Ecology and movements of the Australian Bustard *Ardeotis australis* in a dynamic landscape



Mark Ziembicki

School of Earth & Environmental Sciences University of Adelaide

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

August 2009

I've wandered the world in search of life: bird by bird I've come to know the earth: discovered where fire flames aloft: the expenditure of energy and my disinterestedness were rewarded, even though no one paid me for it, because I received those wings in my soul and immobility never held me down — Pablo Neruda

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	х
Abstract	xv
Declaration	xvii
Acknowledgements	xviii

Chapter 1.	Talking Turkey: Introduction
OF NED 41	

GENERAL INTRODUCTION	3
AVIAN MOVEMENT STRATEGIES	5
Terminology and general concepts	5
Organisational level	7
Types of dispersive movements	8
Modifying terms	10
Classification of movements	
A conceptual framework for classifying movements	12
Regulatory mechanisms and drivers of extensive movements	16
Evolution of movement strategies	17
The Australian avifauna	19
Future directions	
BIOLOGY OF THE AUSTRALIAN BUSTARD	24
The Otididae Family	24
Description	24
Status and Distribution	25
Habitat preferences	
Feeding ecology and diet	26
Social structure and breeding biology	26
Movements and ranging habits	27
A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF TROPICAL SAVANNAS	28
Australia's tropical savannas	29
Channel Country	35
OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY	36
Scope and objectives	36
Synopsis and general aims of chapters	36
Limitations and caveats	

Chapter 2. Monitoring continental movement patterns of the Australian Bustard through community-based surveys and remote sensing

INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	43
Study Area	43
Mail survey of rangeland users	43
Data analyses	47
RESULTS	
Survey responses	47
Summary of mail survey data	
Bustard abundance and rainfall	
DISCUSSION	
Data quality: caveats and interpretation issues	58
Bustard distribution and movement patterns	58
Utility of mail surveys and further research	61

39

3

Chapter 3. Habitat characteristics and food resource availability in relation to season, fire and land use at two contrasting sites in the tropical savannas 63

INTRODUCTION	64
METHODS	
Study sites	
Sampling design	77
Data analyses	
RESULTS	
Habitat characteristics	
Availability of food resources	
DISCUSSION	

Chapter 4. Comparisons of survey methods and seasonal dynamics of bustard populations at two contrasting sites in Australia's northern savannas

INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	101
Study sites	
Bustard surveys	
Estimates of bustard abundance	
Data analysis	
Short-term response to fire	
RESULTS	
Detectibility of bustards	
Comparison of survey methods	
Seasonal abundance estimates	
Relative abundance of males and females	
Relative abundance in other regions	
Resource availability and bustard abundance	
Group size	
Response to a fire at Kidman Springs	
DISCUSSION	
Survey methodologies and implications for future monitoring	
Bustard abundance	
Short term response to fire	

Chapter 5. Habitat use and local ranging behaviour of the bustard in northern Australia

INTRODUCTION	130
METHODS	
Study sites	
Trapping procedures	
Radio and satellite telemetry	
Range assessment	
Data analysis	
RESULTS	
Effect of sample size on breeding range estimates	
Breeding season range size and habitat use	
Seasonal ranging and habitat use	
DISCUSSION	
Caveats and study limitations	
Home ranges	
Habitat use	

97

129

Chapter 6. Diet and activity patterns of the Australian Bustard in the tropical savannas of northern Australia 167

INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	
Diet analysis	
Activity budgets	
RESULTS	
Diet	
Activity patterns	
DISCUSSION	
Diet of the Australian Bustard	
Activity patterns	
V I	

Chapter 7. Lekking behaviour, display site selection and fidelity by the Australian Bustard in the tropical savannas

INTRODUCTION	196
METHODS	198
Study areas	198
Definitions of terms	198
Data collection	198
Habitat characteristics of display sites	199
Data analysis	
Satellite tracking of a mature male	203
RESULTS	
Distribution of display sites	
Habitat characteristics of display sites	
Satellite tracking of displaying male	
Fidelity to display sites and habitat characteristics	
Conformity to lekking behaviour	
DISCUSSION	
Distribution of display sites and lek definition	
Characteristics of display sites	
Flexibility of the mating system	221

Chapter 8. An exploratory study of the movements of the Australian Bustard as determined by satellite telemetry

INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	
Study regions	
Definitions of terms used	
Satellite telemetry	
Data summaries and analysis	
Responses to environmental factors	
RESULTS	
Occurrence of fires	
Movements of individuals	
Distances moved in relation to rainfall variability	
DISCUSSION	
Movement patterns and environmental cues	
Classification of observed movements	

195

223

Chapter 9. Synthesis & conservation implications	265
SUMMARY AND SYNTHESIS OF SALIENT FINDINGS	
Variable movement strategies	
Population dynamics	
Open plains are preferred in the early dry season	
Females range further locally than do males	
Bustards eat almost anything but do exhibit certain preferences	
Male bustards prefer sex to eating	
Males display in open areas and exhibit fidelity to leks	
Flexibility and opportunism are key	
CONSERVATION IMPLICATIONS.	
Primary threats	
Specific conservation challenges	
A way forward?	

References	279
Appendices	297

List of Tables

Table 1.1:	Types of nomadic movements in relation to prevailing local environmental conditions and knowledge of movement destination or direction.	. 9
Table 1.2:	Indicative values for range stability (RSI) and realised mobility index (RMI) for individuals across a wide range of movement strategies following natal dispersal. Indices for the geographic and breeding range are contrasted.	14
Table 1.3:	Summary of movement terminology employed in this study and as used by others in the literature	15
Table 2.1:	Characteristics of regional groupings	44
Table 2.2:	A list of the original set of explanatory variables used in the GLM analysis to assess Australian Bustard occurrence in relation to primary productivity at intra-regional scales, for two Queensland regions.	46
Table 2.3:	Survey response rates by State and Territory.	47
Table 2.4:	Number of useable survey responses for data summaries and modelling analyses for Australian Bustards by region	47
Table 2.5:	Generalized Linear Modelling of bustard abundance against rainfall in regions in years since 1990	53
Table 2.6:	GLM of bustard numbers within a region in relation to rainfall in adjacent regions	56
Table 2.7:	GLM of bustard numbers against NDVI and vegetation structural characteristics in 'Central Qld'	57
Table 2.8:	GLM of bustard numbers against NDVI and vegetation structural characteristics in 'Central Sth Qld'	57
Table 3.1:	Summary of habitat characteristics and food resources sampled according to sampling period at Kidman Springs and the Douglas-Daly Research Farm during 2003 and 2004	77
Table 3.2:	Mean cover (of three height size classes), density and mean canopy height of woody vegetation (±SE) in three pre-defined habitats at Kidman Springs.	83
Table 3.3:	Summary of ANOSIM results	84
Table 3.4:	List of plant species recorded at Kidman Springs in the early dry season (May 2004), including frequency of occurrence among 29 sampling plots at the site	85
Table 3.5:	Number of plots (n=9 per habitat) with ripe fruits of main food plants at Kidman Springs within three habitats in May 2004.	87
Table 3.6:	Generalized linear modeling statistics of grass-layer arthropod abundance sampled at four different times of the year in three habitats that varied in fire history at Kidman Springs	
Table 3.7:	unes of the year in three habitats that valied in the history at Kiuman Springs.	88
	Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance.	
	Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod	88
Table 3.8:	Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance. Two-way ANOVA results assessing grass layer arthropod abundance between the two main study	88 89
Table 3.8: Table 3.9:	 Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance. Two-way ANOVA results assessing grass layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** 	88 89 89
Table 3.8: Table 3.9: Table 3.1(Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance. Two-way ANOVA results assessing grass layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Two-way ANOVA results assessing total ground-layer arthropod abundance between the two main 	88 89 89 92
Table 3.8: Table 3.9: Table 3.10 Table 3.11	 Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance. Two-way ANOVA results assessing grass layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Two-way ANOVA results assessing total ground-layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Two-way ANOVA results assessing total ground-layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results for total ground layer arthropod abundance compared between 	88 89 89 92 92
Table 3.8: Table 3.9: Table 3.10 Table 3.11 Table 3.12	 Model parameters for the preferred model (HABITAT+PERIOD) of grass-layer arthropod abundance. Two-way ANOVA results assessing grass layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Two-way ANOVA results assessing total ground-layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Two-way ANOVA results assessing total ground-layer arthropod abundance between the two main study sites and four periods of the year. (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results for total ground layer arthropod abundance compared between four periods of the year. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001) Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results for total ground layer arthropod abundance compared between four periods of the year. (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001) Tukey HSD post-hoc tests results for total ground layer arthropod abundance for the interaction between four periods of the year and two sites (DD = DDRF, KS = Kidman Springs) (P = * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001). 	88 89 92 92 92

Table 4.1:	Models evaluated for deriving density estimates at Kidman Springs and the DDRF; null model with no covariates (NULL), grass height (GRASS), shrub/tree density (DEN) and group size (GROUP).	104
Table 4.2:	Summary statistics for best models estimating bustard density during individual survey periods at the DDRF.	11(
Table 4.3:	Summary statistics for best models estimating bustard density during individual survey periods at Kidman Springs.	11(
Table 4.4:	Relative abundance of bustards measured as number sighted per kilometre driven from the southern Tanami Desert and Barkly Tableland, including comparable estimates for the relevant periods from the DDRF and Kidman Springs.	116
Table 4.5:	Comparisons of bustard density estimates across seasons at each site in relation to resource variables based on Spearman rank correlation tests. No results were significant at p = 0.05	11
Table 4.6:	Two-way ANOVA results comparing group size between site and time of year (period) and results of a Tukey HSD post-hoc test (below) demonstrating differences in group size between four periods of the year for data pooled between the DDRF and Kidman Springs	
Table 5.1:	Summary characteristics of radio and satellite transmitters deployed on bustards during the study	13:
Table 5.2:	ARGOS accuracy location classes and estimated accuracy range.	13
Table 5.3:	Range estimates for individual bustards (including means and variation for sexes and sites) tracked during the early breeding season at the DDRF and Kidman Springs based on kernel density estimates and MCPs.	14
Table 5.4:	One-way ANOVA results comparing range sizes (n = 18) between sex and site during the early breeding season for two different range estimation techniques (K = kernel estimate; MCP = minimum convex polygon).	14
Table 5.5:	Mean proportions of 95% kernel home ranges and fixes for bustards tracked during the early breeding season, and relative proportions of total availability of habitat types at the study site at Kidman Springs.	14
Table 5.6:	Pairwise comparisons of habitat types used by bustards in the early breeding season as measured by the 95% kernel density estimate in relation to their proportional availability in the study area at Kidman Springs.	14
Table 5.7:	Seasonal home ranges and home range for whole tracking period for two satellite tracked female bustards at Kidman Springs (after duplicate location coordinates removed) based on kernel and MCP estimates including number of location fixes obtained per season	15
Table 5.8:	Three-way ANOVA for each of the home range measures of satellite tracked bustards at Kidman Springs	15
Table 5.9:	Mean proportions of 95% kernel home range areas and fixes for the two bustards tracked between seasons within each major habitat group, and relative proportions of total availability of habitat types at the study site at Kidman Springs.	15
Table 5.10	Pairwise comparisons of habitat types used by bustards tracked between seasons as measured by the 95% kernel density home range estimate in relation to their proportional availability in the study area at Kidman Springs.	
Table 6.1:	Location details of gizzard samples collected for diet analyses in the tropical savannas. Recently burnt refers to whether the habitat in which the individual was harvested had been burnt within a month preceding its collection. Information that was not recorded is denoted by a question mark.	17:
Table 6.2:	Behaviours of the Australian Bustard grouped into six general classes	174
	Food items recorded from the gizzards of 33 Australian Bustards and direct field observations (denoted by asterisk). Included is the number and percentage (in brackets) of gizzards containing each food item.	
Table 6.4:	Additional food items consumed by the Australian Bustard recorded in the literature but not the present study (adapted from summaries in Barker and Vestjens 1989 and Marchant and Higgins 1993).	178
Table 6.5:	Food items (in decreasing order of importance) contributing most to the differences between Kimberley and non-Kimberley samples. Average dissimilarity between groups = 57.5%	
Table 6.6:	One-way ANOVA results for comparisons of three measures of food taxa diversity (number of taxa, Pielou's evenness index (J') and Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H')) between sites in the	

Kimberley region versus all others and between sites that were burnt and not burnt. Significant results ($\alpha < 0.05$ level) are indicated in bold	. 183
Table 6.7: Three-way ANOVA results comparing time spent foraging according to season, time of day and sex category and their interactions.	
Table 6.8: Tukey HSD post-hoc test results for analyses presented in Table 6.7	. 186
Table 6.9: Two-way ANOVA results assessing time spent displaying by mature males according to season and time of day and their interaction.	
Table 6.10: Tukey HSD post-hoc test results for analyses presented in Table 6.9	. 187
Table 7.1: List of original display site variables measured or derived at each one hectare sampling plot. Sixteer quadrats were located as a 4 x4 grid within the plot. Mean (±SE) were used for cover estimates	
Table 7.2: Location, persistence and approximate distances from one display site to the next nearest display site at Kidman Springs and the DDRF.	. 206
Table 7.3: Values used to calculate dispersion indices for display site distributions at the DDRF and Kidman Springs and respective conclusions for each site with and without clusters included.	. 207
Table 7.4: ANOSIM for sites based on habitat characteristics. Sample statistic (Global R) = 0.517	. 208
Table 7.5: Comparisons of habitat characteristics between display (D) and non-display (ND) sites at (a) the DDRF and (b) Kidman Springs.	. 209
Table 7.6: Conformity of the Australian Bustard to characteristics identifying lekking species	. 216
Table 8.1: Description of summary statistics presented in <i>Results</i> Table 8.3	. 230
Table 8.2: Number of fires in the Kidman Springs area according to size in three categories of distance from the original release site of individuals 42548 and 47734 between 2004 and 2008	. 233
Table 8.3: Details of satellite tracked bustards and summary of movements. Appendix 1 lists morphological details for each individual when available.	. 234

List of Figures

Figure 1.1:	Geographic, life-time and home range in (a) sedentary, (b) migratory species (individuals or populations) with different life-time range in its breeding and non-breeding ranges and (c) nomadic species (individuals or populations).	. 13
Figure 1.2:	Average rainfall (mm) in the Northern Territory demonstrating the distinct gradient from north to south.	. 30
Figure 1.3:	Annual rainfall variability across Australia with variability increasing from the coastal regions of northern Australia to the arid centre.	. 30
Figure 1.4:	Timing and extent of fires within the tropical savannas during 2003. (Data sourced from DOLA, WA on behalf of the Bushfires Council of the Northern Territory).	. 32
Figure 1.5:	Fire frequency between 1997-2002 in northern Australia. (Data sourced from DOLA, WA on behalf of the Bushfires Council of the Northern Territory).	. 32
Figure 1.6:	Major land tenures of the Northern Territory. (Sourced from the NT Dept. of Environment, Natural Resources, Arts and Sports).	. 34
Figure 1.7:	Land clearing in Australia's northern savannas (from Fox et al. 2001)	.34
Figure 1.8:	The Channel Country bioregion straddles several jurisdictions. Map sourced from The Wilderness Society website http://www.wilderness.org.au/articles/channel-country-rivers	. 35
Figure 2.1:	Patterns of Australian Bustard prevalence by month for each region, measured as the percentage of responses indicating favourable months for bustards in a region (bars).	. 48
Figure 2.2:	Patterns of Australian Bustard breeding by month for each region, measured as the percentage of responses indicating months in which breeding observations are made (breeding observations defined as the sighting of eggs, young or displaying males).	.49
Figure 2.3:	Estimated number of bustards seen on each property in the 12 months preceding the survey according to five categories of abundance	.50
Figure 2.4:	Percentage of properties by region recording bustards as permanent residents or medium or short- term visitors	.51
Figure 2.5:	Factors respondents associated with above average numbers of bustards expressed as a percentage of responses per region	.52
Figure 2.6:	Generalized Linear Modelling of bustard abundance against rainfall in regions in years since 1990.	.54
Figure 2.7:	Generalized Linear Modelling of bustard abundance against rainfall in regions in years since 1990.	.55
Figure 3.1:	Locations of the two main study sites in the Northern Territory. Yellow boundaries denote core study areas at each site	.67
Figure 3.2:	Rainfall during the study period and mean rainfall at Kidman Springs (top) and the DDRF (bottom)	68
Figure 3.3:	Mean maximum and minimum temperatures at Kidman Springs (left) and the Douglas-Daly Research Farm (right) (sourced from the Bureau of Meteorology)	.69
Figure 3.4:	Progressive 'woody weed' infestation (primarily by native <i>Terminalia</i> spp.) of open plains at Kidman Springs; 1968 (top), 1979 (middle) and 1998 (bottom)	.71
Figure 3.5:	Major land units of Kidman Springs (modified from McLeod & Van Cuylenburg, in prep.). Yellow outline denotes core study region.	.72
Figure 3.6	a-I: Photographs depicting the variety of habitats and conditions at the two main study sites	73
Figure 3.7:	Seasonal variation in grass height and cover at Kidman Springs according to three habitat types (BOP = black soil open grassland plain; BOW = black soil open woodland; ROW = loam soil open woodland).	. 81
Figure 3.8:	Seasonal variation in grass height (top left), proportional grass cover for five of the main grass/pasture associations (top right), and grass height and cover for all grass types combined (bottom) at the DDRF during 2003.	. 82

Figure 3.9: Ordination of plots at Kidman Springs according to floristic composition based on habitat (green = open woodland on loam earth soils; light blue = open woodland on alluvial soils; blue = open grassland on alluvial soils) and time since fire (triangle = 1 growing season; square = 3 seasons; circle = 5 seasons).	83
Figure 3.10: Number of plots at Kidman Springs (total n = 27) where ripe fruits from four plant species favoured by bustards where recorded as present during each sampling period.	d 87
Figure 3.11: Mean abundance (with 95% confidence intervals) of grass-layer arthropods per transect in three pre-defined habitat types compared between sampling periods.	89
Figure 3.12: Variation in abundance of all large grass-layer arthropods measured by transects pooled for plots at Kidman Springs (left), and the DDRF (right) during each sampling period between Nov. 2002 and Sept. 2004.	90
Figure 3.13: Mean abundance per plot (with standard error bars) of ground dwelling arthropods between seasons in 2003 across all sampling sites at Kidman Springs (left) and the DDRF (right)	91
Figure 4.1: Location of place names including IBRA regions (underlined) mentioned in text including tracks (red) along which surveys were conducted at the two southern sites.	101
Figure 4.2: Tracks (shown in grey and yellow, and visible in the aerial photograph in the top image) along which vehicle-based surveys were conducted at the DDRF (top) and Kidman Springs (bottom).	103
Figure 4.3: Detection probabilities (with 95% confidence intervals) of bustards during vehicle-based surveys for each survey period at the DDRF (left) and Kidman Springs (right).	
Figure 4.4: Probability of detection of bustards during vehicle-based surveys at the DDRF (left) and Kidman Springs (right) during the early dry season and late dry season (95% confidence intervals included)	108
Figure 4.5: Probability of detection of bustards during vehicle-based surveys in three habitat types at Kidman Springs.	108
Figure 4.6: Relationships between the number of bustards recorded per kilometre driven and the bustard density estimate derived from distance sampling at the DDRF (left) and Kidman Springs (right)	111
Figure 4.7: Bustard abundance at the DDRF (top) and Kidman Springs (bottom) for each survey period between November 2002 and September 2004	112
Figure 4.8: Bustard density estimates at the DDRF (left) and Kidman Springs (right) for the early dry and late dry seasons.	113
Figure 4.9: Bustard density estimates at Kidman Springs according to three habitat categories (BOP – open treeless grassland on black soil; BOW – open woodland on black soil; ROW - open woodland on loam earth soil).	114
Figure 4.10: Proportion of observations of bustards at Kidman Springs in four habitat types in three different seasons	114
Figure 4.11: Number of bustards of each sex per kilometre driven at the DDRF (top) and Kidman Springs (bottom) during each survey period.	115
Figure 4.12: Trends in bustard abundance and selected food resources, habitat characteristics and rainfall (range standardised) at the DDRF.	118
Figure 4.13: Trends in bustard abundance and selected food resource, habitat characteristics and rainfall (range standardised) at Kidman Springs	119
Figure 4.14: Frequency of bustard group size observations according to five size categories for surveys poole according to time of year at the DDRF (left) and Kidman Springs (right)	
Figure 4.15: Response of bustards, measured as absolute number of males, females and total number of individuals, to a fire on a 4.1 km ² plot in open woodland at Kidman Springs in the late dry season of 2002.	
Figure 5.1: The Paxarms [™] Net Projector MK9 used for capturing bustards	132
Figure 5.2: Satellite and radio-telemetry transmitters used during the study (see also Table 5.1)	
Figure 5.3: Backpack harness design with GPS transmitter (top left), a mature male bustard captured at its display site with attached unit (top right), and a female with attached unit (bottom)	
Figure 5.4: Simplified representation of habitat types and the defined study area at Kidman Springs. Note that figure 3.5 provides a more detailed description of habitat unit characteristics	

Figure 5.5:	Number of location fixes in relation to breeding range area based on the MCP estimator for a sub- sample of 15 tracked bustards (females are denoted by red lines, males by blue)	. 142
Figure 5.6:	Estimated home range sizes across different individuals during the breeding season based on: 1) the 95% kernel estimator, and 2) minimum convex polygons, as a function of sample size measured as: a) number of fixes/ individual, and b) number of tracking days/ individual with at least 35 fixes and 15 days respectively.	. 143
Figure 5.7:	Ranges (represented by minimum convex polygons and incorporating all fixes) for bustards tracked for a portion of the early breeding season at Kidman Springs and surrounding areas	. 145
Figure 5.8:	Comparison of home range estimates based on MCPs (yellow line) and kernel density estimator (green-red area) for a sub-sample of individuals at the DDRF.	. 146
Figure 5.9:	Ranges of individual male (M) and female (F) bustards estimated using kernel density models during the early breeding season at Kidman Springs	. 147
Figure 5.10	: Habitat preferences of male (blue) and female (red) bustards during the early breeding season at Kidman Springs, expressed as proportion of each habitat used (± s.e.). Refer to Fig. 5.4 for habitat codes and descriptions.	. 150
Figure 5.11	: Point locations of two female bustards tracked by satellite telemetry in the Kidman Springs region between May 2004 and March 2008.	. 152
Figure 5.12	: Number of GPS fixes per day for two female bustards tracked by satellite telemetry between 27/05/2004 and 10/02/2008.	. 153
Figure 5.13	: Variation in home range sizes (log10 area) within and between seasons at Kidman Springs between 2004 and 2008 measured as (a) 50% kernel (core range), (b) 95% kernel, and (c) minimum convex polygon.	. 155
Figure 5.14	: Proportional habitat use by two tracked female bustards measured by 95% kernel home range estimates in four seasons, including overall proportion of available habitat in the study region	
Figure 5.15	: Seasonal habitat use by bustards at Kidman Springs determined by vehicle-based surveys	. 159
Figure 6.1:	Locations where gizzards were collected in five general regions of northern Australia. The number of gizzards from each region is indicated in brackets	. 170
Figure 6.2:	Percentage of gizzards (n=33) containing each main taxon of food items consumed by bustards	. 178
Figure 6.3:	Percentage of general bustard food categories as a function of total numbers (left) and proportion by dry weight (right) present in 33 gizzards	. 179
Figure 6.4:	Percentage of main invertebrate taxa consumed by bustards as a function of total numbers (left) and proportion by dry weight (right) present in 33 gizzards	. 179
Figure 6.5:	Frequency distribution of arthropod prey lengths consumed by male (n=15) and female (n=5) bustards.	. 180
Figure 6.6:	Three dimensional ordination of all gizzard samples based on abundance of major food taxa (fourth- root transformed abundance data).	. 182
Figure 6.7:	Total number, Evenness (J') and Diversity (H'), including standard errors, of food taxa recorded from 33 gizzards of the Australian Bustard according to region (K = Kimberley; non-K = all other regions) and whether the sample was collected from recently burnt or unburnt habitat	. 183
Figure 6.8:	Percentage of daylight hours allocated to different behaviours by mature male, subordinate male and female bustards at the DDRF during three periods of the year.	. 185
Figure 6.9:	Percentage of time spent displaying by mature male bustards in the late dry and wet seasons according to three periods of the day (blue = late afternoon; red = midday; green = morning). Data were arcsine-transformed. Included are the 95% confidence intervals.	. 187
Figure 7.1:	95% confidence zone of random dispersal (from Fowler and Cohen 1990).	. 202
	Distribution of known display sites at Kidman Springs based on all recorded locations during the breeding seasons between 2002 and 2004, and 2007	
Figure 7.3:	Distribution of display sites at the DDRF based on all recorded locations during the breeding seasons between 2002 and 2004. Note that not all these sites were necessarily used	
Figure 7.4:	Mean number of displaying males encountered per transect during vehicle-based surveys at the DDRF and Kidman Springs (KS) between 2003 and 2004	
Figure 7.5:	An example of two males 'squaring-off' as commonly occurs among males at display clusters	

Figure 7.6:	Ordination of display and non-display sites at the DDRF and Kidman Springs (KS) based on habitat characteristics (listed in Table 7.1 and following removal of redundancies) as measured on a one hectare plot at each site during 2003 and 2004.	. 208
Figure 7.7:	Home range of a satellite tracked male during the non-breeding season (1/4/08 to 31/8/08) based on kernel density analysis.	. 210
Figure 7.8:	Home range of satellite tracked male during the 2007/2008 breeding season (12/12/07 to 31 March 2008) and the 2008/2009 breeding season (1/9/08 to 8/1/09) based on kernel density analysis	. 211
Figure 7.9:	Minimum distance of a satellite tracked male bustard from its display site for each day a fix was made during the entire transmission period from 12/12/2007 to 8/1/2009 (blue histograms)	. 212
Figure 7.10	Mean distance (±SE) of a tracked male from its display site for all display days during the transmission period.	. 213
Figure 7.11	Movements of tracked male bustard relative to the centre of its display site over several consecutive days during early December 2008. Vertical lines separate days	. 213
Figure 7.12	Trends in five key habitat characteristics between used and abandoned display sites at Kidman Springs and the DDRF	. 215
Figure 8.1:	Locations of deployed satellite transmitters in relation to annual rainfall variability.	. 227
Figure 8.2:	Rainfall during the study period (bars) and mean annual rainfall (line) at (a) Cluny Station (south- west Queensland), and (b) Alexandria Station (Barkly Tableland).	. 227
Figure 8.3:	Number of fixes per day for the tracking period of female bustard 42548 at Kidman Springs	. 235
Figure 8.4:	Small (blue line) and medium-scale (red line) movements of female 42548 between 27/5/2004 and 9/9/2008 in the Kidman Springs region.	. 236
Figure 8.5:	Timing of movements and duration of stay at site A (blue blocks) and site B (white blocks) at Kidman Springs during the tracking period of female bustard 42548	. 236
Figure 8.6:	Mean daily movements (line) made according to season based on fixes between consecutive days for all years combined in relation to mean rainfall (bars) between the years 2004 to 2008	. 237
Figure 8.7:	Number of fixes per day for the tracking period of bustard 47734 at Kidman Springs	. 238
Figure 8.8:	Movement paths of female 47734 at Kidman Springs between May 2004 and June 2008 showing fix locations, short (blue line) and medium (red line) scale movements	. 239
Figure 8.9:	Timing of movements and duration of stay at locations in the Kidman Springs area during the tracking period of female 47734.	. 239
Figure 8.10	Mean distance of movements between consecutive days per month (line) by 47734 at Kidman Springs in relation to rainfall (bars)	. 240
Figure 8.11	Synchronous movements of bustards 42548 (red line) and 47734 (blue line) from Area B towards a large fire in the Kidman Springs area on September 8, 2004	. 242
Figure 8.12	Responses of bustard 47734 to fires in the Kidman Springs area	. 243
Figure 8.13	Number of fixes per day for the tracking period of bustard 47735	. 244
Figure 8.14	Movement paths of male 47735 at Kidman Springs between December 2007 and January 2009. Inset shows location of Kidman Springs in northern Australia	. 245
Figure 8.15	Mean distance of movements between consecutive days per month (line) by male 47735 at Kidman Springs in relation to monthly rainfall (bars).	. 245
Figure 8.16	Movements of male 42541 at Kidman Springs between October 2003 and March 2004	. 246
Figure 8.17	Movement path of male 42539 at the DDRF and locations on Tipperary Station (in the north-west denoted by green line) between March and August 2004.	. 247
Figure 8.18	Location and movements of male bustard 42540 in the Barkly Tableland in the Northern Territory between August 2003 and early June 2004, including occurrence of fires in the vicinity during this period.	. 248
Figure 8.19	Movement track and locations by date (points) of 42540 in the Barkly Tableland region in relation to gross primary productivity (GPP) during August 2003, March 2004 and April 2004	. 249
Figure 8.20	Number of fixes per day for the tracking period of bustard 42546	. 250
Figure 8.21	Generalized movement track of female 42546 in western Queensland between 3/10/2005 and 28/12/2006.	. 251

Figure 8.22:	Hourly movement distances by 42546 over 4 days during a long distance northward move in November 2005	252
Figure 8.23:	Mean daily distance moved (line) by month of female 42546 during the tracking period in relation to monthly rainfall (blue bars) at each of the three main destination sites.	253
Figure 8.24:	Monthly locations of bustard 42546 between Oct. 2005 and Nov. 2006 in relation to monthly composite GPP data for south-west Queenland.	
Figure 8.25:	An example of use of highly productive patches and riparian areas in the early wet season (Dec. 05) by female 42546 in an area near Barkly Downs Station in Queensland.	256
Figure 8.26:	Movements of 42546 according to three distance length classes.	256
Figure 8.27:	Maximum distance moved from origin of all seven tracked bustards in relation to an index of annual rainfall variability at origin (see Fig. 8.1 for an explanation for the derivation of the rainfall index).	257

Abstract

Australia's fauna exhibit a range of adaptations to cope with the significant climatic and environmental variability that characterises the Australian continent. Such adaptations include, among others, boombust population fluctuations, diet switching, tolerance of sub-optimal conditions and broad-scale movements, and may combine in different ways to determine an organism's response to prevailing environmental conditions. Among birds, arguably the most significant strategy for coping with change is their mobility. Australia's birds exhibit a variety of movement strategies ranging from regular migration to nomadism and irruptive movements. The predominance of broad-scale dispersive and opportunistic movements, and the flexibility in employment of different movement strategies within and between species, largely distinguish Australian birds from many of their better studied northern hemisphere counterparts. These movements and their drivers, however, remain poorly understood.

The Australian Bustard is representative of a suite of Australian terrestrial birds that employ complex movement strategies and responses to environmental variability. The bustard may be an amenable model for examining broad-scale distribution and movement patterns in relation to dynamic environments because of its ranging habits and widespread distribution, its large size enabling longterm satellite tracking and because it is well known and easily identifiable, making it a suitable candidate for community-based survey efforts. However, any such studies require knowledge of the basic ecology of a focal species. Accordingly, this study aimed to integrate broad-scale analyses of the distribution and movement patterns of bustard with detailed, site-specific ecological studies of the bustard at two sites in Australia's tropical savannas.

A near continental-scale mail survey of rangeland users revealed that the bustard's strongholds are in the northern tropical savannas and that the species employs variable movement strategies across its range. Seasonality of bustard occurrence and sedentariness were a feature of regions characterised by seasonally predictable conditions. Seasonal patterns were also evident in more climatically unpredictable regions, although here they appeared increasingly overlaid by more nomadic or opportunistic movements as a result of longer term variation in rainfall patterns. Survey respondents also noted that bustards were associated with fire, grasshopper outbreaks, crop agriculture and drought.

An analogous study employing satellite telemetry aimed to examine broad-scale movement patterns of individual bustards in regions that differed in their rainfall variability. Although based on a relatively small number of tracked individuals, results generally corresponded to those of the broad-scale mail survey. All individuals in the wet-dry tropics were largely sedentary or made small-scale seasonal or nomadic movements. Two individuals tracked in more climatically variable regions made broader-scale movements, with the individual in the most environmentally variable region moving most.

Complementing these broad-scale analyses were detailed ecological studies of key aspects of the bustard's ecology. Repeated systematic surveys of the bustard at two sites in northern Australia that differed in their land use and 'naturalness' revealed that numbers of bustards were substantially higher in the modified habitat compared with more 'natural' regions. Seasonal patterns in abundance generally reflected findings from the 'Top End' region from mail surveys; there were lows in abundance following the breeding season suggesting post-breeding season dispersive movements, particularly by males. These movements are likely to be intra-regional and made to exploit productive regions across the broader landscape.

Bustards exhibited a general preference for plains and open woodlands on flat terrain. A specific preference for open treeless alluvial plains was evident in the early dry season when favoured food resources were notably higher in these habitats compared to adjacent areas. Gizzard contents collected from across the northern savannas in the mid to late dry season confirmed a broad, omnivorous diet. Nevertheless, specific preferences were evident for particular foods. In general, females favoured smaller prey such as beetles and true bugs, while males preferred larger prey including grasshoppers and mantids. A large proportion of the diet of both sexes also consisted of fruits and seeds.

At both study sites bustards exhibited characteristics consistent with a lek mating system. However, dispersion patterns of displaying males included solitary males at display sites very early and late in the breeding season, exploded leks of several males for most of the breeding period and aggregations of males resembling both exploded and classical leks at peak periods following significant rainfall. Males exhibited fidelity to specific display sites within and between breeding seasons as long as habitat conditions remained favourable. Display sites were in open areas with low grass height and cover. In the early breeding season females ranged further than males primarily in order to assess displaying males on lekking grounds. By comparison, males had circumscribed home ranges centred around display sites. During the breeding season mature displaying males spent considerably more time displaying at the expense of foraging, whereas there were no significant differences in time spent foraging between seasons by females or sub-ordinate males.

Overall, a fundamental feature of the ecology and movements of the Australian Bustard is flexibility. Bustards exhibited various movement strategies and responses to prevailing conditions at both local sites in this study and across their range. The varied threats the species faces and the challenges of flexible responses and movement strategies pose complex conservation and management problems for the species. In closing, I discuss the implications of the findings for the conservation and management of the Australian Bustard and similarly mobile fauna, and address future research directions and opportunities.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of the published work contained within this thesis (as listed below*) resides with the copyright holder of this work.

Mark Ziembicki August 2009

Statement by co-author of published paper

Chapter 2 comprises a jointly authored paper published in *Pacific Conservation Biology*.* This paper was conceived, analyzed and written by Mark with some supervisory input from myself, primarily in the analysis and writing up stages.

I give my permission for an edited version of the paper as presented to be included in this thesis.

John Woinarski August 2009

*Ziembicki, M. and Woinarski, J. C. Z. (2007) Monitoring continental movement patterns of the Australian Bustard *Ardeotis australis* through community-based surveys and remote sensing. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **13**, 128-142

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted while based in Darwin at the Biodiversity Conservation Unit (BCU) of the NT Department of Natural Resources, Environment, the Arts and Sports, and while enrolled at the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Adelaide. It was made possible by the substantial inkind and logistical support of these institutions, particularly of the BCU that provided office space, IT and other logistical support for most of the study.

I am immeasurably grateful and indebted for the support, encouragement and patience of my academic supervisors John Woinarski (BCU) and David Paton (University of Adelaide). Based on a day-to-day basis at the BCU, John provided essential advice and suggestions, and a much appreciated 'home base' and opportunity of working with his team at BCU. Similarly, David for the faith and forbearance of allowing me to chase bustards across the country, the opportunity to work on a variety of projects and valued conversations, support and encouragement over the years. To contribute half of what each have achieved to date for conservation and education over an entire career would be satisfying - I hope I can repay the debt by a meaningful contribution to the bigger picture that both have so admirably and ably worked towards.

I would also specifically like to thank all the staff at the Biodiversity Conservation Unit in Darwin, particularly Irene Rainey, Charmaine Tynan, Craig Hempel, Brooke Rankmore, Owen Price, Alaric Fisher, Brydie Hill, Tony Griffiths, Peter Dostine and Jenni Low Choy for support and specific help at various times. Thank you also to Felicity Watt, Cameron Yates and Andrew Edwards of the NT Bushfires Council for help, particularly with many GIS-related queries.

Financial support for the work was provided by a number of organizations including the Herman Slade Foundation, Land & Water Australia (through the Science and Innovation Awards for Young People in Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry), Stuart Leslie Bird Research Award, Australian Bird Environment Fund, and the Wildlife Conservation Fund (SA Department of Environment and Heritage). Dr Bruce Doran of the Australian National University through the ANU small grant scheme also contributed funding that enabled continued satellite tracking of several individual bustards in the latter stages of the study. Financial and in-kind support was also provided by the Tropical Savannas Cooperative Research Centre (TS CRC). I would like to particularly thank Penny Wurm, Kate O'Donnell and Peter Jacklyn for their help.

Field studies were primarily conducted at the Douglas-Daly Research Farm and the Victoria River Research Station (Kidman Springs) in the Northern Territory. Both stations are run by the NT Department of Regional Development, Primary Industry, Fisheries and Resources. I would like to gratefully acknowledge the opportunity of using these facilities and to specifically thank the managers -Peter O'Brien, Don Cherry and Tony Moran - and all staff at these stations for their assistance. Additional field work was also conducted at several other pastoral stations including Alexandria, Mittiebah, Newhaven and Glengyle stations.

I am also grateful to the many volunteers that contributed their time in the field in sometimes trying circumstances in the pursuit of knowledge concerning bustards. Special thanks to Colin Bailey, Bill Gordon, Mick Armstrong and the Timber Creek Aboriginal Rangers, Tony Dingwell, Claudia Franco, Luis, and Pedro Rocha. Jarrah provided great company during long hours in the field. Thank you also to Jenni Low Choy and Charmaine Tynan who helped with the floristics assessment at Kidman Springs.

Many survey respondents took the time to complete questionnaires as detailed in Chapter 2. I thank them for their contribution. Paul Bidwell and staff (AgForce Queensland) and Bill Noad (NSW Agriculture) were instrumental in the distribution of surveys to Queensland and NSW landholders respectively. Administration staff of the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences (University of Adelaide), Brydie Hill and Conservation Council of South Australia staff and volunteers assisted with the distribution and collection of surveys. Thanks also to Craig Hempel and Owen Price who assisted with the production of maps and statistical analyses and to Peter Cale, David Roshier, Sandy Berry and David Paton for their comments on the paper.

Analyses of bustard diet was made possible by the collection of bustard gizzards in the field by Tom Vigilante, Mick Armstrong and the Timber Creek Aboriginal rangers, Ben Lewis and Mimal Aboriginal rangers, and the Wagiman Aboriginal rangers. I am thankful to Riikka Hokkinnen, Charmaine Tynan and Jerome Thorbjornsen for help with sorting samples in the laboratory, and also to Peter Dostine, Gabriel Crowley and Michael Braby who helped with identification of food items.

Several people provided helpful comments on drafts of chapters and offered advice at various times. In this respect I would like to thank Julian Reid, Ross Cunningham, and Tony Griffiths.

The study was conducted with University of Adelaide Animal Ethics Committee (project S-06-2002) and Charles Darwin University Animal Ethics Committee (project A03014) approvals.

The Turkey Dreaming painting on the front title cover page (Image A69463) was provided by the Australian Aboriginal & Archaeology Collections, South Australian Museum. The painting represents 'Turkey Dreaming' painted by Michael Jupurrulla Rockman & Faye Nungarrayi Gibson from the Hooker Creek region, in the Northern Territory. It tells of two bustards that were searching for water through sandhills that took two different routes. One went through the Tanami Desert while the other went through Kulungalimpa and both found waterholes. The turkeys are represented by foot tracks, waterholes by the circles and sandhills by the black cigar shapes.

Thank you also to the photographers whose photographs enhanced the thesis's presentation: Steve Wilson, Roger Potts, Mark Lawrence, Jon Altman, Nicole Bartsch, and Bruce Doran.

Finally, to family and friends for love and support in various guises that made this work possible, thank you to Alicia, Luiza, Szymon, Jarrah, and not least to Anna Daniels, particularly in the writing up phase for her much appreciated love and support.