

09PH  
7941



**LISTENING AND SPEAKING SKILL DEVELOPMENT  
IN A TAIWANESE TERTIARY EFL CONTEXT:  
MANIPULATING POWER-IN-INTERACTION FOR PARTICIPATION**

Shu-Hui Yu

Thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in  
Applied Linguistics  
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences  
University of Adelaide  
November 2006

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	i
LIST OF EPISODES .....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
ABSTRACT .....	viii
DECLARATION.....	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	xii
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2 TAIWANESE ENGLISH EDUCATION IN TRANSITION .....	4
2.0 Introduction .....	4
2.1 English Proficiency as a National Development Scheme .....	5
2.1.1 The Nine-year Integrated Curriculum .....	6
2.1.2 Challenge of 2008: The National Development Plan.....	8
2.1.3 The General English Proficiency Test.....	9
2.2 English Proficiency as an Individual Expectation.....	12
2.3 English Proficiency as an Educational Dilemma .....	15
2.3.1 Speaking and listening abilities as Taiwanese students' Achilles heel	15
2.3.2 Communicative language teaching as an antidote: Concept or pedagogy?	18
2.4 Conclusion.....	23
3 PEDAGOGICAL CONTEXT OF THE STUDY.....	26
3.0 Introduction .....	26
3.1 English Language Teaching in Higher Education in Taiwan.....	26
3.2 The Context of the Study.....	28
3.3 Participants' Profiles.....	30
3.3.1 General information.....	30
3.3.2 GEPT results.....	32
3.4 Pedagogic Decisions and Research Concerns .....	34
4 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	40
4.0 Introduction .....	40
4.1 Discourse as Social Practice .....	41
4.2 Power and Identity Realizations in Talk-in-interaction.....	45
4.2.1 Power in talk-in-interaction.....	46
4.2.2 Identity in talk-in-interaction.....	52
4.3 Learning as a Locus of Participation .....	56
4.3.1 The "social turn" in SLA theory: participation in practices .....	57
4.3.2 Communities of practice and situated learning .....	63
4.3.3 Establishing a tertiary EFL classroom as a community of practice .....	71

4.4	Justification of the study .....	76
4.5	Conclusion .....	81
5	METHODOLOGY AND METHODS .....	83
5.0	Introduction .....	83
5.1	A Qualitative Research Case Study .....	84
5.1.1	Research integrating ethnography and ethnomethodology .....	85
5.1.2	Defining the case .....	89
5.2	Data Collection Process and the Studied Communicative Events .....	93
5.3	Data collection methods .....	97
5.3.1	Methods for collecting spoken data .....	98
5.3.2	Methods for collecting written data .....	106
5.3.3	Methods for collecting supporting data .....	109
5.4	Data Analysis .....	109
5.4.1	Discourse analysis approach .....	110
5.4.2	The analytical framework .....	112
5.5	Procedures of Analysis .....	114
5.6	Ethical Issues .....	117
5.7	Summary .....	118
6	SITUATING IN AN ADVANCED ENGLISH LEARNING COMMUNITY AS NEWCOMERS .....	119
6.0	Introduction .....	119
6.1	Membership Seeking in an Advanced English Learning Community .....	120
6.2	Factors in Membership Seeking .....	123
6.2.1	Self-expectations .....	125
6.2.2	Personal interests .....	126
6.2.3	International and intercultural communication concerns .....	128
6.2.4	Job incentives .....	130
6.2.5	Others .....	131
6.3	Old-timers vs. Newcomers: The Role of English Speaking or/and Listening Abilities .....	133
6.3.1	Constructing an image of old-timers .....	133
6.3.2	Constraints to developing listening and speaking abilities .....	137
6.4	Bridging the Gap by Investment .....	141
6.4.1	Investment principle: Practice makes perfect .....	142
6.4.2	Self-training practice .....	143
6.4.3	Interactive engagements .....	146
6.5	Discussion .....	148
6.6	Conclusion .....	153
7	NEGOTIATING ACTIVE PARTICIPATION IN PEERS' ORAL PRESENTATIONS .....	156
7.0	Introduction .....	156
7.1	Oral Presentation as a Pedagogical Tool .....	156
7.2	Background of the Two Oral Presentations .....	159
7.2.1	Presentation 1: My overseas life experiences .....	159
7.2.2	Presentation 2: The yoga presentation .....	161
7.3	Locating issues in the discourse practices .....	162

7.4 Presentation 1: Enquirer Nominations in the Q and A Session.....	164
7.4.1 The four nominations.....	165
7.4.2 Power negotiation in enquirer nominations.....	167
7.4.3 Refusals and acceptances in enquirer nominations .....	173
7.5 Presentation 2: Repeated Interruptions and Requests for the On-stage Modeling.....	176
7.5.1 Repeated interruption as a seesaw game in power negotiation .....	176
7.5.2 From peer modeling to speaker's modeling: Actions speak louder than words .....	178
7.5.3 Forms of power-in-interaction in Presentation 2.....	183
7.6 Participation Patterns.....	187
7.7 Subverted Agendas as a Product of Power-in-interaction.....	191
7.8 Discussion.....	198
7.9 Conclusion.....	204
8 NEGOTIATING POWER-IN-INTERACTION IN SMALL GROUP DISCUSSIONS .....	206
8.0 Introduction .....	206
8.1 Establishing the Task of Student-directed Small Group Discussions .....	206
8.1.1 Some theoretical considerations for the task design.....	207
8.1.2 The pedagogical context of the task .....	208
8.2 Locating the Topical Issues .....	210
8.3 Discursive Events Related to Local Group Norms.....	211
8.3.1 First speaker issues .....	212
8.3.2 Task requirements.....	219
8.4 Discursive Events Related to English Language Learning .....	226
8.4.1 Tackling limited linguistic resources.....	226
8.4.2 Usefulness of English learning genres.....	232
8.5 Patterns of Power-in-interaction.....	241
8.5.1 Patterns of power-in-interaction.....	241
8.5.2 Establishing patterns in the negotiation of power-in-interaction.....	245
8.6 Patterns of Participation.....	248
8.6.1 Patterns of group participation .....	249
8.6.2 Patterns of individual participation.....	251
8.7 Final Discussion .....	261
8.8 Conclusion.....	263
9 EVALUATIONS AND REFLECTIONS: THE LEARNING ACTIVITIES AND THE LEARNING COMMUNITY .....	265
9.0 Introduction .....	265
9.1 Evaluations of the Learning Outcomes .....	265
9.1.1 The GEPT listening test results .....	266
9.1.2 Self-evaluations of listening and speaking abilities and self- expectations .....	268
9.2 Learning Potentials of the Two Focused Learning Practices and the Community .....	271
9.2.1 Small group discussions and oral presentations .....	271
9.2.2 The local learning community.....	283

9.3	Constructing the “Tag-along” Identity Through Shared Perceptions of English Learning.....	290
9.3.1	Basic linguistic requirements.....	292
9.3.2	Classroom learning activities.....	296
9.3.3	Learning investment .....	301
9.4	Discussion.....	306
9.5	Conclusion.....	310
10	CONCLUSIONS .....	312
10.0	Introduction .....	312
10.1	Final Discussion and Implications.....	312
10.2	Summary.....	319
11	REFERENCES .....	320
12	APPENDICES .....	350

## ABSTRACT

The sociocultural theory of second language acquisition (SLA) highlights the significance of social interaction in language learning, especially oral language proficiency. How to provide opportunities for students' participation in social interaction has long interested language researchers and teachers. However, research on classroom discourse has focused more on teacher-student rather than student-student interactions. In a culture such as Taiwan where teachers have been traditionally regarded as a symbol of both knowledge and authority, the social interaction between teacher and students to some extent constrains or obstructs students from participation or displaying orientations, especially negative ones. The asymmetrical power relations may partially account for students' limited participation. If this is true, I assume that peer interactions, in which students have relatively symmetrical power relations, can be a fruitful locus for investigation. From a pedagogical point of view, they offer students valuable opportunities in terms of social interaction for language learning. On the other hand, peer interactions offer data sources of empirical research for investigating how learners really interact and co-construct social relations in the local context.

This is a qualitative case study in which I combined the concepts of ethnography and ethnomethodology to approach how this specific group of students used the relatively symmetrical encounters to display their interactional power and the participation patterns. The subjects were freshmen students in an evening program in a Taiwanese university, and like the majority of students in Taiwan, the development of oral English proficiency was a commonly-shared goal of their seeking membership in the particular Department. This urged me, as a teacher, to incorporate two interactive learning activities, group discussions and oral presentations, with the hope to offer them opportunities for oral practices. In addition, as a researcher, I was keen to know what students really did or said and how they interacted with each other and participated when they were released from the teacher-fronted teaching. To explore students' participation, I employed the notion of "community of practice" (Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, 1998; Wenger, McDermott, & Snyder, 2002) as the major framework. Another purpose

of the study is to relate the macro-membership as English majors to their micro-membership in specific communicative events designed to provide oral practice.

The data showed that in both the group discussion and oral presentation activities, the students overtly demonstrated their own negative and positive orientations by taking different situational roles in the interaction. The findings also showed students employed different discursive strategies to overcome linguistic limitations and display and justify their 'power-in-interaction' in terms of acceptances and refusals, or took advantage of linguistic superiority or favourable roles and situations to impose, control or defy the agenda. In addition, by tactically taking advantage of the timing and opportunities for personal orientations, they actively displayed different patterns of participation. The active participation in interaction demonstrated that they did not shy away from showing their power-in-interaction by positioning themselves according to their preferred orientations. On the contrary, they realized their different roles in immediate communication events or communicative activities by seizing the opportunities that were favorable to them. While the discourse data exhibited active micro membership in the immediate communicative situations as a member of the local community, students' accounts in the written and interview data presented interconnection between their macro-membership in the advanced English learning community as English major students

The findings of this study gave strong support for the view that in peer interaction students can demonstrate strong power-in-interaction despite linguistic limitations. This kind of display of power-in-interaction has not commonly found in teacher-student interaction in Asian learning contexts. One reason contributing to this lively and enthusiastic participation may be the removal of teacher-control, which allowed the students significant space for displaying personal and linguistic orientations. Another reason may be this specific cohort of students had ambitious expectations of being an English major student. The active membership in the local communications was a reflection of their solid shared identity as a member in this advanced learning community.

The most critical implication from this study is on the concept of participation, which may need to be redefined in interpreting Taiwanese EFL learners' participation in classroom discourse. Most of these students were not reticent in participation. This participatory force may involve various factors such as their claim to the shared identity as English majors, the shift from teacher-fronted to student-fronted classroom learning, the reduction of teacher-control, and the activities selected. Thus, from a pedagogical perspective, the findings suggest the following. (1) Social and contextual factors need to be taken more into account when encouraging and evaluating students' participation. (2) Adult language learning classrooms in Taiwan can work not only as a learning community but also as a community of practice, in which participants can learn how to take part in various learning and social practices at the same time, which in turn contributes to the development of their oral skills. The functions of this community need to be valued, enhanced and cultivated (Wenger et al., 2002). (3) Tertiary students can benefit from more substantial opportunities for using English for communication in the language classroom which can invite them into participation, such as the activities employed in this study. (4) In terms of oral proficiency, the concept of communicative competence in the Taiwanese EFL context requires the incorporation of sociolinguistic, discourse and intercultural competences.