

March 15- 23

CRIPPS'S CORNER,  
FOREST ROW,  
SUSSEX.

My dear Fisher.

I feel save Flax is  
the senior editor, or at all events  
the man chiefly concerned.

This answer will you  
ask me, but I do not like to  
leave the matter there. You  
may well feel that I preach  
to you unwarrantably, but  
it is friendship to you which  
makes me risk annoying you.  
Please remember this in what  
I now say.

When there is a difference  
of opinion, both sides in very

Many cases have or imagine  
they have a grievance. And  
I am sorry to say Flux considers  
that your letters to the Society,  
or your action in some way,  
has not been courteous. I can't  
say more, because I only know  
it from Flux's letter to Neallot.  
Now if you go to Flux, and  
if you give the impression -  
an impression he would adopt  
or entirely inadequate formulation  
that all you want to show is  
how foolish the Society has been  
to refuse a very important paper

by you, the only result of the interview will be a colder and unpleasant view. It on the other hand you cared to say that you were much perturbed to find that Flax felt ~~too~~ had been un courteous, which was the very last thing you intended, and that you would take at a personal interview to put things right, then the interview might do good. And it would do most good if you took up the attitude that you do not care whether the Society does or does not publish your paper; that that is a matter of minor importance.

So many scientific men

I have destroyed a great deal  
of their content recent by heart-  
burnings about the reception, then  
works receive that I mean any-  
one starting on that path. I am  
certain the wise and the  
pleasant path is to do the work,  
let the reception of it take care  
of itself, and push on quietly  
avoding, as far as possible, all  
controversy.

Thanks for what you say  
about my health. It was only  
a passing headache such as  
you often have.

Yours sincerely,

Leonard Dawson