statistical evaluation of the predicted air temperature at the urban site.....	131
Table 6.8:	Statistics of 'reverse' simulation for prediction of air temperature at BoM site from measured urban air temperature.....	133

Table 7.1:	Effect of applying CAT to climate input data for EnerWin simulation of peak power requirements of typical office building in Adelaide.....	142
Table 7.2:	Effect of applying CAT to climate input data for EnerWin simulation of annual energy consumption of typical office building in Adelaide.....	143

SYMBOLS

The symbols used conform to notation that has become established as a *de facto* standard in most of the recent publications on urban climatology. Most equations have been introduced in the format proposed by the original authors, to allow easy reference to the published reference. This has resulted in some inconsistencies, most notably in the use of the symbol α , which refers to both the absorptivity of a surface and its albedo, as well as appearing in a number of parameterisation schemes. To avoid confusion, each of the equations presented in the text is followed by a list of the symbols used.

Greek capital letters

symbol	quantity	SI units
Δ	net change in a quantity	
X	ratio of total surface area to plan area of street canyon	
Ψ_m	stability function	

Greek lower-case letters

symbol	quantity	SI units
α	surface albedo absorptivity of a surface	
β	Bowen ratio (Q_H/Q_E) empirical correction constant in latent heat expression	
γ	psychrometric constant	Pa K ⁻¹
ε	surface emissivity	
θ	potential temperature	K
κ	thermal diffusivity of a substance	m ² s ⁻¹
λ	wavelength	m
λ_p	plan area index	
λ_f	frontal area index	
μ	thermal admittance	J m ⁻² s ^{-1/2} K ⁻¹
ρ	density of a substance reflectivity of a surface	kg m ⁻³
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	W m ⁻² K ⁻⁴
τ	transmissivity of a material	
ψ	view factor	

Roman capital letters

symbol	quantity	SI units
A'	lot area	m ²
A*	silhouette area	m ²
C	heat capacity of a substance	J m ⁻³ K ⁻¹
C _D	coefficient of drag	
E	evapotranspiration	kg m ⁻² s ⁻¹
F	anthropogenic water vapour release	mm, kg m ⁻² s ⁻¹
H	canyon height	m
I	pipied water supply per unit horizontal area	mm, kg m ⁻² s ⁻¹
K	short wave radiation	W m ⁻²
K*	net short wave radiation	W m ⁻²
K↓	incoming short wave radiation	W m ⁻²
K↑	reflected short wave radiation	W m ⁻²
L	long wave radiation	W m ⁻²
L	Obukhov length	m
L*	net long wave radiation	W m ⁻²
L↓	incoming long wave radiation from the atmosphere	W m ⁻²
L↑	outgoing long wave radiation from a surface	W m ⁻²
P	total atmospheric pressure	Pa
Pr	Prandtl Number	
Q	heat energy	J
Q*	net all-wave radiation flux density	W m ⁻²
Q _A	horizontal energy transport in the air per unit horizontal area	W m ⁻²
Q _E	turbulent latent heat flux density	W m ⁻²
Q _F	anthropogenic heat flux density	W m ⁻²
Q _S	sub-surface (storage) heat flux density	W m ⁻²
Q _H	turbulent sensible heat flux density	W m ⁻²
R ⁰	total hemispherical radiation emitted by an object	W m ⁻²
Re	Reynolds Number	
Ri	Richardson Number	W m ⁻²
R _g	proportion of surface covered by vegetation	
T	temperature	K, °C
W	canyon width	m
Z _H	building height	m

Roman lower-case letters

symbol	quantity	SI units
a_i	coefficients of the OHM model	
c	specific heat	$\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
d	zero plane displacement	m
e	vapour pressure	Pa
g	acceleration due to gravity	m s^{-2}
h	surface heat exchange coefficient	$\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$
k	von Karman constant	m
k	thermal conductivity	$\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$
m	mixing coefficient	
n	fraction of sky covered by clouds (tenths)	
p	precipitation	mm
r	thermal resistance	$\text{W}^{-1} \text{m}^2 \text{K}^1$
s	slope of the saturation vapour vs. temperature curve	Pa K^{-1}
t	time	s
u	horizontal wind speed	
	cross canyon component of wind	m s^{-1}
u_*	friction velocity	m s^{-1}
v	along canyon component of wind	m s^{-1}
w	vertical wind speed	m s^{-1}
z	vertical distance	m
z_0	roughness length	m
z_d	zero plane displacement	m

Common subscripts

symbol	quantity
a	air
c	convection
dif	diffuse
dir	direct
r	rural
	radiation
s	sky
u	urban

ABSTRACT

Knowledge of site-specific conditions is essential for the development of an architectural design that responds to the local environment. However, while meteorological data are recorded by the weather service in stations that are assumed to be representative of the surrounding region, generally no account is made of changes in local conditions caused by urban development – though these may be substantial.

Micro-climate in city streets can be predicted by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) with fine spatial resolution. However, CFD requires extremely detailed input, involves long computation times and is thus limited to simulating short periods. The aim of this project was to create a model capable of simulating weather conditions for extended periods, with simplified inputs and less detailed, yet accurate, outputs.

The CAT (Canyon Air Temperature) computer model predicts site-specific air temperature in a city street based on data from a rural reference station. In addition to a rudimentary description of the two sites, it requires only inputs measured at standard weather stations, yet is capable of predicting accurately the evolution of air temperature in all weather conditions for extended periods. It simulates the effects of urban geometry on radiant exchange; the effect of moisture availability on latent heat flux; energy stored in the ground and in building surfaces; air flow in the street based on wind above roof height; and the sensible heat flux from individual surfaces and from the street canyon as a whole.

A monitoring program was carried out in Adelaide, South Australia, in which weather conditions were recorded continuously at two streets and at a reference location outside the city centre for nearly a year. In addition to providing data required to calibrate and to validate the CAT model, the measurements provided evidence of a substantial nocturnal heat island in the city, of up to 8.6 °C. The weather records also demonstrate the existence of an urban cool island during the daytime of up to 3.8 °C, the intensity of which is related to the diurnal temperature range.

The CAT model may be used to generate realistic, site-specific temperature inputs for building thermal simulation software, required to produce more accurate modelling of energy use. It may also be used to evaluate the effect on micro-climatic conditions of proposed development at new urban locations.

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any other university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The thesis was supervised by Prof. Terence Williamson (principal supervisor). His perceptive comments and demanding approach were instrumental in guiding this research to its ultimate conclusion, and his meticulous review of the CAT model of the urban canyon, the associated computer code and the text of this thesis were invaluable. Dr. Veronica Soebarto (co-supervisor) assisted with the EnerWin simulation. Prof. Yair Etzion (co-supervisor) provided the original impetus for the research and valuable support and advice over many years.

The project could not have been carried out without the assistance and cooperation of the following people:

Andrew Skinner and his staff at Measurement Engineering Australia assembled the meteorological monitoring systems used in the experiment, and provided valuable advice on the installation and maintenance of the instruments.

Jim Woolcock provided a cherry-picker lift and assisted in the installation, maintenance and dismantling of the instruments at the urban sites.

David Walker and Mark Sharmburg of the Adelaide City Nursery authorised the establishment of a meteorological station at the Nursery, and arranged for periodic access to the instruments for data retrieval and maintenance.

Mr. M. MachLachlan authorised access to Jumbuck House on French Street for the purpose of attaching meteorological instruments.

Stephen Jose and Mr. Andy Tormet, Building Services Manager at Jones Land LaSalle, authorised use of the Australis House (corner of Grenfell St. and Chesser St.) for the purpose of attaching meteorological instruments, and arranged for periodic access to data loggers installed in the building for data retrieval.

The Dept. of Building and Environmental Health Services at the Adelaide City Council authorised the installation of instrumentation in the public realm above Chesser Street and French Street.

Dr. Roger Clay and Neville Wild of the Dept. of Physics at the University of Adelaide provided the cloud detectors used for remote sensing of surface temperature.

Rick Millard of the Australian Bureau of Meteorology provided records of meteorological data from the station at Kent Town.

Staff at the School of Architecture – both academic and administrative – was ever cheerful and ready to help, and provided valuable assistance in countless small ways during my yearlong stay at Adelaide.

Their contribution is acknowledged with great gratitude.

Finally, I would like to extend warm, heartfelt thanks to the Allen and Just families, particularly to Mona, Mary and Rex, who helped make Adelaide a second home to me and to my family during our stay in Australia.

to Pua, Moshe and Kiki