

Jan 26-28.

CRIPPS'S CORNER,

FOREST ROW,

SUSSEX.

(1)

Dear Fisher.

Thanks for yours about
cuckoos. My bird lore is not
profound, so you must not
trust to me too much. I had not
thought of some of the effects of
parasitism. What you suggest.

When the parasitism is
complete, the parental care
vanishes altogether. Is the Rhea
parasitic? I thought it was
only communal. I have not
seen the paper about cuckoos
and 2 types of hosts. Let me
know if you get it, or its
name. Not that I suspect really

to work at such problems. . . . (2)

As to territory, many birds congregate out of the breeding season. 150,000 Starlings in one place in Dublin! I suppose for protection. For they go, during the day, with other birds, crows, &c. Pigeons are very strictly monogamous. They congregate by hundreds or thousands in the winter. But I do not think they are very gregarious during nesting times, but am not sure. Chaffinches congregate after the breeding season; the two sexes a good deal separated. Why? A relic of the territory instinct?

CRIPPS'S CORNER,
FOREST ROW,
SUSSEX.

I don't know about non-parasitic cuckoos, except that they lay few eggs.

Do not ants give rise to some nice selective problems as regards fecundity. The ordinary ideas do not apply to sterile offspring. If the young females originally had their maternal instincts developed abnormally young, and began to look after their young brothers and sisters, we see how a beginning might have been made. Then if some were sterile, so much the better. And does not such a state of things put a stop to

the ordinary check a feasibility?
 Here is a nice thing to
 think about.

How is Pearl going to
 blow us all to atoms? Did
 he let out? In a letter to
 me he says he is very dissatisfied
 with the way in which the
 differential fertility question
 has been left by Pearson and
 Heron.

No answer needed

Yours sincerely

Lennard Down