

Predictors of Response to Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Colorectal Cancer

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Adelaide

Department of Surgery

Health Sciences

By

Michelle Liza Thomas

MBBS FRACS

August 2009

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my late father,

Ormond James Thomas

who would have been very proud

and to my mother,

Robyn Arlene Thomas

who is such an inspiration

Abstract

Background:

It is well recognized that not all patients with stage C colorectal cancer (CRC) derive a survival benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy. It would therefore be advantageous to identify factors that define a target group for treatment. It has been suggested that those most likely to benefit are women with proximal tumours. Recent work has suggested microsatellite instability (MSI) may be a useful marker however the limited studies performed are conflicting.

Aim:

To determine if gender, site, tumour histology or microsatellite (MSI) status predict survival benefit from 5FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy in stage C CRC.

Method:

Data was collated on stage C colorectal cancer cases that underwent curative resection over a 20-year period (inclusive of years prior to standard chemotherapy). Pathology was re-evaluated, DNA extracted from the formalin fixed paraffin specimen and MSI status established. Primary endpoint was cancer-related death. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed for univariate analysis and differences analysed by log rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed using Cox proportional hazard model adjusting for age, gender, site, distinct pathological variables and MSI. A compounding effect between these factors and chemotherapy benefit was measured by interaction testing

Results:

811 unselected cases were included in the study. Thirty-seven percent received chemotherapy. Chemotherapy significantly improved cancer-specific survival (HR of dying 0.66 (95% CI 0.52-0.83 p=0.0003). Female gender offered a survival advantage overall (HR 0.81 95% CI 0.68-0.97; p=0.02) however site did not influence outcome (HR 1.03). On interaction testing, gender, site and tumour histology did not significantly influence the survival effect of chemotherapy.

802 cases were included in the MSI analysis of which 77 exhibited MSI. MSI status did not influence prognosis (HR of cancer death 1.45, 95% CI 0.90-2.21; p= 0.13). However, in the non-chemotherapy cohort, MSI conferred a significantly less favourable outcome (HR 1.89, 95%CI 1.13-3.16; p= 0.02). Chemotherapy produced a survival benefit in both the MSI (HR 0.08 95% CI 0.02-0.27; p=<0.0001) and the microsatellite stable (MSS) cohort (HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.47-0.81; p=0.001). On interaction testing, neither compounded the benefit of chemotherapy, however of all the tested parameters, MSI came closest to significance (p=0.08).

Conclusion:

These results suggest that 5FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy for stage C colorectal cannot be targeted using gender, tumour site, histological characteristics or MSI.

Short Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background.....	2
1.2	Aims	5
1.3	Thesis plan.....	8
2	Literature review	9
2.1	Overview	10
2.2	Colorectal Cancer General	11
2.3	Familial CRC.....	13
2.4	Prognostic Indicators in CRC.....	20
2.5	MSI	83
2.6	Chemotherapy.....	138
3	Methods	163
3.1	Overview of Study Methodology	164
3.2	Design.....	164
3.3	Case Identification.....	172
3.4	Database Construction.....	180
3.5	Clinical Information	180
3.6	Pathological Assessment	183
3.7	MSI Analysis	191
3.8	Statistical Analysis	199
3.9	Ethics	202
4	Results - Study Group Characteristics.....	203
4.1	Overview	204
4.2	Inclusions.....	204
4.3	Exclusions.....	204
4.4	Study Population	209
4.5	Tumour Characteristics	212
4.6	Cohort Matching.....	219
4.7	Multiple Cancers	231
4.8	Discussion.....	234
5	Results - MSI Associations.....	239
5.1	Overview	240
5.2	Aim	240
5.3	Specific Method.....	240
5.4	Results	240
5.5	Discussion.....	247

6	Results - Prognostic Influences	251
6.1	Overview	252
6.2	Aim	252
6.3	Specific Method.....	252
6.4	Results	253
6.5	Discussion.....	300
6.6	Prognosis Conclusion	312
7	Results - Factors Influencing Chemotherapy response	315
7.1	Overview	316
7.2	Aim	316
7.3	Specific Method.....	316
7.4	Results	317
7.5	Pathology Variables.....	333
7.6	Discussion.....	351
8	Conclusion	359
8.1	Summary of Findings	360
8.2	Study Limitations	363
8.3	Study Strengths.....	367
8.4	Future Research	367
9	Bibliography.....	369
10	Appendix	383

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Aims	5
1.2.1	General Aim	5
1.2.2	Specific Aims	5
1.2.2.1	<i>Prognostic Influences</i>	5
1.2.3	Chemotherapy Response	6
1.2.4	Secondary Aim	7
1.2.4.1	<i>MSI associations</i>	7
1.3	Thesis plan.....	8
2	Literature review	9
2.1	Overview.....	10
2.2	Colorectal Cancer General	11
2.2.1	Epidemiology	11
2.2.2	Aetiology	12
2.2.3	Pathology.....	12
2.2.4	Survival.....	13
2.3	Familial CRC	13
2.3.1	Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP)	13
2.3.2	Other Polyposis Syndromes	14
2.3.3	HNPCC	14
2.3.4	Clinical Definition	14
2.3.4.1	<i>CRC Risk in HNPCC</i>	16
2.3.4.2	<i>Clinicopathological Characteristics</i>	16
2.3.4.3	<i>Metachronous CRC Rate</i>	17
2.3.4.4	<i>Extracolonic Cancers</i>	17
2.4	Prognostic Indicators in CRC	20
2.4.1	Overview	20
2.4.2	Stage	21
2.4.3	Gender	29
2.4.3.1	<i>Gender Survival Difference</i>	29
2.4.3.2	<i>Cause for Gender Variation in CRC</i>	35
2.4.4	Site	38
2.4.4.1	<i>Definition Proximal/Distal</i>	38
2.4.4.2	<i>Cellular and Functional Differences across the Colon</i>	39
2.4.4.3	<i>Luminal Fluctuations</i>	40
2.4.4.4	<i>Clinical Differences</i>	41
2.4.4.5	<i>Molecular Differences in CRC across the Colon</i>	42
2.4.4.6	<i>Prognosis</i>	45
2.4.4.7	<i>Speculation on Site Influence on CRC</i>	49
2.4.5	Other Clinical Prognostic Factors	50
2.4.5.1	<i>Age</i>	50

2.4.5.2	<i>Obstruction</i>	54
2.4.5.3	<i>Perforation</i>	57
2.4.5.4	<i>Surgeon/Hospital</i>	60
2.4.5.5	<i>Presenting Symptoms and Complications</i>	62
2.4.6	Pathological	65
2.4.6.1	<i>Positive Resection Margin</i>	65
2.4.6.2	<i>Differentiation</i>	65
2.4.6.3	<i>Tumour Type</i>	67
2.4.6.4	<i>Vascular, Lymphatic and Neural Invasion</i>	69
2.4.6.5	<i>Tumour Margin</i>	72
2.4.6.6	<i>Tumour Budding</i>	72
2.4.6.7	<i>Tumour Stroma</i>	74
2.4.6.8	<i>Lymphocytes</i>	74
2.4.6.9	<i>Apical Node</i>	76
2.4.6.10	<i>Lymph Node Harvest</i>	78
2.4.6.11	<i>Size</i>	79
2.4.6.12	<i>Morphology</i>	79
2.4.7	Summary of Clinical and Pathological Prognostic Factors	82
2.5	MSI.....	83
2.5.1	Traditional Understanding of CRC Genetics	83
2.5.2	Historical Aspects of MSI	84
2.5.3	Definition of Microsatellite Instability	87
2.5.4	MMR Genes	91
2.5.4.1	<i>MMR Mutation Rates in CRC</i>	94
2.5.4.2	<i>Mutation Spectrum and Variants in CRC</i>	95
2.5.4.3	<i>Genotype/Phenotype Correlation in HNPCC</i>	98
2.5.4.4	<i>Inheritance of MMR Mutation</i>	99
2.5.4.5	<i>HNPCC – Clinical and Molecular Correlations</i>	100
2.5.5	Methylation.....	106
2.5.5.1	<i>Normal Methylation State</i>	106
2.5.5.2	<i>Methylation in CRC</i>	107
2.5.5.3	<i>Multi Loci/CIMP</i>	108
2.5.5.4	<i>Cause of Methylation</i>	110
2.5.6	Tumourgenesis in MSI	111
2.5.6.1	<i>Precursor Lesions</i>	112
2.5.6.2	<i>Correlation MSI and Traditionally Abnormal Markers</i> ...	112
2.5.6.3	<i>Factors in MSI Tumourgenesis</i>	113
2.5.7	MSI Rates in CRC	116
2.5.8	Clinicopathological Characteristics of MSI CRC	119
2.5.8.1	<i>MSI CRC and Age</i>	119
2.5.8.2	<i>MSI CRC and Gender</i>	121
2.5.8.3	<i>MSI CRC and Site</i>	122
2.5.8.4	<i>MSI and Pathological Factors</i>	126
2.5.8.5	<i>Rate of Synchronous and Metachronous Tumours in Sporadic MSI CRC</i>	131
2.5.9	Variation between MSI Sporadic and Germline	132
2.5.10	Influence of MSI on Prognosis	133
2.6	Chemotherapy.....	138
2.6.1	Adjuvant Chemotherapy.....	138
2.6.2	Effect of Gender on Chemotherapy Effect.....	143

2.6.3	Effect of Site on Chemotherapy Effect	144
2.6.4	Interaction of the MMR system and Chemotherapy	145
2.6.4.1	<i>Role of MMR in Cytotoxic Drug Affect</i>	145
2.6.4.2	<i>Specific Drug Interaction with MMR</i>	147
2.6.4.3	<i>Ionising Radiation</i>	150
2.6.4.4	<i>5FU</i>	150
2.6.4.5	<i>Invitro Studies of 5FU Effect in MMR Deficiency</i>	151
2.6.5	Clinical Studies of MSI Influence on Chemotherapy Effect	153
3	Methods	163
3.1	Overview of Study Methodology	164
3.2	Design.....	164
3.2.1	Eligibility	166
3.2.1.1	<i>Inclusion Criteria</i>	166
3.2.1.2	<i>Exclusion Criteria</i>	166
3.2.2	Cohorts	171
3.2.2.1	<i>Chemotherapy</i>	171
3.2.2.2	<i>Subgroups</i>	171
3.2.3	Outcome Measures	172
3.3	Case Identification.....	172
3.3.1	State Cancer Registry	173
3.3.2	Hospital Registries.....	174
3.3.3	Registry Accuracy	176
3.3.4	Pathology Databases.....	177
3.3.5	Case Sourcing	178
3.3.6	Years Included and Dates for Follow-Up.....	179
3.4	Database Construction	180
3.5	Clinical Information	180
3.5.1	Data.....	180
3.5.2	Cross-Checks	181
3.5.3	Clinical Covariates used in Analysis	182
3.6	Pathological Assessment	183
3.6.1	Process	183
3.6.2	Pathological Parameters Recorded and Definitions	184
3.6.3	Pathology Parameters used in Analysis.....	189
3.7	MSI Analysis	191
3.7.1	Markers used	191
3.7.2	Laboratory Process	191
3.7.2.1	<i>Summary of Laboratory Process</i>	191
3.7.2.2	<i>Sampling and DNA Extraction</i>	192
3.7.2.3	<i>PCR Amplification</i>	194
3.7.2.4	<i>MSI Sequencing</i>	194
3.7.2.5	<i>Gene Scan</i>	195
3.7.2.6	<i>Assessment</i>	198
3.7.2.7	<i>MSI Information Recorded</i>	198
3.7.2.8	<i>MSI for Analysis</i>	198
3.8	Statistical Analysis.....	199
3.8.1	Statistical Analysis	199
3.8.2	Power Calculations	202

3.9	Ethics	202
4	Results - Study Group Characteristics.....	203
4.1	Overview.....	204
4.2	Inclusions.....	204
4.3	Exclusions	204
4.4	Study Population	209
4.5	Tumour Characteristics.....	212
4.5.1	Site	212
4.5.2	Histological Profile.....	212
4.5.3	MSI	213
4.6	Cohort Matching	219
4.6.1	Chemotherapy.....	219
4.6.2	Gender	221
4.6.3	Site	221
4.6.4	MSI	222
4.7	Multiple Cancers	231
4.7.1	Metachronous Cancers	231
4.7.1.1	<i>MSI in Metachronous Cases</i>	232
4.7.2	Synchronous Cancers	232
4.7.2.1	<i>MSI in Synchronous Cases</i>	233
4.8	Discussion	234
5	Results - MSI Associations.....	239
5.1	Overview.....	240
5.2	Aim.....	240
5.3	Specific Method	240
5.4	Results.....	240
5.4.1	Overview	240
5.4.2	Frequencies of Clinicopathological Variable According to MSI	241
5.4.3	Logistic Regression	241
5.4.4	Combinations.....	242
5.5	Discussion	247
6	Results - Prognostic Influences	251
6.1	Overview.....	252
6.2	Aim.....	252
6.3	Specific Method	252
6.4	Results.....	253
6.4.1	Overview	253
6.4.2	Multivariate Analysis	253
6.4.3	Survival.....	258
6.4.4	Gender and Site	263
6.4.5	Pathological Factors	271
6.4.5.1	<i>Staging Criteria</i>	271
6.4.5.2	<i>Differentiation.....</i>	272
6.4.5.3	<i>Type.....</i>	272
6.4.5.4	<i>Neurovascular Invasion</i>	273

6.4.5.5	<i>Invasive Margin</i>	273
6.4.5.6	<i>Budding</i>	274
6.4.5.7	<i>Stroma</i>	274
6.4.5.8	<i>Lymphocytes</i>	274
6.4.5.9	<i>Obstruction</i>	275
6.4.5.10	<i>Perforation</i>	276
6.4.6	MSI	297
6.5	Discussion	300
6.5.1	Gender and Site	300
6.5.2	Pathology	301
6.5.2.1	<i>Pathology Conclusion</i>	309
6.5.3	MSI	311
6.6	Prognosis Conclusion	312
7	Results - Factors Influencing Chemotherapy response	315
7.1	Overview	316
7.2	Aim	316
7.3	Specific Method	316
7.4	Results	317
7.4.1	Overview	317
7.4.2	Site Gender Subgroups	317
7.5	Pathology Variables	333
7.5.1	MSI	343
7.6	Discussion	351
7.6.1	Gender and Site	351
7.6.2	Pathological Factors	352
7.6.3	MSI	353
8	Conclusion	359
8.1	Summary of Findings	360
8.1.1	Prognostic influences	360
8.1.1.1	<i>Aim 1 and 2 - Gender and Site</i>	360
8.1.1.2	<i>Aim 3 - Tumour Histology</i>	360
8.1.1.3	<i>Aim 4 - MSI</i>	361
8.1.2	Predictors of Chemotherapy Response	361
8.1.2.1	<i>Aim 1 and 2 - Gender and Site</i>	361
8.1.2.2	<i>Aim 3 - Tumour Histology</i>	361
8.1.2.3	<i>Aim 4 - MSI</i>	362
8.1.2.4	<i>Chemotherapy Conclusion</i>	362
8.1.3	MSI Associations	362
8.2	Study Limitations	363
8.2.1	Retrospective	363
8.2.2	Prognostic Factors	364
8.2.3	Pathology	364
8.2.4	Power	365
8.2.5	MSI Determination	365
8.2.6	5FU-Based Therapy	366
8.2.7	Rectal Cancers	366
8.2.8	Select Stage	366

8.3	Study Strengths.....	367
8.4	Future Research.....	367
9	Bibliography.....	369
10	Appendix	383

List of Tables

Table 1 Amsterdam criteria	19
Table 2 Lifetime risk of CRC in HNPCC	19
Table 3 Extracolonic cancer rate.....	19
Table 4 Lockhart-Mummery stages	26
Table 5 Dukes Classification	26
Table 6 Aster Coller classification.....	26
Table 7 ACPS Stage.....	26
Table 8 ACPS crude survival by stage compared to Dukes	27
Table 9 Modified ACPS.....	27
Table 10 TNM stage	27
Table 11 International Documentation System.....	28
Table 12 Gender variation in prognosis.....	33
Table 13 Gender variation in prognosis – select groups.....	34
Table 14 Features of Proximal Cancers	44
Table 15 Delattre et al.....	44
Table 16 Prognostic influence of site.....	47
Table 17 Prognosis colon versus rectum	48
Table 18 Prognostic influence of Age	53
Table 19 Prognostic influence of obstruction	59
Table 20 Prognostic influence of perforation	59
Table 21 Prognostic influence of presenting symptoms and complications.....	64
Table 22 Prognostic influence of differentiation	68
Table 23 Prognostic influence of differentiation – colon cases	68
Table 24 Prognostic influence of differentiation – rectal cases	68
Table 25 Prognostic influence of tumour type.....	68
Table 26 Prognostic influence of neurovascular invasion	71
Table 27 Prognostic influence of growth pattern of margin	71
Table 28 Prognostic influence of lymphocytes.....	71
Table 29 Prognostic influence of apical nodal status.....	77
Table 30 Prognostic Influence of Tumour Size	81
Table 31 Mismatch repair genes	93

Table 32 Amsterdam and mutation correlation	104
Table 33 Rate of MSI in Amsterdam cases	104
Table 34 Amsterdam criteria in mutation positive cases	104
Table 35 Bethesda Criteria.....	105
Table 36 Revised Bethesda criteria.....	105
Table 37 Rates of mutation of other factors in MSI vs MSS.....	115
Table 38 MSI rate in sporadic CRC.....	117
Table 39 MSI rates in select groups.....	118
Table 40 Age variation MSI and MSS.....	123
Table 41 Proximal location in MSI cases	124
Table 42 MSI rate per site.....	125
Table 43 Rate of poor differentiation in MSI	128
Table 44 Mucinous type MSI	128
Table 45 Lymphocytes in MSI	128
Table 46 MSI Prognosis – Unadjusted studies	136
Table 47 MSI Prognosis – Multivariate studies.....	137
Table 48 Chemotherapy/MSI trials.....	162
Table 49 Exclusion criteria	170
Table 50 Standard Adjuvant chemotherapy regimen.....	170
Table 51 Histological parameters	190
Table 52 DNA buffers	193
Table 53 Exclusions	208
Table 54 Age.....	210
Table 55 Follow- up.....	211
Table 56 Deaths	211
Table 57 Gender distribution	211
Table 58 Adjuvant therapy.....	211
Table 59 Site	215
Table 60 Histology parameters	216
Table 61 Full panel MSI results.....	218
Table 62 Chemo vs non-chemo cohort	223
Table 63 Subsite Gender distribution	224
Table 64 Chemotherapy/subsite.....	224
Table 65 Chemo nodal status correlation pre- and post-standard chemotherapy	224

Table 66 Chemo vascular invasion correlation pre- and post-standard chemotherapy	224
Table 67 Extramural vascular associations.....	225
Table 68 Mural vascular associations	225
Table 69 Chemo peritumoral lymphocyte correlation to year	225
Table 70 Associations with peritumoral lymphocytes.....	225
Table 71 Gender cohort comparison.....	226
Table 72 Female CRC associations	227
Table 73 Site cohort variations	228
Table 74 Subsite Gender variations	229
Table 75 Associations of site	229
Table 76 MSI/MSS cohorts	230
Table 77 MSI/MSS cohort comparison	244
Table 78 All variables - associations with MSI	245
Table 79 Clinical associations with MSI	245
Table 80 Female gender associations	245
Table 81 Site gender correlation with MSI.....	246
Table 82 Histological predictive combinations	246
Table 83 Clinical and histological predictive combinations.....	246
Table 84 Covariates for multivariate analysis	254
Table 85 Initial step multivariate analysis – entry of all variables	255
Table 86 Significant variables at last step.....	256
Table 87 Non significant variables	256
Table 88 Significant variables- overall survival,	257
Table 89 Non significant variables – overall survival	257
Table 90 5-year survival in site gender subgroups	264
Table 91 Gender site subgroup numbers	264
Table 92 Pathological factors significantly affecting cancer-specific survival	277
Table 93 Pathological factors not affecting survival	277
Table 94 5-year survival according to pathological factors.....	278
Table 95 5-year overall survival according to gender site and chemotherapy	322
Table 96 Chemotherapy effect on site gender subgroups	322
Table 97 Interaction between pathological variables and chemotherapy effect	335
Table 98 Cancer deaths vs no cancer deaths.....	336

Table 99 Predictors of cancer death within chemotherapy cohort, significant variables	337
Table 100 Predictors of cancer death within chemotherapy cohort, non-significant variables	337
Table 101 Prognostic subgroup numbers.....	342
Table 102 5-year overall survival depending on MSI and chemotherapy	350
Table 103 Adjusted analysis for MSI effect on chemotherapy survival response.....	350

List of Figures

Figure 1 Gene Scan	196
Figure 2 Computerised output from genescan	197
Figure 3 Age distribution	210
Figure 4 Study group overall survival	259
Figure 5 Study group cancer-specific survival	259
Figure 6 Chemotherapy effect on overall survival	260
Figure 7 Chemotherapy effect on cancer-specific survival	260
Figure 8 Effect of radiotherapy on overall survival.....	261
Figure 9 Effect of radiotherapy on cancer-specific survival.....	261
Figure 10 Effect of radiotherapy on overall survival of rectal cases	262
Figure 11 Effect of radiotherapy on cancer-survival of rectal cases	262
Figure 12 Overall survival by gender	265
Figure 13 Cancer-specific survival by gender	265
Figure 14 Overall survival according to site.....	266
Figure 15 Cancer-specific survival according to site.....	266
Figure 16 Overall survival per site subgroup.....	267
Figure 17 Cancer-specific survival per site subgroup.....	268
Figure 18 Overall survivals per site gender subgroups.....	269
Figure 19 Cancer-specific survivals per site gender subgroups.....	270
Figure 20 Overall survival by T stage.....	279
Figure 21 Cancer-specific survival by T stage	279
Figure 22 Cancer-specific survival according to muscularis propria breach.....	280
Figure 23 Cancer-specific survival according to N stage	280
Figure 24 Cancer-specific survival if only micrometastases in LN.....	281
Figure 25 Overall survival effect of differentiation	282
Figure 26 Cancer-specific survival effect of differentiation.....	282
Figure 27 Overall survival according to tumour type	283
Figure 28 Cancer-specific survival according to tumour type	284
Figure 29 Cancer-specific survival if mucinous component	285
Figure 30 Effect of extravascular invasion on overall survival	286
Figure 31 Effect of extravascular invasion on cancer-specific survival	286

Figure 32 Effect of perineural invasion on overall survival	287
Figure 33 Effect of perineural invasion on cancer-specific survival	287
Figure 34 Effect of mural vascular invasion on overall survival.....	288
Figure 35 Effect of mural vascular invasion on cancer-specific survival.....	288
Figure 36 Effect of infiltrating margin on overall survival.....	289
Figure 37 Effect of infiltrating margin on cancer-specific survival	289
Figure 38 Effect of budding on overall survival	290
Figure 39 Effect of budding on cancer-specific survival	290
Figure 40 Effect of stroma type on overall survival	291
Figure 41 Effect of stroma type on cancer-specific survival	291
Figure 42 Effect of peritumoral lymphocytes on overall survival	292
Figure 43 Effect of peritumoral lymphocytes on cancer-specific survival	292
Figure 44 Effect of crohn“s like lymphocytes on overall survival.....	293
Figure 45 Effect of crohn“s-like lymphocytes on cancer-specific survival	293
Figure 46 Effect of TILs on overall survival	294
Figure 47 Effect of TILs on cancer specific survival	294
Figure 48 Influence of obstruction on overall survival.....	295
Figure 49 Influence of obstruction on cancer-specific survival.....	295
Figure 50 Influence of perforation of tumour on overall survival	296
Figure 51 Influence of perforation of tumour on cancer-specific survival	296
Figure 52 Influence of MSI on overall survival.....	298
Figure 53 Influence of MSI on cancer-specific survival	299
Figure 54 Effect of gender on chemotherapy survival response.....	320
Figure 55 Effect of gender on chemotherapy cancer-specific survival response	321
Figure 56 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender on overall survival	323
Figure 57 Non-chemotherapy cohort influence of gender on overall survival	323
Figure 58 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender on cancer-specific survival ...	324
Figure 59 Non-chemotherapy cohort influence of gender on cancer-specific survival	324
Figure 60 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of site on overall survival.....	325
Figure 61 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of site on cancer-specific survival	326
Figure 62 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender and site on overall survival ...	327
Figure 63 Chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender and site on cancer-specific survival.....	328

Figure 64 Non-chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender and site on overall survival	329
Figure 65 Non-chemotherapy cohort, influence of gender and site on cancer specific survival.....	330
Figure 66 Chemotherapy effect for men/proximal cancers	331
Figure 67 Chemotherapy effect for men/distal cancers	331
Figure 68 Chemotherapy effect for women/proximal cancers	332
Figure 69 Chemotherapy effect for women/distal cancers	332
Figure 70 Chemotherapy effect if N1 nodal status	338
Figure 71 Chemotherapy effect if N2 nodal status	338
Figure 72 Chemotherapy effect according to margin	339
Figure 73 Chemotherapy effect if extravascular invasion	339
Figure 74 Chemotherapy effect if perforation	340
Figure 75 Prognostic groups cancer-specific survival –good prognosis	341
Figure 76 Prognostic groups cancer-specific survival –poor prognosis	341
Figure 77 Cancer-specific survival according to MSI chemotherapy subgroups	345
Figure 78 Survival MSI vs MSS groups within non-chemotherapy cohort.....	346
Figure 79 Survival MSI vs MSS groups within chemotherapy cohort.....	347
Figure 80 Chemotherapy effect within MSS group	348
Figure 81 Chemotherapy effect within MSI group.....	349

List of Abbreviations

5FU	5-fluorouracil
ACPS	Australian clinicopathological stage
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
APC	Abnormal in polyposis coli
Ams	Amsterdam criteria
C	Colon
C/R	Colon/rectum
CA	Cancer specific survival
CIMP	CpG island methylator phenotype
CpG	Cytosine phosphate bonded to guanine
CRC	Colorectal cancer
DCC	Deleted in colorectal cancer
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
FAP	Familial polyposis coli
FFPE	Formalin fixed paraffin embedded
GIT	Gastrointestinal
GITSG	Gastrointestinal Study Group
HNPPCC	Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer
HR	Hazard ratio
ICG	International Collaboration Group
IDL	Insertion/deletion loop
IDS	International documentation system
IGF	Insulin like growth factor
JPS	Juvenile polyposis coli
LN	Lymph node
LOH	Loss of heterozygosity
MGMT	O6-methylguanine methyltransferase
MMR	Mismatch repair
MNNG	N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine
MSI	Microsatellite instability
MSI-H	Microsatellite instability high
MSI-L	Microsatellite instability low

MSS	Microsatellite stable
MV	Multivariate
n	Number
N/S	Not stated or specified
NA	Not assessable
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NS	Not significant
O/C	Outcome
OR	Odds ratio
OS	Overall survival
PJS	Pertz Jegher Syndrome
R	Rectal
RR	Relative risk
Ref	Reference
RER	Replication error
SIG	Significant difference detected
TGF	Transforming growth factor
TILs	Tumour infiltrating lymphocytes
TME	Total mesorectal excision
TS	Thymidate synthetase
UICC	International Union Against Cancer
uk	Unknown
UV	Univariate

Declaration

I declare that this work contains no material that has been accepted for award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Michelle Thomas and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to provision of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the library catalogue, the Australian Digital Thesis Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the university to restrict access for a period of time.

Michelle Thomas

Acknowledgements

I am extremely grateful to all those that helped me in the preparation of this thesis. I wish to thank the following people in particular.

Supervisors

My supervisors for their enormous support, time, vision and patience.

Mr James Moore for his considerable time commitment, clear thinking and thoroughness.

Mr Peter Hewett for his ideas, humour and encouragement.

Dr Barry Iacopetta for his ideas and inspiration.

Laboratory

Dr Andrew Ruszkiewicz for his commitment to the massive task of re-evaluating all the pathology and making it an enjoyable and educational process.

To his laboratory staff for their assistance in slide processing, in particular Kay and Connie.

Mr Graeme Bennett and Mr Stuart Phyllis for teaching me the molecular biology laboratory methods and assisting with the DNA processing.

Department of surgery

Mr Neville DeYoung for always being helpful and available for administration matters

Ms Jenny Myers for her very valuable assistance in many matters, particularly formatting

Prof. Glyn Jamieson for his ability to understand the core of any issue and raise pertinent questions that enriched the thesis

And all the departmental staff whose assistance was invaluable and who provided a pleasant and supportive environment in which to work.

Other organizations

State Cancer Registry staff for assistance in collating state data.

RAH Cancer Registry staff for making available the hospital database

Hospital medical record staff for finding the numerous casenotes required, many of which had to be retrieved from archives.

Financial Assistance

University of Adelaide for an academic scholarship

Tyco Australia for the CSSA Scholarship, the funds of which contributed to the thoroughness of this study by funding much of the laboratory work

Statistics

Ms Kristen Willson, Ms Emmae Ramsay and Ms Janine Jones for their assistance in the statistical analysis of data.

Editorial

Mr Phil Thomas for correcting my English and grammar.

Other

Mr Mark Killingback – for his interest and encouragement upon my winning the award given in his name.

And last but not least my lovely family Ben, Oliver and Matilda Angel for enduring the process.

Presentations

Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI) Annual Conference 2006

Is Microsatellite Instability a Useful Molecular Marker to Target Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer?

American Society of Colon and Rectum Surgeons (ASCRS) Annual Conference 2006

Is Microsatellite Instability a Useful Molecular Marker to Target Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer?

Annual Scientific Congress, Royal Australasian College of Surgeons 2005

Is Microsatellite Instability a Useful Molecular Marker to Target Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer?

Awarded the Mark Killingback prize

Annual Scientific Congress, Royal Australasian College of Surgeons 2005

Pathological Predictors of Outcome in Colorectal Cancer

Annual Scientific Congress 2004

The Influence of Gender and Site on the Response to Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer