My dear Fisher.

I, like you, do not see any way clearly to frame a good set of questions. Is it much use getting the families on joining, when they will not be completed? Could you put in some question asking relationship to any other F.R.S? This leads me to make other suggestions (1) To repeat Galton's question, I forget exactly what they were, with perhaps slight modifications This would give an historical interest. (2) Or, as a quite separate Enquiry, to repeat these questions to

all F.S-S, and then carry on Galton's work. This would be more easily understood. My experience is that scientific men are, outside their own narrow sphere of work, just as narrow, conservative, and touchy as any other class. How would it be, first of all to discuss with the biological secretary your idea is too vague, and see what he says. If nothing would come of it, it would only be a regrettable waste of your time.

In re Mental Deficiency Report, on page 134 or Dr Lewis's part, there are figures which I had hoped would have squashed Tredgold about parentage of M.D.

Unfortunately the number of families with one such child is not given, and an unknown number of parents & children out of the 119 parentages come from outside the one district. But I know that without much trouble, the necessary figures could be obtained. This last is confidential. I mean without some considerable trouble. It seems to me there must be from 10 000 to 50 000 children in England with such parents, and that would be a good fact to know.

Yours sincerely

Leonard Darwin

May 15ᵗ-29

CRIPPS'S CORNER,
FOREST ROW,
SUSSEX.

Dear Fisher

I am away from home.
Stir me up again about the
R.G's report for 1911 if you
want it before you hear
again.

Do not you think you
ought to rejoin the Stats?
May I set the ball rolling?
Mallet, S, and who else
for sponsors? Udney Yule?
If the latter, give me his
address. I am sure now you
are F.R.S you should be F.R.S.S.

Yours sincerely

L Darwin