contributors on the terms that Miss Cox seems to think appropriate.

All this is of course quite distinct from the question as to whether the space and cost of publication of these four additional articles is likely to ~~be of~~ justified by sufficient public interest.

The matter of the editorship came up for discussion at the British Region yesterday and I was inclined to urge that we ought to seek if possible for another editor within the United States, though, of course, suitable men could be found elsewhere, that would raise the question of a possible shift in the printers. I am anxious that the causes that have led to a change of editor should not be represented as in any sort due to international friction within the Society. Equally I am anxious that the proposed ~~acter~~ Rate should be recognised and ~~proved~~ approved as an integral part of the Society's publication policy and a means not of further separating intellectual backgrounds of people speaking different languages, but rather, by means of summaries and interchange material, as a means of getting them to understand each others ideas better. I suppose, however, that Leopold Martin's proposal may not win immediate support in the United States.

Sincerely yours,