27th May, 1960

My dear Ron,

Many thanks for your further square received this morning. It certainly has some intriguing properties.

Maybe you will be evolving further squares; we can then consider what should be included in the tables. There would be room for two $10 \times 10$ squares on p.82 without rearrangement. We could make room for more by suppressing $5 \times 5$ and $7 \times 7$ complete orthogonal sets which can be easily covered by a note. In fact, with this modification Table 16 would just go on one page.

Is there any chance of a higher order set of $10 \times 10$ squares?

Anyhow, there is plenty of time to think about this as I indicated in my letter of yesterday.

I didn't answer your query on the new edition of "Design of Experiments". I haven't yet seen or heard anything of this.

Yours,

[Signature]

Professor Sir Ronald Fisher, F.R.S.