

Approaches to Optimise Neuroplasticity Induction in the Human Motor Cortex

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

BY

Suzanne Mary McAllister
B. Sc. (Hons.)

Discipline of Physiology
School of Medical Sciences
The University of Adelaide

JUNE 2012

ABSTRACT	I
DECLARATION	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	VII
1. A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.....	1
1.2. AN OVERVIEW OF HUMAN MOTOR CONTROL.....	2
1.3. NON-INVASIVE STIMULATION OF THE MOTOR CORTEX	7
1.3.3. Physiology of TMS and TES	10
1.3.4. Single pulse TMS as a probe for cortical excitability	12
1.3.4.1. Motor threshold	13
1.3.4.2. MEP amplitude	14
1.3.4.3. Silent Period	15
1.3.5. Paired pulse TMS techniques for investigating intracortical pathways	16
1.3.5.1. Short latency intracortical inhibition (SICI).....	16
1.3.5.2. Intracortical facilitation (ICF)	17
1.3.5.3. Short latency intracortical facilitation (SICF)	18
1.4. NEUROPLASTICITY	19
1.4.1. MECHANISMS OF NEUROPLASTICITY	21
1.4.2. Metaplasticity and homeostatic plasticity.....	25
1.4.3. LTP/LTD and learning and memory	27
1.4.4. LTP/LTD as candidate mechanisms of neuroplasticity in the motor cortex	27
1.4.5. Motor cortex plasticity and brain injury	29
1.5. INDUCING NEUROPLASTICITY IN HUMANS USING NON-INVASIVE METHODS OF BRAIN STIMULATION	30
1.5.1. Peripheral nerve stimulation.	31

1.5.2. Paired associative stimulation (PAS).....	32
1.5.3. Repetitive TMS (rTMS).....	34
1.5.4. Safety of TMS and rTMS	36
1.5.5. Functional effects of paradigms which alter cortical excitability.....	38
1.5.6. Variability in responses to plasticity inducing paradigms	39
1.6. STATE-DEPENDENCY OF NEUROPLASTICITY INDUCTION	41
1.6.1. Brain oscillations as a marker of neural state.....	43
1.6.1.1. Theta rhythm and neuroplasticity	46
1.6.1.2. Alpha rhythm and inhibition.....	50
1.7. SUMMARY	54
2. CORTICAL OSCILLATORY ACTIVITY AND THE INDUCTION OF PLASTICITY IN THE HUMAN MOTOR CORTEX.....	55
2.1. ABSTRACT.....	56
2.2. INTRODUCTION.....	58
2.3. METHODS	59
2.3.1. Participants	59
2.3.2. Single-pulse TMS.....	60
2.3.3. Theta Burst Stimulation	61
2.3.4. Visuomotor Training	61
2.3.5. Electroencephalography	63
2.3.6. Data Analysis.....	63
2.3.6.1. MEPs.....	63
2.3.6.2. Electroencephalography.....	64
2.3.6.3. Visuomotor training	65
2.4. RESULTS:.....	67

2.4.1. cTBS.....	67
2.4.2. Visuomotor training.....	70
2.5. DISCUSSION	76
3. MODULATIONS IN HUMAN MOTOR CORTICAL EXCITABILITY ASSOCIATED WITH ALPHA OSCILLATORY STATE: A STUDY USING EEG-TRIGGERED TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION	84
3.1. ABSTRACT.....	85
3.2. INTRODUCTION.....	86
3.3. METHOD.....	88
3.4.5. Participants	88
3.4.6. TMS	88
3.4.6.1. Equipment	88
3.4.6.2. Setting TMS intensities	89
3.4.7. EEG-triggered TMS.....	91
3.4.8. Data Analysis and Statistics	94
3.4.8.1. Hit rate and trigger phase.....	94
3.4.8.2. Alpha power and amplitude	95
3.4.8.3. MEPs.....	96
3.4.8.4. SICI.....	97
3.5. RESULTS	98
3.5.1. Hit rate and trigger phase.....	98
3.5.2. Motor Thresholds	98
3.5.3. MEPs	101
3.5.4. SICI	101
3.6. DISCUSSION	104

4. SELECTIVE MODULATION OF INTRACORTICAL INHIBITION BY LOW-INTENSITY THETA BURST STIMULATION	113
4.1. ABSTRACT.....	114
4.2. INTRODUCTION.....	115
4.3. METHODS	117
4.3.1. Participants	117
4.3.2. Design.....	117
4.3.3. TMS measurements	118
4.3.4. Thresholds.....	118
4.3.4.1. Motor Evoked Potentials:.....	119
4.3.4.2. Short interval intracortical inhibition and intracortical facilitation	119
4.3.4.3. Short latency intracortical facilitation:.....	119
4.3.5. Theta Burst Stimulation	120
4.3.6. Data Analysis.....	121
4.4. RESULTS.....	122
4.4.1. Thresholds and stimulus intensities	122
4.4.2. Corticospinal excitability.....	122
4.4.3. Intracortical excitability	123
4.5. DISCUSSION	127
5. GENERAL DISCUSSION	133
6. APPENDICES	146
6.1. APPENDIX 1. TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION ADULT SAFETY SCREEN ...	146
6.2. APPENDIX 2. PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	147
6.3. APPENDIX 3. STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP FOR CHAPTER TWO	148
6.4. APPENDIX 4. STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP FOR CHAPTER FOUR	149

7. REFERENCES 150

ABSTRACT

The human brain can change its connectivity with experience, and such neuroplasticity is critical for learning, memory, and recovery from brain injury. A number of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques can induce neuroplastic changes in the brain. In order to maximise the therapeutic potential of these techniques, we need to understand the factors influencing their effectiveness. This thesis investigates approaches to optimising neuroplasticity induction in the human motor cortex, focussing on a widely-used repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) paradigm, Theta Burst Stimulation (TBS).

Subject responses to neuroplasticity induction methods are characterised by high inter- and intra-individual variability. One factor which may contribute to this variability is the excitability state of the targeted cortex at the time stimuli are applied. In Chapter Two, I investigate whether power in several electroencephalography (EEG) frequency bands can be used as a state-marker to predict responses to experimental (TBS) and behavioural (visuomotor training) plasticity induction. The results suggest pre-stimulation EEG power is not useful for predicting responses to plasticity induction. However, an interesting finding is a large increase in alpha (8-12 Hz) power following visuomotor training, which positively correlates with changes in cortical excitability. Although speculative, this may be related to disengagement of the somatosensory system important for motor memory consolidation.

Inhibition in the cortex exerts a powerful modulatory influence over plasticity induction. Therefore, in Chapters Three and Four I examine approaches that might be useful for

optimising (reducing) the level of inhibition in the motor cortex during plasticity induction. Although Chapter Two provides no evidence that pre-stimulation EEG can predict responses to plasticity induction, the timing of stimuli relative to *ongoing* oscillatory activity may be more important. In Chapter Three, I question whether timing of stimuli to different phases of an intrinsic brain rhythm might allow plasticity-inducing stimuli to be applied during natural oscillations in inhibitory tone within the cortex. Alpha, a prominent rhythm in the resting human brain, has been proposed to reflect bouts of cortical inhibition. In this chapter I undertook the technical challenge to develop a method to trigger TMS on different phases of alpha. Whilst Short Interval Intracortical Inhibition (SICI) is unchanged by alpha phase, Motor Evoked Potential (MEP) amplitude is 30% greater on the downgoing phase compared to the upgoing phase. The results may suggest some other inhibitory network is down-regulated during this phase of the alpha rhythm, and provide an opportunity for enhancing neuroplasticity induction by applying stimuli during optimal temporal windows.

In Chapter 4, I investigate whether it is possible to selectively down-regulate activity in inhibitory cortical networks using a modified TBS technique. Many rTMS paradigms affect both inhibitory and excitatory pathways. As intracortical inhibitory pathways have a lower threshold for activation than excitatory pathways, I aimed to target cortical inhibitory circuitry by reducing the intensity of TBS. The results demonstrate it is indeed possible to reduce SICI without concurrent effects on the MEP.

In summary, this thesis provides approaches that may be useful for targeting or creating a more favourable cortical environment for neuroplasticity induction.

DECLARATION

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Suzanne McAllister and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

McAllister, S. M., Rothwell, J. C. and Ridding, M. C. (2009) Selective modulation of intracortical inhibition by low-intensity Theta Burst Stimulation. *Clin Neurophysiol*, **120**(4), 820-826.

McAllister, S. M., Rothwell, J. C. and Ridding, M. C. (2011) Cortical oscillatory activity and the induction of plasticity in the human motor cortex. *Eur J Neurosci*, **33**(10), 1916-1924.

Signature.....

Date.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to acknowledge the significant contribution of my primary supervisor, Associate Professor Michael Ridding. Thank you for your guidance, encouragement, and invaluable intellectual input. In particular, thank you for your support over the past few months whilst I have been finishing this thesis – your commitment to a speedy turn-around time for feedback is enough to make any PhD student envious, and has been very much appreciated.

Thank you to my co-supervisor, Professor John Rothwell, for always providing an interesting perspective on my data, even when the data seemed negative! Your wealth of knowledge, insight and enthusiasm is inspiring and I have greatly appreciated your contribution to my learning.

A huge thank you must go to signal processing extraordinaire, Mr Ruiting Yang. Your expertise and tremendous dedication to what seemed like a near-impossible project has been truly invaluable. Special thanks must also go to Mr Ryan Higgins for his technical wizardry, much-appreciated positivity in the face of never-ending equipment issues, and frequent entertaining singing.

To the Neuropaddlers...I can only hope to work with such a talented, fun and supportive group of individuals in the future. In particular I would like to thank Mr Mitchell S.P. Goldsworthy. Your willingness to help in whatever conundrum I find myself in is very much appreciated. Thank you for altruistically sacrificing many hours and scalp cells to help me develop the methodology for my EEG projects, along with Ms Joanna 'Alpha Queen' Cole and Ms Lisa 'Financially!' Kurylowicz.

Dr Sebastian Doeltgen, I have really appreciated your advice throughout my PhD, as well as your Barbershop Twelve-tet harmonies! Thank you for your helpful comments on the literature review. To Dr Ann-Maree Vallance – my EEG-triggered TMS baby could not be in more capable hands and I know with your energy and enthusiasm there will be exciting things to look forward to with this project. To Dr 2-D Luke Schneider,

the lab would not be the same without your inappropriate comments and awful lollies. Thank you for keeping me entertained! Dr Ashleigh Smith, thank you for your advice during the planning stages of my thesis, and for always being ridiculously helpful. Thanks to all those I have worked with at NeuroPaD and the Research Centre for Human Movement Control, including Mr Stanley Flavel, Dr Julia Pitcher, Ms Emma Ho, Ms Christie McShane, Mr Nigel Rogasch and Dr Martin Sale. You have greatly enriched my PhD experience.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the professional and academic staff of the Discipline of Physiology and School of Medical Sciences with whom I have worked over the past few years, in particular, Mr Adrian Elliott, Professor Andrea Yool, Associate Professor Mike Nordstrom and Dr John Semmler for their advice and support.

Thank you to my friends and family. In particular to my amazing mother, Pam, and my sisters, Lauren and Janine, for your endless patience! To the wonderful Ms Alysha Riley – thank you for dealing with the ugly stuff! The impact of your support over the past few months is immeasurable.

Finally, the biggest thank you must go to all those who volunteered their time to participate in my studies, without whom this thesis would not have been possible.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	Reduction in FDI MEP amplitude following cTBS.	67
Figure 2.2.	The relationship between normalised baseline spectral power and the reduction in FDI MEP amplitude following cTBS.	68
Figure 2.3.	The relationship between normalised baseline alpha power and the reduction in FDI MEP amplitude following cTBS.	69
Figure 2.4.	Raw spectral power before and after cTBS.	70
Figure 2.5.	Learning of the visuomotor training task.	71
Figure 2.6.	Changes in MEP amplitude and the power spectrum following visuomotor training.....	73
Figure 2.7.	The relationship between changes in FDI MEP amplitude and learning of the visuomotor training task.	75
Figure 3.1.	Overlapping upgoing states for different frequencies within the alpha band.	92
Figure 3.2	Examples of “unreasonable” alpha peaks and troughs that were excluded from the analysis of alpha amplitude.	96
Figure 3.3	Phase distribution diagrams for the pooled individual non-TMS trials from 13 subjects.	99
Figure 3.4.	Example of the filtering and triggering process.	100
Figure 3.5.	MEP Data.	102
Figure 3.6.	SICI Data.....	103
Figure 4.1.	Timeline of TMS measurements during experimental sessions.	120
Figure 4.2.	Mean MEP amplitudes in FDI before and after low-intensity cTBS and iTBS.	122
Figure 4.3.	SICF data.	124
Figure 4.4.	SICI and ICF data.	125
Figure 4.5.	Individual subject data for SICI.	126