

May 16. 25
CRIPPS'S CORNER,
FOREST ROW,
SUSSEX.

Dear Fisher.

Herewith my corrections
to my article on Natural
Selection. I think it is plain
where they would come in.
I am inclined to ask the
Review to print the type
written paras, which are, I
think, intelligible by themselves.
I should preface them by a
word of explanation, saying
that my error arose from
fixing my attention on whether
an organism was alone or

below the average, and in
not observing that however
low in a series two organisms
were, the elimination of the
lowest was advantageous to
the species. I hope I am
right - now. I have thought
about it a good deal.

On another point, I wish
the Americans would try if
X rays made a differentiated
series become wider as the
generations succeeded each other.

This would be the best proof of
the formation of small
mammals.

I also send my Cambridge
pamphlet. It contains much
the same error, though not
so flagrant.

Yours sincerely,

L. Darwin