

I see I have begun a
sentence with
Now!

May 4-31

CRIPPS'S CORNER,

FOREST ROW,

SUSSEX.

Dear Fisher.

I received the "Quarterly Review" as an advertisement. It cost me nothing, and I therefore felt no compulsion to read it. Then it occurred to me that I had better give it to someone, and get a little credit by doing so. Now you begin to see my little game. But to gain full credit, I felt I had to show I had looked at it. Now there is one point on which I think we don't see quite eye to eye. In our Outline, I think you put in that "the Society is strongly opposed to redistribution by means of taxation...". Now I have been slowly drifting more and more to tolerate or even approve of such

a method of improving the lot of
the poor - though my ideas are
still very shaky. Now in this
Quarterly there is an article^{my colleague} on
pegs wages, which I thought might
interest you nicely. It is true that
I neither like him nor trust
him, in spite of his being my
niece's brother-in-law. But he
is very clever, and won't go far
off the line for any reason.
Have it occurred to me it might
do you good to read it. Then
read my Cousin J. Wedgwood's
article, if you have not previously
thrown this production into the
fire in disgust. Please finally deal
with it in that way, for I don't
want to see its face again; and
do not write - for I am, I think,
going to bore you with another
letter tomorrow, on a subject as to
which we may possibly agree!

Yours sincerely
A. Darwin

Mar 4 - 31

CRIPPS'S CORNER,

FOREST ROW,

SUSSEX.

Dear Fisher.

Thanks for your interesting letter. I am glad to hear of your German edition. I do not see why this new part should not form a preface. It is, I think, very important. Let me know if financial or other difficulties stand in the way of the German edition.

I agree that bleeding won't stop selection if the mutation rates are high enough. You may be right about my father's views. I incline to think, however, that

you have a clear idea of a
mutation, which he could not
have had if, as I suppose, the
idea of the transmission from
generation to generation of quite
unchanging hereditary elements
had never even occurred to his
mind. I remember when about
1890 I was thinking over Galton's
ancestral law, I used to puzzle
myself as to how it was
conceivable that the average
quality of the race could be
transmitted from generation to
generation as well as particular
individual qualities, this being
necessary if regression to the mean
takes place. The broad view of-

the Prussian g-men very few
would, I believe, have criticised
my father successfully, as well as
all the deductions you draw from
it. But you may be right in
thinking that he saw matters
more clearly than I suppose.

I shall keep your letter & the file
over it. It may very well be that
I did not see it in those days.
I think I agree with what you
say about reversion to the mean.

No more today.

Yours sincerely

L. Darrow