13th February 1934.

F. Yates Esq., Rothamsted Exp: Station, Harpenden, Herts.

Dear Yates,

what I wondered was whether in my example your correction had not overdene it through their being two small values of the table. I should like you to look at this possibility. The other possible explanation is merely that the X distribution has to/long a tail in comparison with things like the binovial, which is certainly true near the tip. I should be glad if you would look into this, as I want it to be your correction, and not Yates' correction as medified by (say Brandt and Snedecor).

I am enclosing a letter from Mr Handley, at Eirten, who is chief Walace. I had previously advised him about his bulb experiments. Perhaps I may fairly deflect his correspondence to youlnew.

Yours sincerely,

0/

m/