

Reproductive frost tolerance in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide**

By

Shaista Shafiq

M.Sc. Chemistry (University of Agriculture, Fsd, Pakistan)

**Discipline of Plant and Food Science
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
Faculty of Sciences
The University of Adelaide**

May 2012

Abbreviations

AFLP: Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism

ANOVA: Analysis of variance

ATFCC: Australian Temperate Field Crops Collection

BC: Backcross

CO₂: Carbon dioxide

CRD: Completely Randomised Design

CTAB: hexa-decyl, tri-methyl, ammonium bromide

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic Acid

dNTP: Deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate

EST: Expression Sequence Tag

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

FS: Flowering Stage

HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography

ICP-AES: Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry

NPQ: Non-photochemical quenching

OsO₄: Osmium tetroxide

PBS: Phosphate Buffered Saline

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

PDS: Pod Development Stage

PGER: Pulse Germplasm Enhancement Research

PPFD: Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density

PSII: Photosystem II

qP: Photochemical quenching

QTL: Quantitative Trait Locus

RAPD: Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA

RFT: Reproductive frost tolerance

RWC: Relative Water Content

SARDI: South Australian Research and Development Institute

SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

SSR: Simple Sequence Repeat

STMS: Sequence Tagged Microsatellite Site

STS: Sequence Tagged Site

TEM: Transmission Electron Microscopy

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
Abstract	xvi
Declaration	xviii
Statement of authorship	xix
Acknowledgements	xx
CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. INTRODUCTION.....	5
2.2. FIELD PEA, A BENEFICIAL GRAIN LEGUME CROP	5
<i>General information and physiology</i>	5
<i>Benefits in agriculture systems</i>	6
<i>Autumn sowing for higher grain yield</i>	7
2.3. FROST STRESS AND TOLERANCE IN FIELD PEA.....	7
<i>Frost stress at different developmental stages</i>	7
<i>Spring radiant frost events under Mediterranean environments</i>	9
<i>Tolerance to spring radiant frost at the reproductive stage</i>	10
2.4. IDENTIFICATION OF REPRODUCTIVE FROST TOLERANCE (RFT) IN FIELD PEA	11
<i>Screening methods to evaluate RFT</i>	11
<i>Genetic variation for tolerance to radiant frost at the reproductive stage</i>	12
2.5. QTL MAPPING: IMPORTANCE AND METHODOLOGY	12
<i>Mapping of RFT gene(s)</i>	12
<i>Microsatellite markers for field pea</i>	13
<i>QTL mapping of RFT gene(s)</i>	13

2.6. COLD ACCLIMATION IN FIELD PEA	14
<i>Definition and application</i>	14
<i>Physiological responses in leaf tissues during cold acclimation</i>	14
<i>Changes in leaf ultrastructure during cold acclimation</i>	16
2.7. CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION TO THESIS.....	17
CHAPTER 3: VARIATION IN TOLERANCE TO RADIANT FROST AT REPRODUCTIVE STAGES IN FIELD PEA GERMPLASM	19
ABSTRACT	19
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	20
3.2. MATERIALS AND METHOD.....	21
<i>Plant material</i>	21
<i>Growth conditions and frost treatment</i>	28
<i>Collection of data on symptoms and seed traits</i>	30
<i>Data analysis</i>	34
3.3. RESULTS	35
<i>Frost tolerance at flowering and pod development stages</i>	35
<i>Variation for reproductive frost tolerance in field pea</i>	37
3.4. DISCUSSION.....	47
<i>Frost tolerance at flowering and pod development stages</i>	47
<i>Variation for reproductive frost tolerance in field pea genotypes</i>	48
<i>Minimum temperature and duration of exposure for frost treatment</i>	50
CHAPTER 4: SEGREGATION OF FROST SURVIVAL TRAITS AND MOLECULAR MARKERS AMONG BACKCROSS PROGENY OF PEA GENOTYPES DIFFERING IN SENSITIVITY TO FROST AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE	51
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	51
4.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	52
<i>Plant material</i>	52
<i>Growth conditions</i>	52
<i>DNA extraction and molecular markers analysis</i>	53

<i>Phenotypic evaluation of frost damage</i>	54
<i>Data analysis</i>	56
4.3. RESULTS	57
<i>Phenotypic evaluation of frost damage</i>	57
<i>Molecular marker analysis</i>	59
<i>Marker-trait association</i>	65
4.4. DISCUSSION.....	68
CHAPTER 5: RESPONSES OF FIELD PEA PLANTS TO COLD TREATMENT AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE	70
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	70
5.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	71
<i>Plant material</i>	71
<i>Growth conditions and cold treatment</i>	71
<i>Frost exposure</i>	75
<i>Physiological Analyses</i>	75
<i>Statistical analysis</i>	78
5.3. RESULTS	79
<i>Reproductive frost tolerance after 21 days of cold treatment</i>	79
<i>Physiological changes in leaf tissues during cold treatment</i>	81
5.4. DISCUSSION.....	84
CHAPTER 6: COLD-INDUCED CHANGES IN LEAF ULTRASTRUCTURE AND STARCH CONCENTRATION AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE IN FIELD PEA	87
6.1. INTRODUCTION.....	87
6.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	88
<i>Plant material</i>	88
<i>Transmission Electron Microscopy</i>	88
<i>Starch Analysis</i>	89
6.3. RESULTS	90
<i>Cell ultrastructure</i>	90

<i>Starch concentration</i>	102
6.4. DISCUSSION.....	103
<i>Similarities between Kasper and ATC 1564 after cold treatment</i>	103
<i>Differences between Kasper and ATC 1564 after cold treatment</i>	104
<i>Cold-induced cellular changes and frost tolerance in field pea</i>	105
CHAPTER 7: EFFECT OF LOW TEMPERATURES AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE ON PHOTOSYNTHETIC CHARACTERISTICS AND PARTITIONING OF PHOTO-ASSIMILATES IN TWO FIELD PEA GENOTYPES	107
7.1. INTRODUCTION.....	107
7.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	108
<i>Plant material</i>	108
<i>Growth conditions and experimental design</i>	108
<i>Cold and frost treatments</i>	109
<i>Measurement of chlorophyll content and leaf thickness</i>	111
<i>Measurement of photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence</i>	111
<i>Determination of water content</i>	115
<i>Quantification of soluble sugars</i>	115
<i>Data analysis</i>	116
7.3. RESULTS.....	117
<i>Frost tolerance after 7 and 14 days of cold treatment</i>	117
<i>Photosynthetic performance at the reproductive stage under low temperatures</i>	119
<i>Partitioning of water content and carbohydrates in vegetative and reproductive tissues under low temperatures</i>	123
7.4 DISCUSSION.....	128
Chapter 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION	133
8.1. SCREENING FOR FROST TOLERANCE AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE IN FIELD PEA.....	133
8.2. GENETIC VARIATION FOR RFT IN FIELD PEA GENEPOOL.....	134
8.3. BREEDING POTENTIAL.....	135

8.4. COLD ACCLIMATION AT THE REPRODUCTIVE STAGE IN FIELD PEA	136
<i>Cold-induced modification in cellular ultrastructure</i>	136
<i>Cold-induced physiological changes in reproductive stage field pea genotypes</i>	137
<i>The impact of cold treatments on reproductive frost tolerance</i>	138
CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS.....	140
CHAPTER 10: CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE	144
CHAPTER 11: LITERATURE CITED	144
CHAPTER 12: APPENDIX	161

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Thesis structure.	3
Table 3.1. Eighty three accessions from a diverse collection of field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) germplasm screened for reproductive frost tolerance	22
Table 3.2. Simulated radiant frost conditions in the frost chamber for 24 h.....	30
Table 3.3. A scoring key for frost symptoms at each reproductive organ in field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i>)	31
Table 3.4. Polynomial (quadratic) regression analysis showing variation in response to frost stress over eight reproductive organs from immature bud to mature pod in field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	36
Table 3.5. Percentages of the observed variation in survival of buds, flowers and pods against frost stress attributable to differences among 83 field pea accessions and 17 groups exposed to frost during reproductive growth	37
Table 3.6. Observed yield components and regrowth after frost stress and predicted frost survival of flowering stage (FS) buds, flowers and set	39
Table 3.7. A representative set of ten field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i>) accessions showing the proportion and mean weight of frosted seeds (%) in five categories based on seed size, colour and seed coat texture.....	46
Table 4.1. Degree of polymorphism for simple sequence repeats (SSR) and sequence tagged microsatellite site (STMS) markers assayed on genomic DNA of two <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. genotypes Kaspá and ATC 1564.....	60
Table 4.2. Sequences for <i>Medicago</i> -derived SSRs (microsatellite) primer pairs (18-23 bp) assayed on DNA samples (of parent plants) of two <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. genotypes ATC 1564 and Kaspá, and exhibited polymorphism.....	61
Table 4.3. Chi-square test for the deviation of observed molecular marker genotypes of 119 backcross plants from the expected 1:1 ratio, and association of markers with the frost survival at flowering stage (FS).	63

Table 5.1. Origin, leaf type, flower colour, seed coat and flowering time of four field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) genotypes	72
Table 5.2. Analysis of variance for the frost survival of buds, flowers and pods, and seed weight of four accessions of field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) exposed to frost with and without prior cold treatment	79
Table 5.3. Mean frost survival of buds, flowers and pods, and 100 seed weight of control and frost exposed plants with and without cold (low positive temperature) pre-treatment at the reproductive stage for four accessions of field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	80
Table 5.4. Analysis of variance for relative water content, osmotic potential, concentration of soluble sugars (fructose, glucose and sucrose) and chlorophyll content in four accessions of field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) subjected to 0, 7, 14 or 21 days of cold treatment	82
Table 7.1. Mean values for chlorophyll content, rate of photosynthesis, leaf thickness and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (efficiency of light harvesting, F_v'/F_m' ; quantum yield of PSII electron transport, Φ_{PSII} ; photochemical quenching, qP ; non-photochemical quenching, NPQ), for two field pea genotypes observed after cold treatments of 7 and 14 days (at 10/5 °C) and subsequent frost exposure (-4.8 °C).	121
Table 7.2. Mean values for moisture content and glucose and sucrose concentrations in leaf, flower, pod and seed, for two field pea genotypes after cold treatments of 7 and 14 days (at 10/5 °C) and subsequent frost exposure (-4.8 °C).	125

List of Figures

- Fig. 3.1.** Reproductive organs in of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) at four flowering stages (FS; 201: enclosed bud, 202: visible bud, 203: open flower, 204: pod set) and four pod development stages (PDS; 205: flat pod, 206: pod swell, 207: pod fill, 208: green wrinkled pod)..... 28
- Fig. 3.2.** Tags labelled with reproductive stage numbers were placed on buds, flowers and pods before plants were exposed to frost (field pea accession: Ps-05-01)..... 29
- Fig. 3.3.** Five categories of frosted seeds (1-5) in field pea based on their seed weight, colour and seed coat texture: (C) control seeds without frost stress (1) after frost seeds normal and similar to control (2) slightly discoloured and reduced in size compared to control (3) dark seeds but seed size comparable to control (4) dark and shrunken seeds (5) dark and highly shrivelled seeds. These seeds are from accession ATC 1039 (control and after frost) that showed all five categories of frosted seeds 33
- Fig. 3.4.** Characteristics of frost symptoms observed under controlled conditions in field pea. **A:** leaves at reproductive stage before frost, **B:** bleaching of leaves after frost, **C:** a set pod killed by frost exposure at stage 204 (score 2; dead), **D:** a swollen pod (stage 206) before (left) and after (right) frost treatment, (score 4; dead), **E:** a filled pod (stage 207) before (left) and after (middle and right) frost treatment (score 3; blackening of seeds) 35
- Fig. 3.5.** Survival of reproductive organs after exposure to frost at stages from enclosed bud to mature pod across 83 field pea (*Pisum sativum*) accessions. FS: flowering stage; PDS: pod development stage 36
- Fig. 3.6.** The frequency distribution of 83 field pea (*Pisum sativum*) accessions for frost survival of flowering stage (FS) organs (enclosed and visible buds, flower and pod set) and pod development stage (PDS) organs (flat, swollen, filled and mature pod). 38
- Fig. 4.1.** Amplified products of Medicago-derived primer PGER-E53, on polyacrylamide gel in BC population (individuals 61-119 shown) and two parents Kaspas and ATC 1564. Both parents exhibited one product (6 Kb in Kaspas, and 4Kb in ATC 1564). In this gel, 28 of the BC₁F₁ DNA samples exhibited both products and were scored as heterozygotes, while 31 of the BC₁F₁ DNA samples exhibited only the ATC 1564 product (4Kb) and were scored as recurrent-parent homozygotes. 55
- Fig. 4.2.** The frequency distribution of plants on which there was more than 0 % survival against frost stress in ATC 1564 x Kaspas backcross population at (A) flowering stage (buds, flowers and set pods) and (B) pod development stage (flat, swollen, filled and mature pods) after the frost treatment. 58

Fig. 4.3. The frequency distribution of backcross plants derived from cross between Kaspas and ATC 1564 for weight of 100 seeds after the frost treatment.	59
Fig. 4.4. Five loci were linked on two linkage groups at LOD min= 3.0, and r max= 0.3 using MapDisto (Lorieux 2012).	65
Fig. 4.5. The frequency distribution of backcross plants exhibited survival of flowering stage organs above 0 % against frost for (A) homozygous (for ATC 1564 allele) and (B) heterozygous (for Kaspas/ATC 1564 alleles) classes of marker PGER-E62. In addition to the plants included in these frequency distributions, there were 31 homozygous plants and 48 heterozygous plants on which no flowering stage organs survived the frost treatment.	66
Fig. 4.6. The frequency distribution of backcross plants exhibited survival of flowering stage organs above 0 % against frost for (A) homozygous (for ATC 1564 allele) and (B) heterozygous (for Kaspas/ATC 1564 alleles) classes of marker PGER-S139. In addition to the plants included in these frequency distributions, there were 49 homozygous plants and 30 heterozygous plants on which no flowering stage organs survived the frost treatment.	67
Fig. 5.1. Schematic diagram of temperature treatments of plants in the experiment; Three plants of each genotype were assigned to each treatment. From non-cold-treated (control) plants, measurements were taken at 0 day cold treatment for the following: RWC, relative water content; OP, osmotic potential; SC, sugar concentration; Ca, calcium concentration; Chl, chlorophyll content; P, rate of photosynthesis. From cold treated plants, all measurements for physiological analyses were made after 7, 14 and 21 days of cold treatment, and rate of photosynthesis was determined after 10 and 20 days of cold treatment. Frost symptoms (Fr Sym) were determined from cold and non-cold treated plants 72 hr after frost exposure. Seed weight (S wt) was determined from control plants and all frosted plants at pod maturity.....	74
Fig. 5.2. Response of four field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) genotypes to 7, 14 and 21 days of low positive temperature exposure (10/5 °C D/N) at the reproductive stage. A: relative water content; B: osmotic potential; C: concentration of soluble sugars (glucose, fructose and sucrose); D: chlorophyll content; E: rate of photosynthesis (after 10 and 20 days of cold treatment); F: concentration of calcium	83
Fig. 6.1. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage control (non-cold-treated) plants of the <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. genotype Kaspas (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel). General view of a cell containing a large regular central vacuole in A and B; Close up of a chloroplast with starch grains, grana (e.g. arrow) and small plastoglobuli (arrowheads) in C and D; Close up of grana showing compact thylakoids (arrow) in E and F. Abbreviations: m, mitochondrion; s, starch grain; v, vacuole. Bars: A and B, 5 µm; C and D, 2 µm; E and F, 0.5 µm.....	91

Fig. 6.2. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage control (non-cold-treated) plants of the *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel). A typical nucleus with nucleolus (arrow) in **A** and **B**; Plasma membrane (arrow) aligned with cell wall in **C** and **D**; Long endoplasmic reticulum cistern (arrow) in cytoplasm in **E**. Note small ribosomes present in the cytoplasm and attached to the endoplasmic reticulum. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; cy, cytoplasm; n, nucleus; v, vacuole. Bars: A and B, 2 μm ; C and D, 1 μm ; E, 1 μm 92

Fig. 6.3. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 7 days of cold treatment. General view of a cell, showing chloroplasts with a few small starch grains and a large central vacuole in **A**; numerous chloroplasts with large starch grains (arrows), large shrunken vacuole, and small irregular vacuoles (stars) in **B**; Close up of thylakoids (arrow) in chloroplasts. Note the dilation in the lacunae between membranes, in **C**; Compact thylakoid membranes, in **D**; Close to the cell wall, a vesicle (arrow) attached to the plasma membrane in **E**; A small vesicle (arrow) in the vicinity of the plasma membrane (arrowhead) in **F**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; n, nucleus; s, starch grain; v, vacuole. Bars: A and B, 10 μm ; C and D, 0.5 μm ; E and F, 1 μm 93

Fig. 6.4. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 7 days of cold treatment. Numerous vesicles (arrows) near a nucleus and between chloroplasts (ch) in **A**; and a less frequently observed vesicle (arrow) between a chloroplast and cell wall in **B**; Dictyosome (arrow) in the cytoplasm in **C** and **D**. Note the vesicle is being engulfed by the vacuole from cytoplasm (endocytosis process) in **C**; The plasma membrane (arrow) in **E** and **F**; Endoplasmic reticulum cisterna (arrowhead) in the cytoplasm in the vicinity of the plasma membrane in **G**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; n, nucleus; s, starch grain; v, vacuole; ve, vesicle. Bars: A and B, 2 μm ; C and D, 1 μm ; E and F, 1 μm ; G, 2 μm 94

Fig. 6.5. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 14 days of cold treatment. General view of a cell containing a large vacuole and chloroplasts with very few starch grains (arrows) in **A**; and with large starch grains (e.g. arrow) in **B**; In the cytoplasm, dictyosomes (e.g. arrow), endoplasmic reticulum (arrowhead) and vesicles in **C**; and protrusion of a vesicle (arrow) into a vacuole (endocytosis) in **D**; A fusion (arrow) of a membrane-bound vesicle into the plasma membrane (exocytosis) in **E**; and dictyosome (arrow) in the cytoplasm and membraglobulus (small phenolic deposits) (arrowhead) on the plasma membrane in **F**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; m, mitochondrion; s, starch grain; v, vacuole, ve, vesicle. Bars: A and B, 10 μm ; C and D, 2 μm ; E and F, 1 μm 96

Fig. 6.6. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 14 days of cold treatment. Phenolic deposits (arrows) on the outer layer of the chloroplast and note the less electron dense part in the stroma (arrowhead) in **A**; Protrusion of a vesicle into the vacuole, and dictyosome (arrowhead) close to the cell wall and note the phenolic deposits (arrows) on the vesicle, and mitochondrial and plasma membranes in **B**; A “vesicle” (arrow) in the chloroplast, and note the structural changes in the chloroplast, including sickle shape and swelling of the stroma to one side in **C**; and chloroplasts containing grana with compact thylakoid membranes (e.g. arrow), starch grains, and small plastoglobuli (arrowhead) in **D**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; m, mitochondrion; s, starch grain; v, vacuole, ve, vesicle. Bars: A and B, 0.5 μm ; C and D, 2 μm 97

Fig. 6.7. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 14 days of cold treatment. Chloroplast containing a “vesicle” (white arrow), and parts of the stroma with low electron density (black arrows) in **A**; Contraction in the cell wall (arrow) in **B**; Note in the chloroplast, thylakoids are pushed to one side due to swelling of the stroma, and the plasma membrane (arrow) along the cell wall in **C**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; m, mitochondrion; v, vacuole. Bars: A, 2 μm ; B, 5 μm ; C, 0.5 μm 98

Fig. 6.8. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 21 days of cold treatment. General view of a cell with a large vacuole and chloroplasts with starch grains in **A**; and numerous chloroplasts, and a nucleus with nucleolus (arrow) in **B**; Chloroplast, and phenolic aggregations (arrows) in the cytoplasm in **C**, and **D**; Vesicles (arrows) present in the vicinity of the plasma membrane in **E** and **F**, note the invagination of the plasma membrane (arrowhead) in **E**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; n, nucleus; s, starch grain; v, vacuole. Bars: A and B, 10 μm ; C and D, 2 μm ; E and F, 1 μm 100

Fig. 6.9. Electron micrographs of leaf parenchyma cells of reproductive stage plants of *Pisum sativum* L. genotype Kasper (stipules; left panel) and ATC 1564 (leaflets; right panel), after 21 days of cold treatment. Protrusion of a vesicle (arrow) into a vacuole (endocytosis) in **A**; Presence of vesicles and paramural bodies (e.g. arrow) in a vacuole (endocytosis) in **B**; Chloroplast with dilated thylakoid membranes (e.g. arrow), and phenolic aggregation (arrowhead) in the cytoplasm in **C**; Long endoplasmic reticulum cisternae (e.g. arrow) in the cytoplasm in **D**; Dictyosome (arrowhead) in the cytoplasm, and small phenolic deposits (arrows) on the tonoplast in **E**; Long endoplasmic reticulum cisternae (e.g. arrowhead) in the cytoplasm and in the vicinity of the plasma membrane (arrow) in **F**. Abbreviations: ch, chloroplast; cw, cell wall; m, mitochondrion; v, vacuole; ve, vesicle. Bars: A and B, 2 μm ; C and D, 2 μm ; E and F, 1 μm 101

Fig. 6.10. The concentration of starch (mg g ⁻¹ DW) in stipules and leaflets of reproductive stage <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. plants of Kaspera (stipules) and ATC 1564 (leaflets), before cold treatment (0 day) and after 7, 14 and 21 days of cold treatment (10/5 °C).	102
Fig. 7.1. Average temperature and relative humidity recorded in the glasshouse for 24 hr during the growth of field pea plants from March to June 2011	109
Fig. 7.2. A schematic diagram of the experiment showing sampling/data recording times (down arrows), for the measurements of water content, sugar concentrations, leaf thickness and photosynthetic performance from control and treated plants. Two batches of control plants were grown with 10 days interval between sowing times, so the control (later batch) and cold-treated plants were at same growth stages. Seed weights were determined at maturity.	112
Fig. 7.3. The stipules of ATC 968 (conventional leaf type) and Kaspera (semi-leafless type); Note the large white patches on the stipules of ATC 968.	113
Fig. 7.4. Frost injury symptom scores on leaves of two field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) genotypes after exposure to frost with or without preceding cold treatments of 7 and 14 days. Scores ranged from 1 (leaves with no frost damage) to 5 (totally damaged and brittle leaves).....	117
Fig. 7.5. Frost survival of flowering stage (FS) organs (buds, flowers and set pods) and pod development stage (PDS) organs (flat, swollen, filled and mature pods) of two field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) genotypes with or without (A) 7-day prior cold treatment, and (B) 14-day prior cold treatment	118
Fig. 7.6. 100 seed weight of control (no-cold, no-frost treated), and frosted plants with or without preceding cold treatments of 7 and 14 days, of two field pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) genotypes	119
Fig. 7.7. HPLC profiles of <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. genotype ATC 968 showing fractionation of soluble sugars: (A) high strength standards for fructose, glucose, sucrose and raffinose; (B) leaf tissue profiles for control (blue) and after seven days cold treatment (red); (C) pod tissue profiles for control (blue) and after frost treatment (red).....	124

Abstract

Radiant frost during spring is a significant problem for field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) grown in Mediterranean environments as plants are at the vulnerable reproductive stage when frost occurs. In such environments, radiant frost events after the commencement of flowering of field pea may lead to severe frost injuries on plants, and can adversely affect the grain yield. Despite the importance of the impact of frost on grain yield, no dedicated study has been conducted on reproductive frost tolerance (RFT) in field pea.

One aim of this research was to develop a simple and reliable screening method to evaluate frost tolerance of eight reproductive organs (from immature buds to mature pods) which are often present at the same time on a single plant. A controlled environment screening method that exposed plants to a defined temperature regime, including a minimum temperature of -4.8 °C for 4 hr, was developed. A scoring key was devised to record frost symptoms on each reproductive organ, and five categories were defined to evaluate frost damage on seeds. Using this screening method, a diverse collection of germplasm was screened, including 83 accessions sourced from high altitude and frost prone areas in 39 countries. A locally adapted variety, Kaspera, the most widely cultivated field pea variety in southern Australia, was included in the screening. The flowering stage was found to be more susceptible to frost than the pod development stage. Buds and set pods were found to be the most frost-susceptible reproductive organs, and mature pods were the most frost-tolerant reproductive organs. Genetic variation was found among field pea genotypes for frost tolerance at the flowering stage. Eight accessions, ATC 104, ATC 377, ATC 947, ATC 968, ATC 1564, ATC 3489, ATC 3992 and ATC 4204, each from a different country, were identified with more than 20 % frost survival of flowering stage organs. Kaspera was highly susceptible to frost at reproductive stages, with no buds, flowers or pods surviving the frost treatment.

A BC₁F₁ population was derived from frost-tolerant ATC 1564 and frost-sensitive Kaspera, and segregation of the frost survival trait and SSR markers was studied. Little marker polymorphism was observed between the two genotypes, with only 41 (12.3 %) of the 332 primer pairs assayed on DNA samples of the parental lines, exhibiting

polymorphic products in polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Unfortunately, most of these markers were not linked with any other loci, and only two linkage groups were developed: one with three markers, and the other with only two. No strong marker-trait associations were observed for frost tolerance.

Responses of reproductive-stage plants to low positive temperature (10/5 °C day/night, and 150 – 250 $\mu\text{mole m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PPF) for 7, 14 and 21 days were studied as were the effects of these cold treatments on survival of vegetative and reproductive tissues after frost, for frost-tolerant (ATC 968 and ATC 1564) and frost-sensitive (ATC 1040 and Kaspá) genotypes. Under long exposures (21 days), all genotypes exhibited an ability to maintain the photosynthetic rate. All genotypes were found to be adversely affected by chilling at the reproductive stage, however frost-sensitive genotypes were more responsive to low positive temperatures (cold) than frost tolerant genotypes. Evidence of symptoms of chilling injuries was found in the frost-sensitive genotype: distortion in the ultrastructure of chloroplasts was observed in parenchyma cells of stipules in Kaspá. A decrease and/or non-accumulation of soluble sugar in vegetative and reproductive tissues found in all genotypes under cold conditions reflected the inability in reproductive stage plants to acclimate. In contrast to what has previously been observed for pea seedlings, cold treatment of reproductive-stage pea plants did not result in acclimation, did not improve reproductive frost tolerance, and in fact reduced frost tolerance.

In conclusion, a drop in temperature under radiant frost conditions is lethal for reproductive stage pea plants. Reproductive organs are inherently sensitive to frost, and severe frost damage may lead to abortion of buds, flowers and set pods, and significantly reduce the seed weight.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Shaista Shafiq

Dec, 2012

Statement of authorship

Shafiq S, Mather D, Ahmad M, Paull J (2012) Variation in tolerance to radiant frost at reproductive stages in field pea germplasm. *Euphytica* 186 (3): 831-845. doi:10.1007/s10681-012-0625-0

Shaista Shafiq (Candidate)

Designed experiment, performed experimental work, analysed and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript.

Jeffery Paull (Co-author, and Principal Supervisor)

Edited the manuscript, and gave advice and suggestions on presenting results and the manuscript. Acted as a corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the article in the thesis.

Signed Date 5/12/12

Diane Matler (Co-author, and Co-Supervisor)

Edited the manuscript, and gave useful criticism on the manuscript and particularly advice on statistical analyses of data.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the article in the thesis.

Signed Date 5/12/12

Maqbool Ahmad (Co-author)

Supervised the candidate during the design and execution of the experiments, and provided financial support through South Australian Grain Industry Trust project.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the article in the thesis.

Signed ...

05/12/2012

Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors, Dr Jeffrey Paull and Prof Diane Mather, who have guided, supported and encouraged me during my research. My principal supervisor, Dr Jeffrey Paull, helped me throughout my PhD studies. Without the acceptance from Prof Diane Mather to become a co-supervisor, it would have been difficult for me to complete my research. I am indebted to my both supervisors for, firstly, taking care of me during a difficult time when I was looking back in time, secondly, for constructively giving me critical comments and advice, and providing me with opportunities to learn and helping me with various scientific aspects. I am grateful for Prof Diane Mather for helping me define research questions and objectively test hypotheses and for broadening my scientific vision.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the University of Adelaide for giving me the opportunity to conduct my PhD research, and providing me with a Faculty of Science Divisional Scholarship. I kindly acknowledge the tremendous support from the Dean of Graduate Studies, Prof Richard Russell during the course of my studies. I gratefully thank Prof Robert Hill, Executive Dean of the Faculty of Sciences, for approving the scholarship. I also thank South Australian Research and Development Institute for scholarship support at the beginning of my studies. The South Australian Grain Industry Trust (SAGIT) is gratefully acknowledged for the financial support for part of this research.

I express my thanks to Ms Patricia Anderson, International Student Centre Manager and Ms Jane Copeland, International Student Advisor, for their kind help and advice during difficult times. Whenever I was missing my parents and faced any difficulty, Jane's smiles made me feel at home. I would like to extend my acknowledgements to Dr Peter Backhouse, and Ms Vicki McCoy for their invaluable help during the difficult times. I also acknowledge Prof Eileen Scot and the late Prof Otto Schmidt, postgraduate coordinators, for their advice and support.

I would like to express my gratitude to the following people:

- Dr Bob Redden, Department of Primary Industries Victoria, Horsham, for providing seeds of a diverse collection of field pea accessions.

- Dr John Stephen and Ms Justine Chambers, Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF), for providing generous help with setting controlled conditions for cold and frost experiments.
- Ms Lynette Waterhouse, The University of Adelaide Microscopy Centre, for her help with the sample preparation for TEM microscopy.
- Dr Gwenda Mayo, The University of Adelaide, for providing constructive feedback on cellular studies, and help with editing microscopic images.
- Mr Jelle Lahnstein, The University of Adelaide, for his expertise and help with sample preparation for HPLC.
- Mr. Paul Eckermann, The University of Adelaide, for statistical advice on mixed model analysis.
- Dr Glenn McDonald, The University of Adelaide, for his help with LICOR instrument for chlorophyll fluorescence studies, and advice on design and conditions of physiology experiments.
- Mr Maclin Dayod, PhD colleague, The University of Adelaide, for his help with LICOR machine for photosynthesis readings, and for useful discussion on photosynthesis results.
- All members of the University of Adelaide ‘Molecular Marker Lab’.

I am deeply indebted to my parents Shafiq Anwer and Nasira Bashir, my brothers Sheraz and Shahzad and my sister Shagufta for their love, support and encouragement in all aspect of my life and study. I ultimately would like to thank my husband Dr Rashid Azeem for his love, patience and support, and my in-laws for their support.