

April 4 - 30

CRIPPS'S CORNER,
FOREST ROW,
SUSSEX.

My dear Fisher

A sister in law asked me to draft a Eugene resolution for some group of women's organisations. I put in something about accommodation for the mentally defective. She said that was not what she wanted. Her idea was an attack on taxation as reducing the birth rate of the more fit. The question dropped, but it made me think over these questions, and I have come to the conclusion, pace MacBude, that any such move would be unwise and dangerous. I have

also for long wanted to write
a careful answer to Miss
Rathbone's contention that
family allowances would reduce
the birth rate. All these ideas
I have included in these 3
parts of one paper. Now would
you be so very kind as to read
them, perhaps during Easter
holidays, and give me your
considered opinion as to what
if anything should be done with
them. Are they worth publishing
at all? I am not sure. If
so, I suppose our Review is the
best place. Could they come out-

in 3 million numbers, and
then have some reprints? Talk
to Cutler, please, if any help.

I have not read them over
properly, for I am rather busy
- for me - at the moment,
what with the Twickenham estate
and other unexpected jobs.
It is rather like my big book in
place, though to make it
different - I avoided consulting
my own works. I shall like to
know what you think of - the
Putney bus simile, and also
the concluding sentence. Make
any pencil marks in margin.
Twickenham, affirms more slowly,
but - no rocks so far.

p.s.
Yours sincerely
Lemuel Dawson

Your great-work not yet
to hand. I want to
see it much — though
skate not be able to
re-read it just yet.