

[End October, 1915?] JNB

Wed,

12 EGERTON PLACE

S.W.

Dear Fisher,

I also keep thinking over your problems. It seems to me, if I understand you right, that you are breaking up new and very interesting ground. Does it not follow from what you say that the Mendelian factors seem to reproduce their kind very perfectly? It would be very interesting <sup>if you can</sup> to explain why the parental correlation coefficient is so often nearly

0.5. It <sup>would be</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>the</sup> explaining  
Boole (?)'s law about the  
planets.

I am going on writing out  
my hypothetical views. If you  
can show that the figures  
can be explained without any  
reference to fluctuations, then  
things will become more  
simple. But I am provisionally  
assuming both fluctuations  
& mutations. I shall have  
finished soon.

Don't answer this unless  
you have anything special  
to say. Yours sincerely,  
D. Darwin.