2nd April, 1921.

Hy dear Fisher,

I have been in bed for a couple of days with rheumatism, which gave me an opportunity for thinking over the two letters which I received when in bod.

I have an uneasy feeling I do not quite understand your views, that they may be sounder than wine, and that, still more, there ought to be some way of reconciling the two. I have written out some notes as the result of my cogitation in bed, which I send you. You will soon see if I am off the track or whether there is anything fresh in what I say. Don't tracks to refly nuclear you like.

As a minor point I note that you say in your letter that, if there are n factors, at best 4<sup>n</sup> individuals will be needed to exhibit all the types. But suppose there is only one factor, then there is only three combinations I can think of, and I should think that it would have been 3<sup>n</sup>.

As to the uniformity of useless characters of the need for sufflaming the chiefpeorance of - witermadiate types, it is my father's very strong views in These points that appeal him. The shape of a leaf is the type of a uncless quality. The leaves of they are so characteristic, but so I wondered appearant to the so the sure of the so they are so characteristic, but so