

May 9. - 35.

CRIBB'S CORNER,

FOREST ROW,

SUSSEX.

Dear Fisher.

I think it will answer
very well to tell you audience
what you are doing at the
date, and, I hope, some words as
to future possibilities. I take a
less sanguine view of the word
'practical' to what you do!
I infed - that all that was
intended was the difference
between thinking and doing.
I don't believe party politics —
and that is what politics generally
means — ever entered the head of
whoever framed those words.

I know I have the tailored—
Somewhere, so return yours. Spring
Cleaning is one of the curses of
modern civilization, as things
often get shifted. You mean, I
take it, that ordinary medical
inspections could give valuable
comparative results. It does
seem to me, in regard to mental
^{it would be} tests, if examiners could actually
go back to the answers given
15, 20, &c years previously for
the purposes of comparison. Even
if government counts for nothing,
it would be instructive.

I generally trouble you with
one of my fads, and here is
a new one. I put it in the form

of hasty written questions, to
which I want no answer.
Can there be identical twin ova
before fertilization? I presume
this is possible. Can there be
also identical twin spermatozoa?
I should think this possible. It
is the one set fertilizing the other
would form identical twins of a
different type to that ordinarily
spoken of. But I guess this event
would be so rare as to be negligible.
But the two identical twin ova
might often be simultaneously
fertilized, giving rise to semi-
identical twins. Would they be
necessarily of the same sex?
If so, because of their substance,
—because ordinary ^{fugus} ~~seeds~~ of the
same sex would include them —

non-identical pairs of the same
sex would be more like sibs
of the same sex than pairs of
different sexes would be sibs of
different sexes. Such a comparison
could be made in characters
independent of sex. I wonder if
you are saying what book?
If so, keep it to yourself.

Yours sincerely

D. Darwin.