

You no doubt got your Cass 2  
chapters back March 31 - 29

CRIPPS'S CORNER,  
FOREST ROW,  
SUSSEX.

My dear Fisher.

I have been reading the  
proofs of my Gall's address, and  
discussing the problems with a  
nephew here with a good head.  
He is inclined to agree, but in  
regard to the number crossing  
in front of the central post,  
he wants to know how many  
of the 2,000,000 in 3 years  
are simply due to rises in  
wages in the normal course of  
events, as from boy to man.  
These he holds, and I agree,  
should not count. I said that

Your figures were based on the  
birth and death rates in  
different social classes, and that  
their natural rise in wages  
was not included. I wish you  
could glance at this part of  
my address when it comes out,  
and if my nephew's is a  
reasonable criticism <sup>on what</sup> I have  
written ~~it~~, and if the answer I  
now give is correct, would you  
be inclined to send a brief  
note to the next <sup>July</sup> review - to  
show the answer?

A nephew in law, a labour expert,  
declares that the rate of earnings  
in different trades are so  
different, and that there is so  
little movement between them, that  
there is practically no correlation  
between wages and value of  
work done. I don't agree, but it  
is a harder point to answer, &  
one I am myself trying to deal  
with.

On quite a different point.  
There is a beneficial line of  
advance for an organism towards  
 $G_1$ , the goal. Mutations occur  
in that direction <sup>one</sup> or specimens.  
Take 2 such mutations ~~and~~  
 $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . Of these  $g_1$  is like  
the parent, throwing off a fresh  
mutation towards  $G_1$ , in every