

Sedimentological Lithofacies, Internal Architecture and Evolution of Deep Marine Fans of the Tithonian Angel Formation, Northwestern Dampier Sub-basin, North West Shelf, Australia

Kerrie Elise Deller B.Sc (Hons)

Australian School of Petroleum
The University of Adelaide

This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the Faculty of Science, The University of Adelaide



Abstract

This thesis examines the sedimentological processes, internal architecture and depositional evolution of the deep marine Tithonian succession of the Angel Formation located within the Dampier Sub-basin on the North West Shelf of Australia. It is a mature basin containing 149 hydrocarbon fields with combined reserves of 34tcf of gas, 1037mmbbls of condensate and 633mmbbls of oil within fluvio-deltaic and deep marine reservoirs. The rationale of this research is to improve current understanding of the highly prosperous deep marine reservoirs whose architecture is primarily of sub-seismic resolution. It was accomplished using lithofacies classifications and the establishment of an architectural scheme comprising seven orders in combination with wireline, biostratigraphic and seismic reflection data and applicable analogues.

Eleven cores totalling 547 metres were analysed to identify sedimentological processes responsible for the deposition of the Angel Formation and to develop lithofacies schemes. The depositional lithofacies scheme contains six types formed through the deposition of quasi-steady high density turbidity flows and debrites. The lithofacies scheme for deformed sediments contains five types generated by post-depositional sediment movement, including soft sediment slumping and subsurface remobilization. These core-scale lithofacies represent first and second order architectural elements.

Third order architectural elements are represented by seven lithofacies associations. They are grouped into two categories dependent on their association with channelisation. Channelised lithofacies associations include crevasse splays, channel axis units, channel margin units, and channel abandonment units. Unchannelised lithofacies associations include proximal sand-dominated frontal splays, distal silt-dominated frontal splays and splay abandonment units.

Third order lithofacies associations are grouped into fourth and fifth order architectural elements that represent the development of composite channel-splay or sheet complexes. A fourth order architectural element is represented by a single storey migrational channel feature. Five differing fifth order architectural elements are separated into two complexes dependent on their degree of internal channelisation. A composite channel-splay complex comprises stacked fourth order channel forms that develop through multiple episodes of infilling and reincision. They are overlain by retrogradational splay or lateral channel margin deposits dependant on their place within a sixth order setting. A composite sheet complex comprises solely of stacked progradational and retrogradational splays.

Sixth order architectural elements group multiple fifth order complexes amalgamated through autocyclic processes into allocyclic successions bound by sequence boundaries and maximum flood events. Sixth order progradational models are related to early and late lowstand time. Stacked fifth order architectures deposited during sixth order progradational cycles display high net to gross sandstones, low channel sinuosity and increased amalgamation of channel forms. Sixth order aggradational and retrogradational models are related to transgressive periods. Stacked fifth order architectures deposited within these systems become increasingly clay-rich, are more sinuous, have

more avulsive events as channels aggrade above the equilibrium profile, and become less amalgamated as fourth order channel forms decrease in size and become interbedded with sheet complexes.

Sixth order architecture and seventh order fan evolution is examined using a combination of fifth order stacked architectures, wireline and seismic data. The Parker Terrace, which contains the Mutineer, Lambert, Egret and Montague fields, contains a seventh order system that comprises curved, coalescing fan systems up to 15 kilometres long separated by up to six lowstand events. The central Dampier Sub-basin, which contains the Angel, Cossack and Wanaea fields, contains a seventh order fan system up to 50 kilometres long also separated by up to six lowstand events. This regional architectural analysis demonstrates that the majority of sediment in the Dampier Sub-basin during Tithonian time was deposited along the basin's central axis. Additional sediment was sourced from the Rankin Trend in the west to form small fan systems along the Parker Terrace.

Non-depositional processes play a critical role in the lateral distribution and post-depositional subsurface profile of architectural elements. Active tectonism may have influenced lithofacies distribution and the location of feeder complexes in the Angel, Egret and Montague fields. Subsurface remobilisation of sandstones led to the formation of clastic injectites in fields along the Parker Terrace and sub-vertical polygonal fault injectites in fields within the central basin axis. These features can influence the lateral and vertical connectivity of depositionally independent reservoir intervals.

The high resolution sub-seismic scaled architectural system can be applied in combination with seismic interpretation to help constrain the exploratory risk of stratigraphic plays. Furthermore, it can assist future development programs of discovered hydrocarbon accumulations by enhancing knowledge of lateral architectural variability away from the borehole.

Declaration

For a Thesis that does not contain work already in the public domain

NAME: KERRIE DEUER..... PROGRAM: PHD.....

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the university to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNATURE:

DATE: 24/2/2011.....

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the following people:

- i. Supervisors Dr Richard Daniel and Dr Bruce Ainsworth at the Australian School of Petroleum and Dr Tobi Payenberg at Chevron Energy Technology Company Perth for supporting, quality controlling and providing feedback on the interpretation and final thesis.
- ii. Dr Simon Lang of Woodside Energy Ltd for his general support.
- iii. Neil Tupper, Glenn Scotford and Tim Cotton of Santos Ltd for providing data.
- iv. Dr Scott Mildren and Dr Jerry Meyer of JRS Petroleum Research for the use of in-house log interpretation software.
- v. The students and general staff both in the Australian School of Petroleum and the Geology and Geophysics Department of the University of Adelaide.

Financial support was gratefully received from the following organisations.

- i. The Australian Government and the University of Adelaide for the Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) to undertake this research.
- ii. The Australian Research Council (ARC) for the Deepwater Discovery Grant.
- iii. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG) and the family of Archie Augustus for the International Archie Grants-In-Aid award. Please continue to support the scheme.
- iv. The Petroleum Exploration Society of Australia (PESA) for the 2007 Federal Postgraduate Prize.

The greatest words of appreciation belong to my family residing in Melbourne.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | General Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Project..... | 1 |
| 1.2 | Rationale..... | 1 |
| 1.3 | Objectives | 2 |
| 1.4 | Regional Setting | 2 |
| 1.5 | Previous Basin Research | 4 |
| 1.6 | Regional Exploration History | 5 |
| 2 | Deep Marine Processes, Depositional Models and Project Terminology..... | 9 |
| 2.1 | Deep Marine Depositional Systems..... | 9 |
| 2.2 | Deep Marine Processes and Classifications | 9 |
| 2.3 | Deep Marine Sequence Stratigraphy..... | 14 |
| 2.4 | Submarine Fan Facies Models | 16 |
| 2.5 | Geological Controls on Fan Development..... | 21 |
| 2.5.1 | Sediment Supply, Accumulation and Type | 21 |
| 2.5.2 | Tectonic Setting and Basin Geometry..... | 21 |
| 2.5.3 | Relative Sea Level | 22 |
| 2.6 | Architectural Elements..... | 23 |
| 2.7 | Project Terminology..... | 25 |
| 2.7.1 | Deep Marine Element Terminology..... | 25 |
| 2.7.2 | Architectural Elements and Stratigraphic Units..... | 27 |
| 3 | Regional Geology | 29 |
| 3.1 | Introduction..... | 29 |
| 3.2 | Present Day Structural Style..... | 29 |
| 3.3 | Tectonic Evolution of the Beagle and Dampier Sub-basins..... | 32 |
| 3.4 | Stratigraphic Evolution..... | 35 |
| 3.4.1 | General Stratigraphy of Dampier and Beagle Province | 35 |
| 3.4.2 | Stratigraphy and Palaeogeography of the Dampier and Beagle Region | 35 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Active Margin Megasequence (Triassic to Early Jurassic)..... | 37 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Early Syn-rift Megasequence (Pliensbachian to Callovian) | 38 |
| 3.4.2.3 | Syn-rift 1 Megasequence (Callovian to Oxfordian) | 39 |
| 3.4.2.4 | Syn-rift 2 Megasequence (Oxfordian to Tithonian) | 40 |
| 3.4.2.5 | Late Syn-rift and Post-rift Successions (Berriasian to Valanginian) | 43 |
| 4 | Database and Methodology | 45 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 45 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.2 | Core Logging and Lithofacies Analysis | 46 |
| 4.3 | Wireline Log Analysis | 51 |
| 4.4 | Image Log Analysis | 51 |
| 4.5 | Palynology and Biostratigraphy..... | 52 |
| 4.5.1 | Regional Surfaces..... | 54 |
| 4.5.2 | Biostratigraphic Sub-Division..... | 54 |
| 4.6 | Seismic Reflection Data | 56 |

5 Sedimentology and Lithofacies Analysis of the Tithonian Angel Formation

59

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 59 |
| 5.2 | Sedimentological Surfaces..... | 59 |
| 5.3 | Lithofacies Classifications | 61 |
| 5.3.1 | Homogenous Unstructured and Parallel Stratified Sandstone (Lithofacies A)..... | 61 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Description | 61 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Interpretation | 68 |
| 5.3.2 | Dish and Pipe Structured Sandstone (Lithofacies B) | 71 |
| 5.3.2.1 | Description | 71 |
| 5.3.2.2 | Interpretation | 72 |
| 5.3.3 | Clast-Dominated Sandstone (Lithofacies C)..... | 78 |
| 5.3.3.1 | Description | 78 |
| 5.3.3.2 | Interpretation | 79 |
| 5.3.4 | Parallel and Ripple Laminated Sandstone (Lithofacies D) | 82 |
| 5.3.4.1 | Description | 82 |
| 5.3.4.2 | Interpretation | 82 |
| 5.3.5 | Bioturbated and Laminated Heterolithics (Lithofacies E)..... | 89 |
| 5.3.5.1 | Description | 89 |
| 5.3.5.2 | Interpretation | 94 |
| 5.3.6 | Structureless to Laminated Siltstone and Mudstone (Lithofacies F) | 96 |
| 5.3.6.1 | Description | 96 |
| 5.3.6.2 | Interpretation | 96 |
| 5.3.7 | Ptygmatic Injectites (Lithofacies G) | 98 |
| 5.3.7.1 | Description | 98 |
| 5.3.7.2 | Interpretation | 98 |
| 5.3.8 | Deformed and Sheared Mudstone Breccia (Lithofacies H) | 102 |
| 5.3.8.1 | Description | 102 |
| 5.3.8.2 | Interpretation | 102 |
| 5.3.9 | Discordant sandstone bodies in sandstones and heterolithics (Lithofacies I)..... | 106 |
| 5.3.9.1 | Description | 106 |
| 5.3.9.2 | Interpretation | 106 |
| 5.3.10 | Discordant sandstone bodies in mudstones (Lithofacies J) | 108 |
| 5.3.10.1 | Description | 108 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.3.10.2 | Interpretation..... | 108 |
| 5.3.11 | Contorted Sandstone (Lithofacies K) | 110 |
| 5.3.11.1 | Description..... | 110 |
| 5.3.11.2 | Interpretation..... | 110 |
| 5.4 | Summary | 114 |
| 6 | Lithofacies Associations and Related Depositional Elements..... | 115 |
| 6.1 | Introduction..... | 115 |
| 6.2 | Two-Dimensional Basinward Transition of Lithofacies Associations | 116 |
| 6.3 | Channelised Lithofacies Associations | 118 |
| 6.3.1 | Lithofacies Association 1: Crevasse Splays..... | 118 |
| 6.3.2 | Lithofacies Association 2: Channel Axis (or Thalweg) Fill | 122 |
| 6.3.3 | Lithofacies Association 3: Off-Axis Channel Successions..... | 126 |
| 6.3.4 | Lithofacies Association 4: Channel Abandonment Successions | 135 |
| 6.4 | Unchannelised Lithofacies Associations (Sheet Systems) | 140 |
| 6.4.1 | Lithofacies Association 5: Unchannelised Sand-Dominated Frontal Splays | 140 |
| 6.4.2 | Lithofacies Association 6: Unchannelised Silt-Dominated Splays | 144 |
| 6.4.3 | Lithofacies Association 7: Splay Abandonment Unit..... | 144 |
| 6.5 | Summary | 145 |
| 7 | Fourth and Fifth Order Architectural and Stratigraphic Models..... | 147 |
| 7.1 | Generalised Depositional Setting of Tithonian Angel Formation | 147 |
| 7.2 | Fourth Order Channel Architectural Element and Model..... | 148 |
| 7.2.1 | Channel Aspect Ratios from Literature | 149 |
| 7.3 | Fifth Order Architectural Models: The Composite Channel-Splay and Sheet Complex | 152 |
| 7.3.1 | The Standard Model..... | 152 |
| 7.3.1.1 | Progradational Build Phase (Commencement of a Fifth Order Sheet Complex) | 153 |
| 7.3.1.2 | Erosion and Bypass Phase (Commencement of a Fifth Order Channel-Splay Complex)..... | 154 |
| 7.3.1.3 | Channel Fill Phase..... | 154 |
| 7.3.1.4 | Late Fill and Overspill Phase | 154 |
| 7.3.1.5 | Retrogradational Build Phase (Termination of Sheet and Channel-Splay Complex) | 154 |
| 7.3.2 | Variability of Fifth Order Models..... | 156 |
| 7.3.2.1 | Model A: The Proximal Channel-Splay Model | 156 |
| 7.3.2.2 | Model B: Proximal to Medial Composite Channel-Splay Model..... | 157 |
| 7.3.2.3 | Model C: Medial to Distal Composite Channel – Splay Model..... | 158 |
| 7.3.2.4 | Model D: Distal Mixed Composite Channel-Splay Model..... | 159 |
| 7.3.2.5 | Model E: Distal Frontal Splay Sheet Complex Model (Unchannelised) | 160 |
| 7.3.3 | Fifth Order Model Transitions..... | 161 |
| 7.3.3.1 | Basinward Transitions | 161 |
| 7.3.3.2 | Lateral Transitions | 164 |
| 7.4 | Fifth Order Architectural Systems of the Dampier Sub-basin | 166 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 7.5 | Summary | 176 |
| 8 | Sixth Order Architectural Analysis and Tithonian Fan Evolution | 177 |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 177 |
| 8.2 | Electrofacies Associations | 177 |
| 8.3 | Sixth Order Architectural Models | 180 |
| 8.3.1 | Fifth Order Autocyclic Avulsion and Compensational Stacking..... | 181 |
| 8.3.2 | Sixth Order Allocyclic Models | 183 |
| 8.3.2.1 | Sixth Order Allocyclic Progradational Model | 183 |
| 8.3.2.2 | Sixth Order Allocyclic Aggradational and Retrogradational Model | 185 |
| 8.4 | Field-Scale Analysis of Sixth Order Architectural Elements and Tithonian Fan Evolution | 187 |
| 8.4.1 | The Parker Terrace Region | 189 |
| 8.4.1.1 | The Mutineer Region..... | 189 |
| 8.4.1.1.1 | Lower <i>D. jurassicum</i> , <i>O. montgomeryi</i> and <i>C. perforans</i> system..... | 193 |
| 8.4.1.1.2 | Upper <i>D. jurassicum</i> System | 195 |
| 8.4.1.1.3 | Lower <i>P. inusitatum</i> System | 198 |
| 8.4.1.1.4 | Upper <i>P. inusitatum</i> System | 203 |
| 8.4.1.1.5 | <i>Biorbifera</i> System | 206 |
| 8.4.1.1.6 | <i>Dissimulidinium</i> System..... | 209 |
| 8.4.1.1.7 | Upper <i>P. iehiense</i> System | 209 |
| 8.4.1.2 | The Egret-Montague Region | 215 |
| 8.4.1.2.1 | <i>O. montgomeryi</i> system..... | 218 |
| 8.4.1.2.2 | Lower <i>D. jurassicum</i> system..... | 218 |
| 8.4.1.2.3 | Upper <i>D. jurassicum</i> system..... | 218 |
| 8.4.1.2.4 | <i>P. iehiense</i> system..... | 218 |
| 8.4.1.3 | The Lambert Region..... | 223 |
| 8.4.1.3.1 | <i>O. montgomeryi</i> to middle lower <i>D. jurassicum</i> system | 224 |
| 8.4.1.3.2 | Middle lower <i>D. jurassicum</i> to upper <i>D. jurassicum</i> system..... | 224 |
| 8.4.1.3.3 | Lower <i>P. inusitatum</i> system..... | 224 |
| 8.4.1.3.4 | Upper <i>P. inusitatum</i> and <i>Biorbifera</i> system..... | 224 |
| 8.4.1.3.5 | <i>Dissimulidinium</i> and upper <i>P. iehiense</i> system..... | 224 |
| 8.4.2 | The Central Dampier Region | 229 |
| 8.4.2.1 | The Angel Region | 229 |
| 8.4.2.1.1 | <i>C. perforans</i> and <i>O. montgomeryi</i> system | 232 |
| 8.4.2.1.2 | Lower <i>D. jurassicum</i> system..... | 232 |
| 8.4.2.1.3 | Upper <i>D. jurassicum</i> and <i>P. iehiense</i> system | 232 |
| 8.4.2.2 | The Cossack and Wanaea Region..... | 239 |
| 8.5 | Summary | 240 |
| 9 | Discussion | 243 |
| 9.1 | Sedimentological Process Model for the Angel Sandstone..... | 243 |
| 9.2 | Depositional Systems of Mutti (1985) - Reclassification of the Tithonian System. | 245 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 9.3 | Tithonian Stratigraphy and Fan Evolution..... | 247 |
| 9.3.1 | Parker Terrace | 247 |
| 9.3.2 | Central Dampier Sub-basin | 248 |
| 9.4 | Influence of Syn-Depositional Tectonism | 251 |
| 9.4.1 | Lithofacies Distribution | 251 |
| 9.4.2 | Location of Feeder Systems into the Sub-basin | 252 |
| 9.5 | Post-depositional Injectites: Varieties, Models and Implications | 254 |
| 9.5.1 | Lateral Levee Injectites | 255 |
| 9.5.1.1 | Egret Field Lateral Injectites | 256 |
| 9.5.1.2 | Lambert Field Lateral Injectites..... | 257 |
| 9.5.2 | Sub-Vertical Polygonal Fault Injectites..... | 263 |
| 9.6 | Implications for Future Exploration and Development in the Dampier Sub-basin . | 264 |
| 10 | Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations | 265 |
| 10.1 | Core Lithofacies and Depositional Processes | 265 |
| 10.2 | Lithofacies Associations | 266 |
| 10.3 | Upscaled Architectural Elements and Stratigraphic Relationships | 268 |
| 10.3.1 | Fourth Order Single Storey Channel Form | 268 |
| 10.3.2 | Fifth Order Autocyclic Multistorey Composite Channel-Splay and Sheet Complexes..... | 268 |
| 10.3.3 | Sixth Order Allocyclic Migrational and Confined Channel Complexes..... | 269 |
| 10.4 | Architectural Analysis and Evolution of Fan Systems from Five Field Regions..... | 270 |
| 10.5 | Non-Stratigraphic Controls on Preserved Architecture..... | 273 |
| 10.5.1 | Syn-Depositional Tectonism..... | 273 |
| 10.5.2 | Post-Depositional Deformation of Reservoirs | 273 |
| 10.6 | Future Exploration and Development in the Dampier Sub-basin..... | 274 |
| 10.7 | Future Research Recommendations..... | 274 |
| 11 | References..... | 275 |
| | APPENDIX A: Data Charts | 293 |
| A.1 | Logged Core Lengths, Depths and Recoveries | 293 |
| A.2 | Cores Viewed and Photographed | 294 |
| A.3 | Seismic Data | 294 |
| A.4 | Available Well Logs with Associated Curves | 295 |
| | APPENDIX B: Core Logs | 297 |
| | APPENDIX C: Image Log Sedimentological and Palaeocurrent Analysis of Mutineer 1B..... | 299 |
| C.1 | Introduction | 299 |
| C.2 | Sedimentological Surfaces | 299 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| C.3 Sedimentological Image Facies | 300 |
| C.3.1 Massive and Parallel Laminated Sandstone (Smpl) | 300 |
| C.3.2 Dewatered Sandstone (Sd)..... | 300 |
| C.3.3 Thin Sandy Depositional Beds and Thin Injectites (Stb)..... | 300 |
| C.3.4 Waning Flow Disrupted Laminated Sandstone (Sdl) | 301 |
| C.3.5 Bioturbated Heterolithics, Siltstone and Claystone (SIHb) | 301 |
| C.3.6 Post-Depositional Deformed Zone (DZ)..... | 301 |
| C.3.7 Residual Hydrocarbon Zone (RES) | 301 |
| C.4 Limitations | 301 |
| C.5 Extrapolated intervals | 302 |
| C.6 Conclusion..... | 302 |
| C.7 References | 302 |
| APPENDIX D: Interpreted Sections across the Dampier Sub-basin | 303 |